
South Cooper Mountain Heights Planned Development Beaverton, Oregon

Request for Approval
Zoning Map Amendment, Conditional Use - Planned Unit
Development, Preliminary Subdivision, Tree Plan 2 and
Design Review 2

Prepared for
West Hills Development

Prepared by
Otak, Inc.



HanmiGlobal Partner

December 2, 2015
Project No. 16985

REQUESTS

Zoning Map Amendment, Conditional Use - Planned Unit Development, Preliminary Subdivision, Tree Plan 2 and Design Review approvals are requested for the plans for the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision. The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision will include 271 lots for detached single-family homes, and 110 lots for attached single-family homes. It will also include a parcel for future multi-family residential development of 340 units and a parcel for future development for a total of 383 lots.

INVOLVED PROPERTIES/OWNERSHIP

SUBJECT PROPERTIES: Tax lots 103 and 200 of Washington County Tax Map 2S1W 6.
The subject properties are located on the north side of SW Scholls Ferry Road, east of SW 175th Avenue

ZONING: Washington County designation AF-20: tax lot 103
Washington County designation AF-20: tax lot 200
All affected properties are within the South Cooper Mountain district

OWNERS:

Owner of tax lot 103:
Dyches Family, LLC
c/o West Hills Development
735 SW 158th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97006

Contact: Dan Grimberg
503.641.7342
dgrimberg@arborhomes.com

Owners of tax lot 200:
Crescent Grove Cemetery Association
c/o West Hills Development
735 SW 158th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97006

Contact: Dan Grimberg
503.641-7342
dgrimberg@arborhomes.com

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT TEAM

APPLICANT:

West Hills Development
735 SW 158th Avenue
Beaverton, OR 97006

Contact: Dan Grimberg
503.641.7342
dgrimberg@arborhomes.com

**ENGINEER/
PLANNER:**

Otak, Inc.
800 SW Third Avenue, Suite 300
Portland, OR 970204

Contacts:
Glen Bolen - Senior Planner
503.415.2330
glen.bolen@otak.com

Mike Peebles, PE – Civil Engineer
503.415.2354
mike.peebles@otak.com

**TRAFFIC
ENGINEER:**

Kittelson and Associates
610 SW Alder, Suite 700
Portland, OR 97205

Contact: Julia Kuhn, PE
503.228.5230

**ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSULTANT:**

Anchor QEA, LLC
6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 333
Portland, OR 97224

Contact: Greg Summers
503.670.1108
gsummers@anchorqea.com

**GEOTECHNICAL
ENGINEER:**

Hardman Geotechnical Services, Inc.
24560 SW Middleton Road
Sherwood, OR 97140

Contact: Scott Hardman, P.E.
503.822.5347
shardman.hgsi@frontier.com

TABLE of CONTENTS

	Page
I. Requests.....	1
II. Project Description.....	1
III. Compliance with Chapter 20 Land Uses	2
IV. Compliance with Applicable City of Beaverton Community Development Code Provisions.....	5
A. Compliance with Chapter 40 Applications – Facilities Review Committee 40.03.	
B. Compliance with Conditional Use – Planned Unit Development Criteria 40.15.4.	
C. Compliance with Design Review Standards – Design Review Two 40.20.15.2.	
D. Compliance with Land Division and Reconfiguration Standards – Preliminary Subdivision 40.45.15.5.	
E. Compliance with Tree Plan Standards – Tree Plan Two 40.90.15.2.	
F. Compliance with Zoning Map Amendment Criteria – Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment 40.97.15.1.	
V. Compliance with Applicable City of Beaverton Design Review Code Provisions Chapter 60 – Special Requirements.....	23
A. Design Review Standards Principles Standards and Guidelines 60.05.	
B. Land Division Standards 60.15.	
C. Off-Street Parking 60.30.	
D. Planned Unit Development 60.35	
E. Solar Access Protection 60.45.	
F. Transportation Facilities 60.55.	
G. Trees and Vegetation 60.60.	
H. Utility Undergrounding 60.65.	
I. Significant Natural Resources 60.67.	
VI. Compliance with Beaverton Comprehensive Plan.....	79
A. Compliance with 3.13.1 Safe, Convenient, Attractive & Healthful Places to Live (policies b, c, e, g and i)	
B. Compliance with 3.13.3 Standard-Density Residential (policy a)	
C. Compliance with 3.13.4 medium Density Residential (Policies a and b)	
D. Compliance with 3.13.5 High-Density Residential (policy a)	
E. Compliance with 4.2.2.1 Adequate Variety of Quality Housing (policy a)	
F. Compliance with 5.4.1 Adequate Stormwater Management	

G.	Compliance with 5.5.1 adequate Water Service	
H.	Compliance with 5.6.1 Adequate Sewer Service	
I.	Compliance with 5.8.1 Adequate Parks & recreation Facilities (Policy e)	
J.	Compliance with 6.2.1 Enhance Beaverton’s Livability (policies a, d, and e)	
K.	Compliance with 6.2.2 Balanced Transportation System (Policies c through j)	
L.	Compliance with 6.2.3 Safe Transportation System (policies b, and d through h)	
M.	Compliance with 6.2.5 Accessible Transportation Facilities (policy a)	
N.	Compliance with 7.1.1 Balance development right (policies b and c)	
O.	Compliance with 7.3.1 Significant Natural Resources (policies a through h)	
P.	Compliance with 7.3.3 Significant Wetlands (Policies a through c)	
VII.	Compliance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan	89
A.	Compliance with Land use Implementation Policies	
B.	Compliance with Street Policies	
C.	Compliance with natural Resource Policies	
VIII.	Conclusion.....	92
IX.	Appendix	93
A.	Pre-application conference notes by the City of Beaverton staff	
B.	Neighborhood meeting documentation (notice letter, affidavits of mailing and posting notices, neighborhood meeting notes, and meeting attendance list)	
C.	Clean Water Services water quality sensitive areas service provider letter (to be submitted during completeness review period)	
D.	Lot Area/Zoning/Land Use Designation/Active Open Space Calculations dated October 7, 2015, by Otak, Inc.	
X.	Impact Studies	
A.	Transportation Impact Study dated November 17, 2015 and Supplemental Analysis Memorandum dated December 2, 2015 by Kittelson Associates, Inc.	
B.	Natural Resource Assessment by Anchor QEA	
C.	Wetland Delineation Report by Anchor QEA (with Concurrence Letter from DSL)	
D.	Geotechnical Engineering Report by Hardman Geotechnical, Inc.	
E.	Preliminary Stormwater Report dated December 2, 2015 by Otak, Inc.	
F.	Flood Study Memo dated May 11, 2015 by Otak, Inc.	
G.	Utility Phasing Memorandums – Sanitary/Sewer, Storm/Sewer, and Water dated September 8, 2015, by Otak, Inc.	

XI. Plan Sets

Site-related Plans by Otak, Inc.

- Sheet P0.0 — Cover Sheet with Vicinity Map
- Sheet P1.0 — Aerial Photo – North
- Sheet P1.1 — Aerial Photo – South
- Sheet P1.2 — Existing Conditions – North
- Sheet P1.3 — Existing Conditions – South
- Sheet P2.0 — Preliminary Site Layout Plan
- Sheet P2.1 — Preliminary Street Cross Sections
- Sheet P2.2 — Preliminary Detailed Site Plan – Northwest
- Sheet P2.3 — Preliminary Detailed Site Plan – North
- Sheet P2.4 — Preliminary Detailed Site Plan – Northeast
- Sheet P2.5 — Preliminary Detailed Site Plan – Southeast
- Sheet P2.6 — Preliminary Detailed Site Plan – South
- Sheet P2.7 — Preliminary Detailed Site Plan – Southwest
- Sheet P2.8 — Preliminary House Type Plan
- Sheet P2.9 — Preliminary House Type Plan
- Sheet P2.10 — Preliminary House Type Plan
- Sheet P2.11 — Preliminary House Type Plan
- Sheet P2.12 — Preliminary House Type Plan
- Sheet P2.13 — Preliminary House Type Plan
- Sheet P3.0 — Preliminary Subdivision Plat – Northwest
- Sheet P3.1 — Preliminary Subdivision Plat – North
- Sheet P3.2 — Preliminary Subdivision Plat – Northeast
- Sheet P3.3 — Preliminary Subdivision Plat – Southeast
- Sheet P3.4 — Preliminary Subdivision Plat – South
- Sheet P3.5 — Preliminary Subdivision Plat – Southwest
- Sheet P4.0 — Preliminary Grading Plan – Northwest
- Sheet P4.1 — Preliminary Grading Plan – North
- Sheet P4.2 — Preliminary Grading Plan – Northeast
- Sheet P4.3 — Preliminary Grading Plan – Southeast
- Sheet P4.4 — Preliminary Grading Plan – South
- Sheet P4.5 — Preliminary Grading Plan – Southwest
- Sheet P4.6 — Preliminary Site Sections – Section A-A
- Sheet P4.7 — Preliminary Site Sections – Section B-B
- Sheet P4.8 — Preliminary Site Sections – Section C-C and D-D
- Sheet P4.9 — Preliminary Site Sections – Section E-E
- Sheet P5.0 — Preliminary Utility Plan – Northwest

Sheet P5.1 — Preliminary Utility Plan – North
 Sheet P5.2 — Preliminary Utility Plan – Northeast
 Sheet P5.3 — Preliminary Utility Plan – Southeast
 Sheet P5.4 — Preliminary Utility Plan – South
 Sheet P5.5 — Preliminary Utility Plan – Southwest
 Sheet P6.0 — Land Use Designations and Zoning
 Sheet L1.1 — Preliminary Landscape Plan – Northwest
 Sheet L1.2 — Preliminary Landscape Plan – Northeast
 Sheet L1.3 — Preliminary Landscape Plan – Southeast
 Sheet L1.4 — Preliminary Landscape Plan – Southwest
 Sheet L1.5 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Notes and Details
 Sheet L2.1 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Tract Planting
 Sheet L2.2 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Tract Planting
 Sheet L2.3 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Tract Planting
 Sheet L2.4 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Tract Planting
 Sheet L2.5 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Tract Planting
 Sheet L2.6 — Preliminary Landscape Plan Notes and Details
 Sheet L2.7 — Preliminary Landscape Details
 Sheet LTP.0-LTP.9 — Tree Preservation and Removal Site Plans
 Sheet LTP.10-LTP.11 — Tree Data Tables
 Sheet IL-01 — Illumination Plan
 Sheet IL-02 — Illumination Plan
 Sheet IL-03 — Illumination Plan
 Sheet IL-04 — Illumination Plan

Note: All Civil/Landscape/Illumination plan sheets are also separately bound in a larger format (22"x34") than within the development application narrative.

Typical Building Plans and Elevations

Sheet 1 — Attached Front Load 4-plex TH (American Modern)
 Sheet 2 — Attached Front Load 4-plex TH (American Modern)
 Sheet 3 — Attached Front Load 4-plex TH (Craftsman)
 Sheet 4 — Attached Front Load 4-plex TH (Craftsman)
 Sheet 5 — Attached Alley Load 2-plex TH (French Revival)
 Sheet 6 — Attached Alley Load 2-plex TH (French Revival)
 Sheet 7 — Attached Alley Load 3-plex TH (English Revival)
 Sheet 8 — Attached Alley Load 3-plex TH (English Revival)
 Sheet 9 — Attached Alley Load 3-plex TH (French Revival)
 Sheet 10 — Attached Alley Load 3-plex TH (French Revival)
 Sheet 11 — Attached Alley Load 4-plex TH (English Revival)

Sheet 12 — Attached Alley Load 4-plex TH (English Revival)
Sheet 13 — Attached Alley Load 4-plex TH (French Revival)
Sheet 14 — Attached Alley Load 4-plex TH (French Revival)
Sheet 15 — Attached Alley Load 5-plex TH (English Revival)
Sheet 16 — Attached Alley Load 5-plex TH (English Revival)
Sheet 17 — Attached Alley Load 5-plex TH (French Revival)
Sheet 18 — Attached Alley Load 5-plex TH (French Revival)
Sheet 19 and Sheet 20 — Not Used
Sheet 21 — Detached Ashmont AMERICAN
Sheet 22 — Detached Ashmont AMERICAN
Sheet 23 — Detached Ashmont EURO (ENGLISH)
Sheet 24 — Detached Ashmont EURO (FRENCH)
Sheet 25 — Detached Ashmont EURO
Sheet 26 — Detached Sylvan AMERICAN
Sheet 27 — Detached Sylvan AMERICAN
Sheet 28 — Detached Coralwood AMERICAN
Sheet 29 — Detached Coralwood AMERICAN
Sheet 30 — Detached Arlington (daylight) AMERICAN
Sheet 31 — Detached Arlington (daylight) AMERICAN
Sheet 32 — Detached Arlington (daylight) ENGLISH
Sheet 33 — Detached Arlington (daylight) ENGLISH
Sheet 34 — Detached Briarwood (garage under) AMERICAN
Sheet 35 — Detached Briarwood (garage under) AMERICAN
Sheet 36 — Detached Waterton AMERICAN
Sheet 37 — Detached Waterton AMERICAN
Sheet 38 — Detached Waterton EURO (ENGLISH)
Sheet 39 — Detached Waterton EURO (ENGLISH)
Sheet 40 — Detached Haverton AMERICAN
Sheet 41 — Detached Haverton AMERICAN
Sheet 42 — Detached Haverton EURO (ENGLISH)
Sheet 43 through Sheet 50 — Not Used
Sheet 51 — Detached Plan 3210A ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet 52 — Detached Plan 3210A ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet 53 — Detached Plan 3210B AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet 54 — Detached Plan 3210B AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet 55 — Detached Plan 3210D FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet 56 — Detached Plan 3210D FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet 57 — Detached Plan 3213A ENGLISH REVIVAL

Sheet58 — Detached Plan 3213A ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet59 — Detached Plan 3213B AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet60 — Detached Plan 3213B AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet61 — Detached Plan 3213D FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet62 — Detached Plan 3213D FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet63 — Detached Plan 5A ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet64 — Detached Plan 5A ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet65 — Detached Plan 5B AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet66 — Detached Plan 5B AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet67 — Detached Plan 5D FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet68 — Detached Plan 5D FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet69 — Detached Plan 5A (Daylight) ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet70 — Detached Plan 5A (Daylight) ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet71 — Detached Plan 5A (Daylight) ENGLISH REVIVAL
Sheet72 — Detached Plan 5B (Daylight) AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet73 — Detached Plan 5B (Daylight) AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet74 — Detached Plan 5B (Daylight) AMERICAN MODERN
Sheet75 — Detached Plan 5D (Daylight) FRENCH REVIVAL
Sheet76 — Detached Plan 5D (Daylight) FRENCH REVIVAL

I. REQUESTS

Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment approval is requested to apply the City of Beaverton's residential zoning districts (from the Standard and Medium Density designations) to the approximately 109-acre site. The site currently retains Washington County's AF-20 zoning district but has been annexed to the City of Beaverton.

Conditional Use - Planned Unit Development approval is requested for the plans for the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision, along with a request for **Subdivision** approval. The Preliminary Site Plan for the proposed development is included as Sheet P2.0. The Preliminary Subdivision plat is Sheets P3.1 through P3.5.

Design Review II approval is requested for the building elevations and landscaping plans for 110 attached single-family dwelling units which will be located among four development phases. These units will all be receiving their access from the internal street network of the project.

Tree Plan approval is requested for the tree plan which describes the urban landscape in relation to use of or modification of trees.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Proposed subdivision development is described as follows: the Portion of the South Cooper Mountain Annexation Area and Lowlands located lands east of SW 175th Avenue and north of Scholl Ferry Road. The development will result in fulfillment of the land use program described for this area in the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The land use categories present on site include: Single Family Neighborhood, Compact neighborhood and Urban Neighborhood.

The subdivision will include 271 lots for detached single-family homes, and 110 lots for attached single-family homes. It will also include a parcel for future multi-family residential development of 340 units and one oversized lot for future development for a total of 374 lots. The Preliminary Site Layout/Concept Planned Development Plan for the proposed development is included as Sheet P2.0. The Preliminary Subdivision Plat/Detailed Planned Development Plans are on Sheets P2.1 through P3.5.

The preliminary subdivision plans call for development of a new East-West collector street (Connecting SW 175th to SW Loon Lane) through the middle of the site as is called for by the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. This street will extend off of the existing SW Loon Lane to the east of the site and curve to the west through the site. The proposed improvements for the street will provide two-travel lanes, a landscaped median for approximately three blocks east of SW 175th, and sidewalk on the North side of the street, and a widened sidewalk/trail on the South side of the street.

A network of local streets will be developed through the development to provide access to the proposed subdivision lots.

The proposed subdivision also will provide for improvement of the site's frontage of SW 175th - an existing County arterial road which runs north to south along the site's western edge. In addition, additional right-of-way will be provided for enlargement of SW Scholls Ferry Road to the south of the site.

Utility infrastructure will be provided by extension of the existing City of Beaverton water main located within SW Scholls Ferry Road at SW 175th Ave., connection to an existing sewer main in SW Scholls Ferry Road, and construction of regional storm water ponds and LIDA facilities in accordance with the Clean Water Services LIDA Handbook.

Proposed lots and housing type:

Development Phase (Not representative of sequencing)	Detached Single Family Lots	Attached Single Family Lots	Multi-Family
1	82	43	NA
2	156	NA	NA
3	33	NA	NA
4	NA	67	NA
5	NA	NA	340

Proposed minimum setbacks within South Cooper Mountain Heights are as follows:

Four types of homes are proposed: front loaded single-family detached, front loaded townhomes, rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking, and rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking. For each building type we propose the following building setback standards:

	Front loaded townhomes	Front loaded single family detached	Rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking	Rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking
Front building setback	12'	12'	10'	10'
Front garage setback	20'	20'	NA	NA
Porch setback	10'	10'	NA	NA
Side building setback	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' or 10' plus (10' for corner lots)
Rear building setback	15'	15'	3'	20'
Rear garage setback	NA	NA	3'	20'

The requested setbacks are within the ranges are generally consistent with Beaverton’s R2, R4, R5 and R7 zoning districts but with shorter rear setbacks for some attached single-family homes. The maximum building height also generally matches the above referenced zoning districts with the exception of a number of lots with sloping profiles and height allowances are requested. Due to the slopes, buildings whose height is compliant with standards of a flat lot are calculated taller than the standard provisions for certain slope conditions. Accordingly this application requests allowances for flexibility on the following lots:

Lot Numbers	Zoning	Plan Sheet
94 – 103 66 - 73	R2	4.0
139 – 154 163 – 169 194 – 222 263 - 278	R7	4.1
155 – 156 181 – 183 192 – 193 223 – 226 257 – 262 279 – 282 242 - 253	R7	4.2
63 – 65 170 - 171	R4	4.5

Requested height allowances therefore are:

Zone	Standard Height Maximum	Requested Height Maximum
R1	60'	60'
R2	40'	40'
R4	35'	40'
R5	35'	35'
R7	35'	40'

The proposed lots within South Cooper Mountain Heights have been sized to accommodate detached or attached single-family residences, as appropriate, generally consistent with the requested setback standards.

Open Space and Other Purpose Tracts

Several privately-owned tracts will be created through the land division process. The purpose for each of these tracts is as follows:

Tracts	Purpose
A, B, C, H, J, M, M, O, P, Q, R, V, CC	Open Space
F, G, L, S, U, W, Z	Natural Resource Areas
D, E, I, K, T, X, Y, AA	Water Quality Facilities

All of the above listed tracts will be owned and maintained by the project's future homeowners association.

Streets

Sheets P2.1 illustrates proposed street cross sections for all of the proposed streets internal to the South Cooper Mountain Heights Planned Development/Subdivision.

SW 175th Avenue forms the western boundary of the site. The proposed improvements for the arterial street along the western edge of the site will provide improvements to the pedestrian walkways and the intersections of the collector and neighborhood streets that travel into the site.

The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan calls for a collector street running east to west through the property connecting SW Loon Drive with SW 175 Ave. Said street, labeled Road 6C in the exhibits accomplishes this need. From a connection to SW Loon Drive it begins heading northwest for approximately 200 feet, and then due west until crossing the site's north-south oriented natural feature and then due west again to connect with SW 175th Ave. the collector road will consist of a curb to curb distance of 71 feet with one lane in each direction and a turn lane or median where relevant required. The preliminary subdivision plans call for development of a new neighborhood route street at the western edge of the site as a connection to SW 175th Ave. The neighborhood route extends approximately 1,200 feet northeast of SW 175th Ave, to connect with Road 6C

A network of local streets will be developed through the development. Local through streets within the subdivision will include 50 foot of rights-of-way, pavement width of 28-feet, curbs, planter strips, sidewalks, street trees and other street improvements. The local street cross sections are illustrated on the Street Cross Sections plan. Parking will be allowed on at least one side of all local streets. Alleys accessing homes in phase 1 and Phase 4 will not have on-street parking. Locations on the proposed local streets relating to the proposed cross sections are illustrated on the Preliminary Site Plan, Sheets P2.2 through P2.7. Relating the locations of the cross section areas to the street cross sections illustrated on Sheet P2.1 will indicate where one-sided or two sided parking is proposed.

Two stubbed streets, Streets I and C, are proposed to provide access to the north of the site.

Additional right-of-way will be provided along the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision site's SW Scholls Ferry Road arterial street frontage to increase the road right-of-way. There will not be any direct motor vehicular access to SW Scholls Ferry Road from the lots within the proposed subdivision.

Improvements, other than sidewalks are not proposed to be constructed along either of these adjacent arterial roads because the project team understands that Washington County intends to improve both roads in the near future through the County's MSTIP program (Major Streets Transportation Improvements program).

Utilities

Sheets P5.0 through P5.5 are the Composite Utility Plans for the proposed development.

South Cooper Mountain Heights is located within Basin 2 SCMAA as defined in the South Cooper Mountain Community Plans Sanitary Sewer Future Needs Memorandum (DEA, June 10, 2013, amended October 23, 2013).

Basin 2 SCMAA: This basin is bounded by SW 175th Avenue to the west, SW Alvord Lane to the north, SW Scholls Ferry Road to the south, and the study area boundary to the east. It includes a contribution area of approximately 100 acres. The anticipated sanitary sewer infrastructure in this basin will include a network of small diameter gravity sewer pipes and manholes located within future roadways and easements. Potential connections can be made in SW Scholls Ferry Road City MH “E1” or MH “A9” as identified in the Sanitary Sewer Existing Conditions Memo. A City of Beaverton/CWS project is proposed to upsize the existing sewer main to the east in Scholls Ferry Road. This project is expected to be constructed in the summer of 2015, with the final connection to the trunkline pending final permits and construction schedule within the allowable in-water window. Until the connection is made, capacity may be limited for development within Basin 2 SCMAA, with capacity assigned at the time building permits are issued.

The South Cooper Mountain Heights gravity sewer mains will be stubbed to the north at a size and depth to accommodate upstream/adjacent flows from future development in the urban reserves north of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan’s East Neighborhood.

Public water supply for the subdivision will be provided by the City of Beaverton. 24 -inch water transmission mains will be extended within SW Scholls Ferry Road and SW 175th Ave as required for development within South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area. The South Cooper Mountain Heights project will connect to this transmission main in one location and will provide a 12-inch public water main line within the proposed public streets (Road 6C). The water main will be stubbed to the south to provide for future connection to adjacent development. Fire hydrants will be installed as directed by City of Beaverton and the Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue District.

Storm drainage collection and treatment for this area is the responsibility of City of Beaverton. A Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan for the project is included as in the Impact Assessment section of this report. This Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan outlines compliance with the Clean Water Services’ (CWS) Design & Construction Standards (Clean Water Services 2007 and Clean Water Services 2009). Storm drainage will be collected by a system of storm sewers within the public streets within and adjacent to the site. Lots will, in general, be graded to direct surface flows to the adjacent streets and be served by laterals from the public storm sewers. The storm sewers within the development will direct storm water to regional storm water management treatment and detention facilities located within proposed tracts D, E, IO, K, T, X, Y and AA. Discharge from these stormwater facilities will be directed to the existing drainage to the south. Public stormwater management easements will be provided.

III. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE STANDARDS OF CHAPTER 20 LAND USES

20.05.10. Purpose.

1. R1 Residential Urban High Density District (1,000)

The R1 District is intended to establish high density residential developments where a minimum land area of 1,000 square feet is available for each dwelling unit.

2. R2 Residential Urban Medium Density District (2,000)

The R2 District is intended to establish medium density residential developments where a minimum land area of 2,000 square feet is available for each dwelling unit.

3. R4 Residential Urban Medium Density District (4,000)

The R4 District is intended to establish medium density residential developments where a minimum land area of 4,000 square feet is available for each dwelling unit.

4. R5 Residential Urban Standard Density District (5,000)

The R5 District is intended to establish standard density residential developments where a minimum land area of 5,000 square feet is available for each dwelling unit.

5. R7 Residential Urban Standard Density District (7,000)

The R7 District is intended to establish standard density residential developments where a minimum land area of 7,000 square feet is available for each dwelling unit.

20.05.15 Site Development Standards

Response: The table at Subsection 20.05.15 provides Site Development Standards for the residential zoning districts. The proposed subdivision is designed to contain lots with the following zoning designations R1, R2, R4, R5, and R7.

This application is for property residing within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area. As such it relies on the density standards proscribed by that plan, as described in 20.25.15. While the densities are compliant with the aforementioned Table 2, a number of lots are smaller than the minimum specified by the chart within 20.05.15. Following is a breakdown of the proposed lots:

	R2	R4	R5	R7
Standard (20.05.15)	2,000	4,000	5,000	7,000
Minimum	1,049	3,520	3,800	4,389
Average	3,128	5,619	5,562	9,623
Maximum	1,780	3,985	4,422	5,709

*Numbers represent area in square footage.

Accordingly, this application requests relief from the minimum lot size standards.

Minimum lot width and depth:

Of the four zoning designations included in the application, three have lot dimensions proscribed by 20.05.15. Following is the pertinent information related to compliance embedded within the table describing the requested allowances for the proposed minimum lot widths and depths within the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision.

Zone	Standard Min. Width	Proposed Min. Width	Standard Min. Depth	Proposed Min. Depth
R2	14'/20' (corner)	NA	NA	NA
R4	24 detached/ 40 attached	NA	80'	74.95' (Shallowest is Lot #80)
R5	NA	NA	NA	NA
R7	65'/70'(corner)	47.39' (Narrowest is Lot #143)	90'	NA

Minimum setback standards:

All lots are configured so that detached single-family homes will be able to be constructed consistent with modern quality practices. Due to varying lot sizes, within the subdivision, front, rear and side setbacks will vary. Following is a description of the setback standards proposed within each building type.

Condition / Product	Front loaded townhomes	Front loaded single family detached	Rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking	Rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking
Zone	R2	R4, R5, R7	R2	R2
Front building setback	12'	12'	10'	10'
Front garage setback	20'	20'	NA	NA
Porch setback	10'	10'	NA	NA
Side building setback	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' or 10' plus (10' for corner lots)
Rear building setback	15'	15'	3'	20'
Rear garage setback	NA	NA	3'	20'

The applicable rear area standards for each lot are illustrated on the Preliminary Site Plans, Sheets P2.2 through P2.5. Accordingly this application is requesting reduced yard setbacks through the Flexible Setback application contained within 40.30

Maximum building height: 35 feet for all zones other than R2 which is 40 feet. The maximum building height generally matches the above referenced zoning districts with the exception of a number of lots with sloping profiles, accordingly height allowances are requested. Due to the slopes, buildings whose height is compliant with standards of a flat lot are calculated taller than the standard provisions for certain slope conditions. Accordingly this application requests allowances for flexibility on the following lots:

Lot Numbers	Zoning	Plan Sheet
94 – 103; 66 – 73	R2	4.0
139 – 154; 163 – 169 194 – 222; 263 - 278	R7	4.1
155 – 156; 181 – 183 192 – 193; 223 – 226 257 – 262; 279 – 282 242 – 253	R7	4.2
63 – 65; 170 - 171	R4	4.5

Requested height allowances therefore are:

Zone	Standard Height Maximum	Requested Height Maximum
R1	60'	60'
R2	40'	40'
R4	35'	40'
R5	35'	35'
R7	35'	40'

20.05.20 Land Use

Response: Section 20.05.20 specifies that Dwelling Units are a permitted use in each of the proposed zoning categories. This proposal contains detached single-family dwelling units in zones R4, R5, and R7. R2 contains attached dwellings, which are a permitted use within the zone. No compact housing or other uses are proposed.

20.05.25 Use restrictions

Response: No restricted uses are proposed

20.10 Commercial Land Use Districts

Response: Application is solely for residential uses. No commercial use districts are proposed or included within this application.

20.15 Employment / Industrial Land Use Districts

Response: Application is solely for residential uses. No commercial use districts are proposed or included within this application.

20.20 Multiple Use Land Use Districts

Response: Application is solely for residential uses. No Multiple Use districts are proposed or included within this application.

20.25.05. Minimum Residential Density.

A. New residential development in all Residential, Commercial, and Multiple Use districts which permit residential development must achieve at least the minimum density for the zoning district in which they are located. Projects proposed at less than the minimum density must demonstrate on a site plan or other means, how, in all aspects, future intensification of the site to the minimum density or greater can be achieved without an adjustment or variance. If meeting the minimum density will require the submission and approval of an adjustment or variance application(s) above and beyond application(s) for adding new primary dwellings or land division of property, meeting minimum density shall not be required.

Response: the tables below demonstrate that the application is compliant with the minimum density standards for each comprehensive plan category and the specific zoning designations

that are requested. The first describes the process of determining net land from gross. The second describes the densities on said net lands.

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Acres	ROW	Open Space	Stormwater	Wetland / Buffers	Private Drive Tracts	Lot for future dev.	Net Residential Acres*
High Density (To be developed through separate application)	R-1	11.53	0.16	N/A	0.33	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.224
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	2.86	0.55	1.26	1.62	0.5	N/A	4.39
	R-4	18.56	6.24	0.61	0.75	3.5	0	N/A	7.46
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	1.98	2.63	0.73	11.14	0	N/A	3.35
	R-7	46.63	10.45	1.17	1.9	10.58	0	2.26	22.53
Total		107.7							47.0

* The R1 zone has not been planned out yet. Accordingly the 80% standard from 20.25.05 has been applied to achieve the net acreage

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Net Residential Acres*	Minimum Net Residential Density	Net Residential Density	Maximum Allowable Density (units)	Minimum Housing Capacity (units)	Proposed Housing Units
High Density (To be developed through separate application)	R-1	9.224	26.1	36.9	402	321	340
Medium Density	R-2	4.39	13.1	25.1	96	82	110
	R-4	7.46	6.5	11.0	81	67	82
Standard Density	R-5	3.35	5.2	9.9	29	23	33
	R-7	22.53	3.7	6.9	140	102	156
Total		47.0		15.4	748	595	721

Residential Density Averaging. Within a single land use zone, residential densities may be averaged across a property in order to allow for a variety of housing types, provided that the property is within a single, contiguous ownership, except that within a PUD may be averaged across multiple land use zones provided that the applicant demonstrates that the proposed development is compatible with existing and planned development on neighboring parcels. For the purposes of this standard, properties within a single, contiguous ownership also include those properties separated only by a street.

Response: This application utilizes the flexible density capabilities inherent in the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. A PUD approval is sought through this application to designate zoning and standards within the subdivision.

C. **South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.** Within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Table 2: Land Use Designations and Capacity Estimates outlines the density capacity expectations for development of land within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area. The Land Use Implementation Policies of the Community Plan include policies that outline application of zoning and deviations from the capacity estimates of Table 2. [ORD 4652; February 2015]

Response: This application utilized the table 2 format from the community plan. Following is table that demonstrates compliance with the assumed density mix from Table 2. The policy allows for a plus/minus of up to 10% within any category. This application only varies in the medium density category – 8% more land is included in the higher density R2 land use designation.

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Acres	Net Residential Acres*	Assumed mix of zones from Table 2	Proposed mix of zones	Proposed Housing Units
High Density (To be developed through separate application)	R-1	11.53	9.224	100% R-1	100% R-1	340
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	4.39	30% R-2 70% R-4	38% R-2	110
	R-4	18.56	7.46		62% R-4	82
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	3.35	30% R-5 70% R-7	30% R-5	33
	R-7	46.63	22.53		70% R-7	156
Total		107.7	47.0			721

20.25.10. Floor Area Ratio

A. Floor Area. Floor Area is dependent upon whether residential development is involved or not. Residential only development is governed by minimum and maximum densities. Mixed Use Development (as defined in Chapter 90) and non-residential development are governed by minimum and maximum Floor Area Ratios. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) is the amount of gross floor area in relation to the amount of net site area, expressed in square feet. For Mixed Use Developments, no maximum limitation shall be placed on the number of dwelling units permitted. Multiple use developments with single use residential buildings are governed by residential density and FAR provisions, as calculated by 20.25.10.C, below.

Response: This application consists solely of attached and detached single-family residential buildings for which density is determined on the number of dwelling units rather than FAR

B. Permitted Density. Except as otherwise approved through the Final Planned Unit Development process, phased development may be proposed so long as each phase complies with the minimum density.

Response: This project is planned to be developed in phases. All phases are compliant with the minimum density requirements.

C. Method of Calculating Floor Area Intensity. Required minimum FARs shall be calculated on a net acre basis, as defined by Acreage, Net. For Multiple Use Developments with single use residential buildings, residential densities and non-residential FARs shall be implemented as follows

Response: This application consists solely of attached and detached single-family residential building which density is determined on number of dwelling units rather than Floor Area Intensity

D. Multiple Buildings. For developments or phases that involve multiple buildings, the FAR may be averaged by totaling the square footage of the buildings divided by the square footage of the net acreage of land within such development or phase.

Response: This application consists solely of attached and detached single-family residential buildings. No FAR averaging is requested

*E. **Planned Unit Development and Design Review Build-Out Concept Plan.** Projects may use the Planned Unit Development (PUD) or the Design Review Build-Out Concept Plan (DRBCP) process to develop a site in phases to achieve the minimum FAR established in this section. Such projects must demonstrate in the submittal plans how future development of the site, to the minimum development standards established in this ordinance or greater, can be achieved at ultimate build out of the PUD or DRBCP. The DRBCP may be used if the only Site Development Requirement being phased, altered, or otherwise varied is the minimum FAR. If any other Site Development Requirement is being phased, altered, or otherwise varied, the PUD process is to be used.*

Response: This application is utilizing a PUD process.

20.25.15. Density Allowances.

- A. **Accommodating Residential and Floor Area Density.** Residential and Floor Area density may be accommodated on a site where undevelopable areas cannot practicably allow development. The density allowance may be transferred from undevelopable areas to developable areas. Where higher intensity is proposed greater than the Code allowance, a PUD approval is necessary.*
- B. **Maximum Density.** Maximum Residential and Floor Area density is calculated based upon site gross acreage.*

Response: This application utilizes the flexible density capabilities inherent in the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. A PUD approval is sought through this application to designate zoning and standards within the subdivision.

20.25.20. Supplemental Multiple Use Density Standards.

*A. **Regional Center***

Response: Project site is not within a Town Center. Criterion is therefore not applicable

*B. **Town Center***

Response: Project site is not within a Town Center. Criterion is therefore not applicable

IV. COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPROVAL STANDARDS OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CODE CHAPTER 40 – APPLICATIONS

Below are the responses to the standards of Chapter 40 that were specified in the City of Beaverton Staff Pre-Application Notes. Other standards of Chapter 40 are assumed not to be applicable to this review.

40.03. FACILITIES REVIEW COMMITTEE

Consistent with Section 10.95.4. (Facilities Review Committee) of this Code, the Facilities Review Committee shall review the following Type 2 and Type 3 land use applications: all Conditional Use, Design Review Two, Design Review Three, Public Transportation Facility Reviews, Street Vacations, and applicable Land Divisions. Applicable land division applications are Replats, Partitions, Subdivisions, Fee Ownership Partitions, and Fee Ownership Subdivisions. In making a recommendation on an application to the decision making authority, the Facilities Review Committee shall base its recommendation on a determination of whether the application satisfies

all the following technical criteria. The applicant for development must establish that the application complies with all relevant standards in conformance with Section 50.25.1.B., and all the following criteria have been met, as applicable:

1. *All Conditional Use, Design Review Two, Design Review Three, and applicable Land Division applications:*

A. All critical facilities and services related to the proposed development have, or can be improved to have, adequate capacity to serve the proposed development at the time of its completion.

Response: Critical facilities and services are either in place or will be at the time of completion of development. Critical facilities include:

Public Water System

Public water supply for the subdivision will be provided by the City of Beaverton. 24 -inch water transmission mains will be extended within SW Scholls Ferry Road and SW 175th Ave as required for development within South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area. The South Cooper Mountain Heights project will connect to this transmission main in one location and will provide a 12-inch public water main line within the proposed public streets (Road 6C). The water main will be stubbed to the south to provide for future connection to adjacent development.

Public Sanitary Sewer

The anticipated sanitary sewer infrastructure in this basin will include a network of small diameter gravity sewer pipes and manholes located within future roadways and easements. Potential connections can be made in SW Scholls Ferry Road City MH “E1” or MH “A9” as identified in the Sanitary Sewer Existing Conditions Memo. A City of Beaverton/CWS project is proposed to upsize the existing sewer main to the east in Scholls Ferry Road.

Storm Water Drainage, Treatment and Detention

Storm drainage collection and treatment for this area is the responsibility of City of Beaverton. A Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan for the project is included as in the Impact Assessment section of this report. This Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan outlines compliance with the Clean Water Services’ (CWS) Design & Construction Standards (Clean Water Services 2007 and Clean Water Services 2009). Storm drainage will be collected by a system of storm sewers within the public streets within and adjacent to the site. Lots will, in general, be graded to direct surface flows to the adjacent streets and be served by laterals from the public storm sewers. The storm sewers within the development will direct storm water to regional storm water management treatment and detention facilities located within proposed tracts D, E, IO, K, T, X, Y and AA. Discharge from these stormwater facilities will be directed to the existing drainage to the south. Public stormwater management easements will be provided.

Transportation

Sheets P2.1 illustrates proposed street cross sections for all of the proposed streets internal to the South Cooper Mountain Heights Planned Development/Subdivision. Locations of said cross sections are shown on sheets P2.2 – P2.7.

SW 175th Avenue forms the western boundary of the site. The proposed improvements for the arterial street along the western edge of the site will provide improvements to the pedestrian walkways and the intersections of the collector and neighborhood streets that travel into the site.

The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan calls for a collector street running east to west through the property connecting SW Loon Drive with SW 175 Ave. Said Street, labeled Road 6C in the exhibits accomplishes this need. From a connection to SW Loon Drive it begins heading northwest for approximately 200 feet, and then due west until crossing the sites north-south oriented natural feature and then due west again to connect with SW 175th Ave. The collector road will consist of a curb to curb distance of 71 feet with one lane in each direction and a turn lane or median where relevant required.

The preliminary subdivision plans call for development of a new neighborhood route street at the western edge of the site as a connection to SW 175th Ave. The neighborhood route extends approximately 1,200 feet northeast of SW 175th Ave, to connect with Road 6C.

A network of local streets will be developed through the development. Local through streets within the subdivision will include 50 foot of rights-of-way, pavement width of 28-feet, curbs, planter strips, sidewalks, street trees and other street improvements. The local street cross sections are illustrated on the Street Cross Sections plan. Parking will be allowed on at least one side of all local streets. Locations on the proposed local streets relating to the proposed cross sections are illustrated on the Preliminary Site Plan, Sheets P2.2 through P2.7. Relating the locations of the cross section areas to the street cross sections illustrated on Sheet P2.1 will indicate where one-sided or two sided parking is proposed. Additional right-of-way will be provided along the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision site's SW Scholls Ferry Road arterial street frontage to increase the road right-of-way.

Fire protection

Fire hydrants will be installed as directed by City of Beaverton and the Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue District. The subdivision will be served by Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue Station 69 located at 9940 Southwest 175th Avenue approx. 1.25 miles north of site. Homes located in the northern section of Phase 2 – defined as north and east of the intersection of Streets T and N, are proposed to include interior sprinklers for fire suppression.

B. Essential facilities and services related to the proposed development are available, or can be made available, with adequate capacity to serve the development prior to its occupancy. In lieu of providing essential facilities and services, a specific plan may be approved if it adequately demonstrates that essential facilities, services, or both will be provided to serve the proposed development within five (5) years of occupancy.

Response: Essential facilities and services are either in place or will be at the time of completion of development. Essential facilities include:

Schools

The subdivision will be served by the following public schools: Scholls Heights Elementary School. 16400 SW Loon Drive, (approx. 0.3 miles east); Conestoga Middle School at 12250 SW Conestoga Dr. (approx. 2.8 miles east); The current high school - Southridge High

School is at 9625 SW 125th Avenue (approx. 2.8 miles east); a new high school is expected to be constructed just west of the subject property near Scholls Ferry Rd and SW 175th Ave.

Transit Improvements

No transit facilities are present within the site itself. The nearest bus line is Route 92, the South Beaverton Express, which stops at the intersection of SW Scholls Ferry Road/SW

Teal Blvd/SW Horizon Blvd (approximately 1.25 miles east of the project site). Line 62 stops at the intersection of SW Scholls Ferry Rd and SW Murray Blvd. just east of the 62 location. The standards of this section are not applicable.

Police protection

Protection will be provided by the City of Beaverton Police Department

On-Site Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility in the Public Right-of-Way

The transportation network was planning in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Additionally all streets are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual and are in compliance with the Comprehensive plan (see section V) Additionally, the proposed Road 6C contains on its southern side, a 10 foot wide sidewalk to facilitate the School to School Trail. It is buffered from traffic by 12.5 feet of bike lane and planter strip and is separated from properties by an 8 foot landscaped area.

C. The proposed development is consistent with all applicable provisions of Chapter 20 (Land Uses) unless the applicable provisions are modified by means of one or more applications which shall be already approved or which shall be considered concurrently with the subject application; provided, however, if the approval of the proposed development is contingent upon one or more additional applications, and the same is not approved, then the proposed development must comply with all applicable provisions of Chapter 20 (Land Uses).

Response: Following the pre-application conference Beaverton staff provided clear directions on the sections of the development code for which compliance would be necessary. This application demonstrates compliance with the criteria from Chapter 20 within the relevant sections that follow.

D. The proposed development is consistent with all applicable provisions of Chapter 60 (Special Requirements) and all improvements, dedications, or both, as required by the applicable provisions of Chapter 60 (Special Requirements), are provided or can be provided in rough proportion to the identified impact(s) of the proposed development.

Response: Following the pre-application conference Beaverton staff provided clear directions on the sections of the development code for which compliance would be necessary. This application demonstrates compliance with the criteria from Chapter 60 within the relevant sections (IV) that follow.

E. Adequate means are provided or can be provided to ensure continued periodic maintenance and necessary normal replacement of the following private common facilities and areas, as applicable: drainage facilities, roads and other improved rights-of-way, structures, recreation facilities, landscaping, fill and excavation areas, screening and fencing, ground cover, garbage and recycling storage areas, and other facilities not subject to maintenance by the City or other public agency.

Response: A Home Owner's Associations (HOA) will be created. HOA policies and responsibilities will be established to ensure compliance with this criterion.

F. There are safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian circulation patterns within the boundaries of the development.

Response: Safety, convenience and aesthetics are primary design considerations behind the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision. A network of roadways, from a signature east-west collector to friendly neighborhood streets and alleys has been developed to safely move people among destination within the neighborhood and beyond. Some highlights include:

- A school to school pathway running along the southern side of the east-west collector will form a walking and biking spine that will eventually extend even beyond the high school to the South Cooper Mountain Mainstreet district.
- Two separate walking and biking connections to SW Scholls Ferry Road that will provide for shorter routes to access the sidewalk network beyond the site.
- A walking path connecting the two planned Scholls Ferry connections with destinations north of the subdivision.
- A stairway bisecting phase 2 that provides quick and direct access to the neighborhood park and the school to school trail.

All streets are designed for safety in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual and contain the appropriate sidewalks, bike lanes and recreation opportunities befitting a first class community. The multi-modal transportation network that has been planned and designed for the site is described in additional detail in the Traffic Impact Analysis Report.

G. The development's on-site vehicular and pedestrian circulation systems connect to the surrounding circulation systems in a safe, efficient, and direct manner.

Response: As evidenced by the site plan the on-site circulation system provides efficient and pleasing access within the site and beyond. External connections lead to:

- SW 175th Avenue (two, Road 6C and Street F) on the west
- SW Loon Drive (via Road 6C) on the east
- SW Oystercatcher Lane (via Street O)
- And four connections available for future connection to the north (Street I, Street C, Street N and Street T)
- There is also one connection from Street N to the future Champlain to the north.
- There are two walking and biking pathways that connect the site to Sholls Ferry on the south.

H. Structures and public facilities serving the development site are designed in accordance with adopted City codes and standards and provide adequate fire protection, including, but not limited to, fire flow.

Response: The subdivision includes a number of attached single-family homes. All structures are designed in accordance with codes and standards that are aimed at preserving human health, safety, and welfare. Elevation and plan view diagrams of said proposed buildings are provided on Sheets X through X. Architectural plans will be submitted at time of building permit request for further review.

I. Structures and public facilities serving the development site are designed in accordance with adopted City codes and standards and provide adequate protection from crime and accident, as well as protection from hazardous conditions due to inadequate, substandard or ill-designed development.

Response: All streets and public facilities are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual.

- J. *Grading and contouring of the development site is designed to accommodate the proposed use and to mitigate adverse effect(s) on neighboring properties, public right-of-way, surface drainage, water storage facilities, and the public storm drainage system.*

Response: The subdivision is bounded on the North by vacant farmland, and the majority of the other sides by either a roadway or natural area. The southeast portion of the site abuts the Churchill Forest subdivision. Wherever roads are present the site is proposed to be graded to match current elevations. For the Churchill Forest subdivision this includes SW Oystercatcher Lane, SW Moorhen Way and SW Bittern Terrace. These roads will extend into and through the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision. No significant grading is proposed to extend these facilities; roadways will maintain grade standards matching the city of Beaverton's standards. The existing homes on the western portion of the existing subdivision will become adjacent neighbors to eight new homes. In each instance the new property will be developed to matching grade with the existing. The two properties NW of the intersection of SW Oystercatcher Lane and SW Bittern Terrace will neighbor a future neighborhood park. No grading is proposed at this time for the land adjacent to these properties. There are six lots north of Oystercatcher Lane that will neighbor a future stormwater facility. While there will be no change of grade abutting these properties, the facility will include a sloped bank leading downward to the north.

Grading is also addressed through compliance with 60.15.10 Grading in section IV Compliance with Chapter 60 (Special Regulations) of this application.

- K. *Access and facilities for physically handicapped people are incorporated into the development site and building design, with particular attention to providing continuous, uninterrupted access routes.*

Response: The street network and facilities are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual provide accessibility as required.

- L. *The application includes all required submittal materials as specified in Section 50.25.1. of the Development Code. [ORD4265; October 2003]*

Response: The applicant's project team has reviewed the application submittal requirements for all of the requested applications. This application narrative, supporting reports, and the plan set provide all of the necessary information requested by the application submittal requirements of the various applications.

40.15. CONDITIONAL USE

40.15.15. Application

4. Planned Unit Development.

A. Threshold. A Planned Unit Development is an application process which:

- 1. May be chosen by the applicant when one or more of the following thresholds apply:*
 - a. The Planned Unit Development (PUD) may be applied to Commercial, Industrial, Multiple Use, and Residential properties that are 2 acres or greater in size within any City zoning district.*

3. *Is required for proposed residential development of a site that is equal to or greater than 10 acres, including all phases, and located within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Subdivision occupies 107.73 acres of land, exceeding the threshold minimum requirements and is located within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area. The threshold has been met.

C. *Approval Criteria. In order to approve a PUD application, the Planning Commission shall make findings of fact based on evidence provided by the applicant demonstrating that all the following criteria are satisfied:*

1. *The proposal satisfies the threshold requirements for a PUD application.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Subdivision occupies 107.73 acres of land, exceeding the threshold minimum requirement.

2. *All City application fees related to the application under consideration by the decision making authority have been submitted.*

Response: The total fees paid to the City of Beaverton for this combined application package include:

Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment	\$3,057
Conditional Use – Planned Unit Development	\$2,922
Preliminary Subdivision	\$40,928
Tree Plan 2	\$1,055
Design review 2	\$1,342

3. *The proposal meets the Site Development Requirement for setbacks within the applicable zoning district for the perimeter of the parent parcel unless otherwise provided by Section 60.35.03.*

Response: The site is currently zoned AF20 by Washington County. The site currently does not have City of Beaverton zoning. The proposal contains zoning district R1, R2, R4, R5, and R7. The deepest side setback from those zones is 5 feet and the deepest rear is 15 feet. Assuming that this project fronts on SW Scholls Ferry Rd:

- The front of the property contains three lots (109,110, and 110) that are near the edge. The buildings will be located at least 10' from the property line, compliant with the standard for a 10' setback.
- The Rear of the property (to the east) contains seven lots abutting the property line (294, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302). With the exception of #294 these are proposed to have a setback of 15' from the lot line where the minimum from the proposed R5 would be 5'. Lot #294 contains a 5' setback, matching the minimum.
- Only one side yard contains lots near the edge of the property. There is one lot (#118) touching the northern or side yard of the property. It is proposed to be zoned R4 and to have the building 10' from the northern property boundary. This is within the requirements of this standard since an R4 lot would be subject to a 5' setback minimum.

4. *The proposal complies with the applicable policies of the Comprehensive Plan.*

Response: See section V of the narrative for demonstration of compliance with the Beaverton Comprehensive Plan.

5. *The size, dimensions, configuration, and topography of the site and natural and man-made features on the site can reasonably accommodate the proposal.*

Response: The natural setting formed the design framework for the subdivision. The South Cooper Mountain Community plan was developed among intense public engagement and with design rigor. The resulting plan built upon the natural drainages, general southern exposure and rolling terrain of the site. The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision moves the Community Plan forward by adding the local streets, parks and open space and future tax lots.

6. *The location, size, and functional characteristics of the proposal are such that it can be made reasonably compatible with and have a minimal impact on livability and appropriate development of properties in the surrounding area of the subject site.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan resulted from an extensive planning and analysis process. The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision has been designed to implement the community plan’s proposed housing types, densities, and infrastructure. Accordingly, the subdivision will have a minimal impact on livability and appropriate development of properties in the surrounding area of the subject site.

7. *The width of proposed lots or staggering of building setbacks within detached residential developments vary so as to break up the monotony of long blocks and provide for a variety of home shapes and sizes, while giving the perception of open spaces between homes.*

Response: Multiple lot widths are proposed for the subdivision. To break up the monotony of long blocks, road and pathway connectivity has been maximized to the extent feasible given the topography of the site, including adding a pedestrian staircase bisecting the blocks in phase 2. Four types of homes are proposed: front loaded single-family detached, front loaded townhomes, rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking, and rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking. For each building type we propose the following building setback standards:

Setback Distance (ft.)	Front loaded townhomes	Front loaded single family detached	Rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking	Rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking
Front building	12'	12'	10'	10'
Front garage	20'	20'	NA	NA
Porch setback	10'	10'	NA	NA
Side building	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' or 10' plus (10' for corner lots)
Rear building	15'	15'	3'	20'
Rear garage	NA	NA	3'	20'

8. *The lessening of the Site Development Requirements results in significant benefits to the enhancement of site, building, and structural design, preservation of natural features and the surrounding neighborhood as outlined in Section 60.35.15.*

Response: All proposed lots fall within the size envelope described by this section. Additionally, the overall unit count is slightly above what would be developed through a conventional design subdivision, allowing the proposal to better respond to the desires of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan for housing production, natural area protection and provision of open space.

9. *The proposal provides improved open space that is accessible and usable by persons living nearby. Open space meets the following criteria unless otherwise determined by the Planning Commission through Section 60.35.15.:*
- a. *The dedicated land forms a single parcel of land except here the planning commission determines two (2) parcels or more would be in the public interest and complement the overall site design.*
 - b. *The shape of the open space is such that the length is not more than three (3) times the width the purpose of which is to provide usable space for a variety of activities except where the Planning Commission determines a greater proportioned length would be in the public interest and complement the overall site design.*
 - c. *The dedicated land(s) is located to reasonably serve all lots for the development, for which the dedication is required.*

Response: the proposal includes the following proposed public open spaces:

Feature	Size	Purpose
Neighborhood Park	2.7 Acres	Multi-purpose, expected to contain features such as: play areas, gathering space, picnic structure, benches, flexible use field
Distributed Active Open Spaces	2.1 Acres	Gathering, respite and small area recreation. Located throughout proposed development.
Common Open Space	28 Acres	Visually accessed open space from roads and trails and benches. No physical barrier to entry is proposed.
Nature Trail	0.45 Acres	In accordance with SCM plan, leads from Scholls Ferry to the northern property boundary. By necessity the feature is longer than three times the width.
Pedestrian Stairway	0.51 Acres	Bisects phase 2, providing pedestrian access to the Neighborhood Park within phase 3.
Water Quality and Natural Resources	35 Acres	Preservation of habitat and treatment of stormwater while providing visually attractive landscape

The table above describes the open space that is physically or visibly accessible to residents and visitor of the proposed South Cooper Mountain Heights Community.

10. *For proposals within the SC-S (Station Community-Sunset) zoning district, the requirements identified in Sections 20.20.40.2. and 20.20.40.3. are satisfied.*

Response: The subdivision is not located with the SC-S zoning district. Accordingly this criterion is not applicable.

11. If the application proposes to develop the PUD over multiple phases, the decision making authority may approve a time schedule of not more than five (5) years for the multiple development phases. If a phased PUD has been approved, development applications for the future phases of the PUD shall be filed within five (5) years unless the PUD has received an extension approval pursuant to Section 50.93. of the Development Code.

Response: The application proposes to develop the PUD over five phases. The applicant understands that if the phased PUD is approved, development applications shall be filed within five (5) years. No extension request is anticipated at this time.

12. Applications and documents related to the request, which will require further City approval, shall be submitted to the City in the proper sequence.

Response: The application proposes to develop the PUD over five phases. The applicant understands that if the phased PUD is approved, development applications shall be filed within five (5) years. No extension request is anticipated at this time.

D. Submission Requirement. An application for a PUD shall be made by the owner of the subject property, or the owner's authorized agent, on a form provided by the Director and shall be filed with the Director. The PUD application shall be accompanied by the information required by the application form, and by Section 50.25. (Application Completeness), and any other information identified through a Pre-Application Conference.

Response: Application is made by owners authorized agent – Otak Inc. The application is accompanied by the information required as documented through a pre-application conference

11. If the application proposes to develop the PUD over multiple phases, the decision making authority may approve a time schedule of not more than five (5) years for the multiple development phases. If a phased PUD has been approved, development applications for the future phases of the PUD shall be filed within five (5) years unless the PUD has received an extension approval pursuant to Section 50.93. of the Development Code.

12. Applications and documents related to the request, which will require further City approval, shall be submitted to the City in the proper sequence.

Response: Future documents and requests will be submitted in the proper sequence.

40.20 DESIGN REVIEW

40.20.15. Application.

2. Design Review Two.

A. Threshold. An application for Design Review Two shall be required when an application is subject to applicable design standards and one or more of the following thresholds describe the proposal:

Response: The applicant and City of Beaverton, through a pre-application development conference, determined that based on item #3 (new construction of attached residential dwellings) a Design Review Two application was required.

C. *Approval Criteria. [ORD 4365; October 2005] In order to approve a Design Review Two application, the decision making authority shall make findings of fact based on evidence provided by the applicant demonstrating that all the following criteria are satisfied:*

1. *The proposal satisfies the threshold requirements for a Design Review Two application.*

Response: The threshold requirements have been met due to the development of new attached housing (item #3)

2. *All City application fees related to the application under consideration by the decision making authority have been submitted.*

Response: Application fees have been submitted to the City of Beaverton

3. *The proposal contains all applicable application submittal requirements as specified in Section 50.25.1 of the Development Code.*

Response: Application materials have been supplied. See page iii (table of contents) for a list of supplied materials.

4. *The proposal is consistent with all applicable provisions of Sections 60.05.15 through 60.05.30. (Design Standards).*

Response: See sections IV.4 of this application for demonstrated compliance with the Design Standards of the Conditional Use / Planned Unit Development Special Conditions.

5. *For additions to or modifications of existing development, the proposal is consistent with all applicable provisions of Sections 60.05.15 through 60.05.30 (Design Standards) or can demonstrate that the proposed additions or modifications are moving towards compliance with specific Design Standards if any of the following conditions exist:*

a. *A physical obstacle such as topography or natural feature exists and prevents the full implementation of the applicable standard; or*

b. *The location of existing structural improvements prevent the full implementation of the applicable standard; or*

c. *The location of the existing structure to be modified is more than 300 feet from a public street. If the above listed conditions are found to exist and it is not feasible to locate a proposed addition in such a way that the addition abuts a street, then all applicable design standards except the following must be met:*

d. *If in a Multiple Use District, building location, entrances and orientation along streets, and parking lot limitations along streets (Standards 60.05.15.6 and 60.05.20.8)*

e. *If in a Multiple Use or Commercial District, ground floor elevation window requirements (Standard 60.05.15.8)*

Response: The application does not propose any additions or modifications to existing development. The site is currently vacant. This criterion is therefore not applicable.

6. *Applications and documents related to the request, which will require further City approval, shall be submitted to the City in the proper sequence.*

Response: Future documents and requests will be submitted in the proper sequence

- D. *Submission Requirements. An application for a Design Review Two shall be made by the owner of the subject property, or the owner's authorized agent, on a form provided by the Director and shall be filed with the Director. The Design Review Two application shall be accompanied by the information required by the application form, and by Section 50.25. (Application Completeness), and any other information identified through a Pre-Application Conference.*

Response: The application is contained within a combination application and was informed by a Pre-application Conference

- i. *Conditions of Approval. The decision making authority may impose conditions on the approval of a Design Review Two application to ensure compliance with the approval criteria.*

Response: The applicant understands that there may be conditions of approval to ensure compliance.

- ii. *Appeal of a Decision. Refer to Section 50.65.*

Response: The applicant understands the requirements and procedures outlined in section 50.65 and that only said applicant or any other person who was submitted written evidence priori to decision may appeal the decision.

- iii. *Expiration of a Decision. Refer to Section 50.90.*

Response: The applicant understands that the PUD and subdivision decisions expire after five years and that the design review two and the tree plan expire after two years.

- iv. *Extension of a Decision. Refer to Section 50.93*

Response: The applicant understands that the extensions must be filed prior to expiration of approvals

40.45. LAND DIVISION and RECONFIRGURATION

40.45.15. Application

5. Preliminary Subdivision.

- A. *Threshold. An application for Preliminary Subdivision shall be required when the following threshold applies:*

1. *The creation of four (4) or more new lots from at least one (1) lot of record in one (1) calendar year.*

Response: The application requests creation of 383 lots. The threshold has been met.

- C. *Approval Criteria. In order to approve a Preliminary Subdivision application, the decision making authority shall make findings of fact based on evidence provided by the applicant demonstrating that all the following criteria are satisfied:*
1. *The application satisfies the threshold requirements for a Preliminary Subdivision application. If the parent parcel is subject to a pending Legal Lot Determination under Section 40.47., further division of the parent parcel shall not proceed until all of the provisions of Section 40.47.15.1.C. have been met.*

Response: The application requests creation of 383 lots. The threshold has been met.

2. *All City application fees related to the application under consideration by the decision making authority have been submitted.*

Response: The total fees paid to the City of Beaverton for this combined application package include:

Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment	\$3,057
Conditional Use – Planned Unit Development	\$2,922
Preliminary Subdivision	\$40,928
Tree Plan	\$1,055
Design review 2	\$1,342


3. *The proposed development does not conflict with any existing City approval, except the City may modify prior approvals through the subdivision process to comply with current Code standards and requirements.*

Response: The proposal does not conflict with any existing City approval. Rather, it proposes to implement a portion of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

4. *Oversized lots resulting from the subdivision shall have a size and shape which will facilitate the future potential partitioning or subdividing of such oversized lots in accordance with the requirements of the Development Code. In addition, streets, driveways, and utilities shall be sufficient to serve the proposed subdivision and future potential development on oversized lots. Easements and rights-of-way shall either exist or be proposed to be created such that future partitioning or subdividing is not precluded or hindered, for either the oversized lot or any affected adjacent lot.*

Response: One oversized lot is proposed. It is intended for potential future use for single-family homes, if and when roadway connections could be made. The topography and proximity to a natural area preclude reasonable roadway connections from within this proposed subdivision. The lot is being planned and reserved to provide the potential for development at such time that the property to the north is developed with roadways that might provide necessary access. The following shadow plat describes the potential layout of homes on the site. At such time when a connecting road could be provided from the northern property the oversized lot could accommodate five (5) residential lots for detached single-family homes plus two (2) potential open space tracts.

Note five (5) potential future lots that could be created if and when roadway access becomes available as a result of future development north of the project site.



5. *If phasing is requested by the applicant, the requested phasing plan meets all applicable City standards and provides for necessary public improvements for each phase as the project develops.*

Response: Phasing is requested for development of the South Cooper Mountain Heights Subdivision. The subdivision has been planned to enable a phased development approach. All necessary public improvements will be in place before or during time of construction for each phase of the project. See Preliminary Site Layout Plan, Sheet P2.0 for illustration of phasing order and geographic extent.

6. *Applications that apply the lot area averaging standards of Section 20.05.15.D. shall demonstrate that the resulting land division facilitates the following:*
- a) *Preserves a designated Historic Resource or Significant Natural Resource (Tree, Grove, Riparian Area, Wetland, or similar resource); or,*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision includes 35 acres riparian corridors for which protective buffers will be established and enforced. The site plans concentrate the development away from these clearly demarked sensitive areas for which buffer protections are established. The removal of more than one-fourth of the land from the buildable area of the parent parcel is partially mitigated through the averaging the lot areas.

- b) *Complies with minimum density requirements of the Development Code, provides appropriate lot size transitions adjacent to differently zoned properties, minimizes grading impacts on adjacent properties, and where a street is proposed provides a standard street cross section with sidewalks.*

Response: The densities for detached and attached dwellings within this PUD application are greater than the required minimum net densities, and lower than the maximum allowable densities as evidenced by the table below (repeated in response to 60.35.10).

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Residential Acres	Net Residential Acres	Minimum Net Housing Capacity (units)	Maximum Net Housing Capacity (units)	Proposed Housing Units
High Density	R-1	11.53	9.22	321	402	340
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	4.39	82	96	110
	R-4	18.56	7.46	67	81	82
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	3.35	23	29	33
	R-7	46.63	22.53	102	140	156
	Total	107.7	47.0	595.4	748	721

Transitions between lots are facilitated by roadways and sidewalks. Grades are matched with existing adjacent developed properties and roadways. Compliant street cross sections, with sidewalks are provided on Sheet P2.1 — Preliminary Street Cross Sections.

8. *The proposal does not create a lot which will have more than one (1) zoning designation.*

Response: No lots with more than one (1) zoning designation are proposed.

9. *Applications and documents related to the request requiring further City approval shall be submitted to the City in the proper sequence.*

Response: Future documents and requests will be submitted in the proper sequence.

D. Submission Requirements.

1. *An application for a Preliminary Subdivision shall be made by the owner of the subject property, or the owner's authorized agent, on a form provided by the Director and shall be filed with the Director. Provided, however, where the application is made in conjunction with a Legal Lot Determination under Section 40.47., the City may consider the application even if fewer than all the owners of the existing legal lot or parcel have applied for the approval. The Preliminary Subdivision application shall be accompanied by the information required by the application form, and by Section 50.25. (Application Completeness), and any other information identified through a Pre-Application Conference.*

Response: Application is made by owners authorized agent – Otak Inc. The application is accompanied by the information required as documented through a pre-application conference.

40.90. TREE PLAN

40.90.15. Application

2. Tree Plan Two.

A. *Threshold. An application for Tree Plan Two shall be required when none of the actions listed in Section 40.90.10. apply, none of the thresholds listed in Section 40.90.15.1. apply, and one or more of the following thresholds apply:*

1. *Removal of five (5) or more Community Trees, or more than 10% of the number of Community Trees on the site, whichever is greater, within a one (1) calendar year period, except as allowed in Section 40.90.10.1.*
2. *Multiple Use zoning district: Removal of up to and including 85% of the total DBH of non-exempt surveyed tree(s) found on the project site within SNRAs, Significant Groves, or Sensitive Areas as defined by Clean Water Services.*
3. *Commercial, Residential, or Industrial zoning district: Removal of up to and including 75% of the total DBH of non-exempt surveyed tree(s) found on the project site within SNRAs, Significant Groves, or Sensitive Areas as defined by Clean Water Services.*
4. *Removal of a Significant Individual Tree(s).*

Response: Threshold is met through items #1 and #3 above. #1 - More than five (5) Community Trees are proposed for removal within the South Cooper Mountain Heights Subdivision. #3 The site contains SNRA lands upon which trees are proposed for removal. The threshold has therefore been met for requirement of a Tree Plan Two as per 40.90.15.2

C. *Approval Criteria. In order to approve a Tree Plan Two application, the decision making authority shall make findings of fact based on evidence provided by the applicant demonstrating that all the following criteria are satisfied:*

1. *The proposal satisfies the threshold requirements for a Tree Plan Two application.*

Response: More than five (5) Community Trees are proposed for removal within the South Cooper Mountain Heights Subdivision. The threshold has therefore been met for requirement of a Tree Plan Two as per 40.90.15.2

2. *All City application fees related to the application under consideration by the decision making authority have been submitted.*

Response: The total fees paid to the City of Beaverton for this combined application package include:

Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment	\$3,057
Conditional Use – Planned Unit Development	\$2,922
Preliminary Subdivision	\$40,928
Tree Plan 2	\$1,055
Design review 2	\$1,342

3. *If applicable, removal of any tree is necessary to observe good forestry practices according to recognized American National Standards Institute (ANSI) A300-1995 standards and International Society of Arborists (ISA) standards on the subject.*

Response: Tree removal is for the purpose of development of residential lots, roadways, open spaces, stream daylighting and a neighborhood park. Forestry practices are not the influencing factor. Therefore, this criterion is not applicable.

4. *If applicable, removal of any tree is necessary to accommodate physical development where no reasonable alternative exists.*

Response: Tree removal is for the purpose of development of residential lots, roadways, open spaces and a neighborhood park. Removal is necessary to accommodate the proposed physical development.

5. *If applicable, removal of any tree is necessary because it has become a nuisance by virtue of damage to property or improvements, either public or private, on the subject site or adjacent sites.*

Response: Tree removal is for the purpose of development of residential lots, roadways, open spaces and a neighborhood park. Nuisance is not the influencing factor. Therefore, this criterion is not applicable.

6. *If applicable, removal is necessary to accomplish public purposes, such as installation of public utilities, street widening and similar needs, where no reasonable alternative exists without significantly increasing public costs or reducing safety.*

Response: Tree removal is for the purpose of development of residential lots, roadways, open spaces, stream daylighting and a neighborhood park. Removal is necessary to accomplish the necessary public purposes.

7. *If applicable, removal of any tree is necessary to enhance the health of the tree, grove, SNRA, or adjacent trees, or to eliminate conflicts with structures or vehicles.*

Response: Tree removal is for the purpose of development of residential lots, roadways, open spaces and a neighborhood park. Two trees are slated for removal in an SNRA for the purpose of daylighting a stream (removing a piped conveyance). Said trees must be removed in order to access the pipe and rehabilitate the stream to a natural condition, which could be deemed a public purpose as the stream corridor functions to clean and cool storm water. (Sheets LTP-0 through LTP-11) Enhancement of tree or grove health is not a factor. However, removal will facilitate and therefore eliminate conflicts with future vehicles and structures.

8. *If applicable, removal of a tree(s) within a SNRA or Significant Grove will not result in a reversal of the original determination that the SNRA or Significant Grove is significant based on criteria used in making the original significance determination.*

Response: Tree removal is limited to areas intended for development, avoiding SNRA locations where practicable. Three trees are slated for removal in an SNRA. One is one Douglas Fir tree slated for removal that is located a few feet inside of the Vegetated Corridor with root systems extending beyond to the extent that the tree may not survive the necessary ground activities. Two additional trees are proposed for removal from an SNRA for the purpose of daylighting a stream (removing a piped conveyance). Said trees must be removed in order to access the pipe and rehabilitate the stream to a natural condition. (See sheet LTP-8. This removal will not result in a reversal of the original determination qualifying the area as an SNRA. For all other conditions please refer to the Tree Plans and Tree Data Tables (Sheets LTP-0 through LTP-11) to review the tree preservation/removal along with a table that identifies each tree, provides the DBH, condition of the tree, and if it is going to be removed.

9. *If applicable, removal of a tree(s) within a SNRA or Significant Grove will not result in the remaining trees posing a safety hazard due to the effects of windthrow.*

Response: Tree removal is limited to areas intended for development, or for stream rehab as described above, avoiding SNRA locations to the extent practicable. Removal of these three trees will not damage a significant grove or make other trees vulnerable from winds as there are not in proximity.

10. *The proposal is consistent with all applicable provisions of Section 60.60. (Trees and Vegetation) and Section 60.67. (Significant Natural Resources).*

Response: The proposal is consistent with the applicable provisions of 60.60 and 60.67 – see applications sections IV.G and IV.I for detailed description.

11. *Grading and contouring of the site is designed to accommodate the proposed use and to mitigate adverse effects on neighboring properties, public right-of-way, surface drainage, water storage facilities, and the public storm drainage system.*

Response: Grading and contouring is proposed to accommodate the proposed uses and are matched with existing adjacent developed properties and roadways. See Sheets P4.0 through P4.9 Preliminary Grading Plan for details.

12. *The proposal contains all applicable application submittal requirements as specified in Section 50.25.1. of the Development Code.*

Response: Following the pre-application conference Beaverton staff provided clear directions on the sections of the development code for which compliance would be necessary. See Table of Contents for the complete list of submittal components.

13. *Applications and documents related to the request, which will require further City approval, shall be submitted to the City in the proper sequence.*

Response: Future documents and requests will be submitted in the proper sequence.

D. *Submission Requirements. An application for a Tree Plan Two shall be made by the owner of the subject property, or the owner's authorized agent, on a form provided by the Director and shall be filed with the Director. The Tree Plan Two application shall be accompanied by the information required by the application form, and by Section 50.25. (Application Completeness), and any other information identified through a Pre-Application Conference)*

Response: Application is made by owners authorized agent – Otak Inc. The application is accompanied by the information required as documented through a pre-application conference

40.97. ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

40.97.15. Application.

There are four (4) Zoning Map Amendment applications which are as follows: Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map

Amendment, Legislative Zoning Map Amendment, Non-Discretionary Annexation Related Zoning Map Amendment and Discretionary Annexation Related Zoning Map Amendment.

1. *Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment.*

A. *Threshold. An application for Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment shall be required when the following threshold applies:*

Response: The current request is for a change of zoning designation for two specific properties, and therefore satisfies the threshold for a quasi-judicial zoning map amendment that the rezoning request apply to a single property or a limited number of properties.

C. *Approval Criteria. In order to approve a Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment application, the decision making authority shall make findings of fact based on evidence provided by the applicant demonstrating that all the following criteria are satisfied:*

1. *The proposal satisfies the threshold requirements for a Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment application.*

Response: See response to section A above; the proposal satisfies the threshold requirement.

2. *All City application fees related to the application under consideration by the decision making authority have been submitted.*

Response: The total fees paid to the City of Beaverton for this combined application package include:

Quasi-Judicial Zoning Map Amendment	\$3,057
Conditional Use – Planned Unit Development	\$2,922
Preliminary Subdivision	\$40,928
Tree Plan 2	\$1,055
Design review 2	\$1,342

3. *The proposal conforms with applicable policies of the City's Comprehensive Plan.*

Response: City of Beaverton staff listed a number of comprehensive plan policies which they thought may be applicable to either the request for approval of a zoning map amendment or to the request for approval of a conditional use/planned unit development. City Staff have pointed out which one of the plan policies they believed applied to which of these applications. Therefore, we have responded in the appropriate section of this application narrative to all of the comprehensive plan policies which City staff called out. See Section V for response.

4. *All critical facilities and services are available or can be made available to an adequate capacity to serve the site and uses allowed by the proposed zoning designation.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan analyzed the site and facilities and developed recommendations for new infrastructure and capacity upgrades where necessary. It also included analysis of public safety, parks and open space. The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision has been designed to implement the community plan's proposed zoning densities. The phasing plan in Impact Study G demonstrates that

the entirety of the proposal, plus each phase when examined alone will have the necessary critical facilities such as public water, sanitary sewer, storm water drainage, treatment and detention, roads and pathways and fire protection. Accordingly critical facilities and services are either in place, or will be through the proposed infrastructure investments.

5. *Essential facilities and services are available or can be made available to serve the site and uses allowed by the proposed zoning designation.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan analyzed the site and facilities and developed recommendations for new infrastructure and capacity upgrades where necessary. It also included analysis of public safety, parks and open space. The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision has been designed to implement the community plan's proposed zoning densities. The calculations in Appendix D and Sheets P2.0 through P2.13 demonstrate that the entirety of the proposal, plus each phase when examined alone will provide access to essential facilities such as schools, public safety and pedestrian and bicycle facilities. Accordingly essential facilities and services are either in place, or will be through the proposed infrastructure investments.

6. *The proposal is or can be made to be consistent with all applicable provisions of Chapter 20 (Land Uses).*

Response: Following the pre-application conference Beaverton staff provided clear directions on the sections of the development code for which compliance would be necessary. This application demonstrates compliance with the criteria from Chapter 20 within the relevant sections that follow.

7. *The proposal shall include a Traffic Impact Analysis that meets the requirements of 60.55.20. The analysis shall demonstrate that development allowed under the proposed zoning can meet the requirements of 60.55.10.1, 60.55.10.2, 60.55.10.3, and 60.55.10.7. The analysis shall identify the traffic impacts from the range of uses allowed under the proposed zoning and demonstrate that these impacts can be reasonably mitigated at the time of development.*

Response: A Traffic Impact Analysis has been completed. See the responses to these Code sections in Appendix A, Transportation Impact Assessment by Kittelson and Associates.

8. *As an alternative to 40.97.15.1.C.8, the applicant may provide evidence that the potential traffic impacts from development under the proposed zoning are no greater than potential impacts from development under existing zoning.*

Response: Applicant is not seeking the alternative approval.

9. *The proposal contains all applicable application submittal requirements as specified in Section 50.25.1. of the Development Code.*

Response: The applicant's project team has reviewed the application submittal requirements for all of the requested applications. This application proposes a zoning map amendment. The requested zoning would result in the following

Zoning Category	Number of Lots
R1	1
R2	110
R4	83
R5	33
R7	157

Sheet 6.0 provides a map of the requested zoning for this project. Information on the designation of each lot can be seen in Appendix D. This application narrative, supporting reports, and the plan set provide all of the necessary information requested by the application submittal requirements of the various applications.

10. Applications and documents related to the request, which will require further City approval, shall be submitted to the City in the proper sequence.

Response: This criterion guides future actions related to the development application and therefore cannot be responded to positively at this time. However, the applicant’s team is aware of this provision and will endeavor to submit all future applications and documents in the proper sequence.

V. CONDITIONAL USE – COMPLIANCE WITH PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT CHAPTER 60 SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

Below are the responses to the standards of Chapter 60 that were specified in the City of Beaverton Staff Pre-Application Notes. Other standards of Chapter 60 are assumed not to be applicable to this review.

60.05. DESIGN REVIEW DESIGN PRINCIPLES, STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

60.05.15. Building Design and Orientation Standards. *Unless otherwise noted, all standards apply in all zoning districts.*

Response: Details of proposed buildings for attached single-family housing within this subdivision are included in Section X Plan Set – Typical Building Plans and Elevations. The assigned locations of these buildings are shown on Sheet P2.12. This map sheet coupled with the building plans are included in this application to assist with demonstration of compliance for the sections 60.05.15 through 60.05.30 of this chapter. Details of expected typical buildings for the remainder of the subdivision are included in Section X Plan Set to assist with demonstration of compliance for other sections as relevant.

1. Building articulation and variety.

A. Attached residential buildings in Residential zones shall be limited in length to two hundred (200) feet.



Response: The longest proposed single-family attached buildings are less than 100 feet in length.

B. Buildings visible from and within 200 feet of an adjacent public street shall have a minimum portion of the street-facing elevation(s) and the elevation(s) containing a primary building entrance or multiple

tenant entrances devoted to permanent architectural features designed to provide articulation and variety. These permanent features include, but are not limited to windows, bays and offsetting walls that extend at least eighteen inches (18”), recessed entrances, loading doors and bays, and changes in material types. Changes in material types shall have a minimum dimension of two feet and minimum area of 25 square feet. The percentage of the total square footage of elevation area is:

1. Thirty (30) percent in Residential zones and all uses in Commercial and Multiple Use zones.

Response: All lots in the subdivision were developed to accommodate buildings with their entrances facing the street and permanent features such as windows, bays and offsetting walls with the requisite extensions, changes in materials and articulation. Two examples of proposed products are provided below. The first, a single-family detached building is typical of the smaller structures with the attached single-family building representative of the larger.



See attached product building elevations included in Section X Plan Set – Typical Building Plans and Elevations for additional details.

- C. *The maximum spacing between permanent architectural features shall be no more than:*
1. *Forty (40) feet in Residential zones, and all uses in Commercial and Multiple Use zones.*

Response: Proposed buildings for this subdivision are included in Section X Plan Set – Typical Building Plans and Elevations. These images demonstrate that there are no locations with spacing greater than forty feet between permanent architectural features. In fact, all of the attached housing units proposed are less than forty (40) feet in width. With each unit containing permanent architectural features the standard is easily met.

- D. *In addition to the requirements of Section 60.05.15.1.B. and C, detached and attached residential building elevations facing a street, common green or shared court shall not consist of undifferentiated blank walls greater than 150 square feet in area. Building elevations shall be articulated with architectural features such as windows, dormers, porch details, alcoves, balconies or bays.*

Response: Details of proposed buildings for attached housing and typical buildings for the remainder of the subdivision are included in Section X Plan Set – Typical Building Plans and Elevations. These images demonstrate that there are no blank walls that exceed 100 feet in length. Articulation with the features suggested is proposed to provide for visually attractive buildings.. The longest proposed buildings are for five attached single-family homes. One is shown below to illustrate the articulation of long walls.




2. **Roof forms.**

- A. *All sloped roofs exposed to view from adjacent public or private streets and properties shall have a minimum 4/12 pitch.*
- B. *Sloped roofs on residential uses in residential zones and on all uses in multiple use and commercial zones shall have eaves, exclusive of rain gutters, that must project from the building wall at least twelve (12) inches.*

C. *All roofs with a slope of less than 4/12 pitch shall be articulated with a parapet wall that must project vertically above the roof line at least twelve (12) inches or architecturally treated, such as with a decorative cornice.*

E. *Smaller feature roofs are not subject to the standards of this Section.*


Response: Buildings proposed for which this review is applicable are specified to have minimum roof pitches of 4/12 or steeper with eaves that project from the building wall. The image below shows that for the attached single-family, the main roof is proposed at 9/12 with smaller roof sections at 4/12. The image also illustrates the extended eaves for weather protection. For details and more information see Section X Plan Set – for the specific images and details of the proposed building’s plans and elevations.




3. **Primary building entrances.**

A. *Primary entrances, which are the main point(s) of entry where the majority of building users will enter and leave, shall be covered, recessed, or treated with a permanent architectural feature in such a way that weather protection is provided. The covered area providing weather protection shall be at least six (6) feet wide and four (4) feet deep.*

Response: The proposal specifies lot sizes, assigns buildings and specifies the building placements and garage locations. Buildings are designed with prominent entryways that are inclusive of weather protection compliant with this standard. See the images below for examples.



Entry doors and landing areas are protected from the weather by porches covered by extended roofs. These features also add to the articulation and aesthetic quality of the buildings



See Section X Plan Set – for the proposed Building Plans and Elevations for further demonstration of compliance.

4. Exterior building materials.


A. For attached residential uses in Residential zones and all residential uses in Multiple Use zones, a minimum of seventy-five (75) percent of each elevation that is visible from and within 200 feet of a public street or a public park, public plaza or other public open space, and on elevations that include a primary building entrance or multiple tenant entrances shall be double wall construction.

Response: All proposed buildings are specified to be comprised of double-wall construction. See Section X Plan Set for images of proposed buildings.

5. Roof-mounted equipment.

A. All roof-mounted equipment shall be screened from view from adjacent streets or adjacent properties in one of the following ways:

Response: This application for attached single-family homes does not contain any product types for which this standard would apply. See the typical roofline below – no roof mounted equipment is proposed for which views would be a concern.



6. ***Building location and orientation along streets in Commercial and Multiple Use zones.***

Response: No commercial or multiple use zones exist within the proposal. These criteria are not applicable

7. ***Building scale along Major Pedestrian Routes.***

Response: No major pedestrian routes exist within the proposal. These criteria are not applicable

9. ***Compact Detached Housing design.***

Response: The application does not propose any lots be sized between 25% and 50% of the base zone's minimum lot size. Accordingly there is no request for compact housing designs.

60.05.20. ***Circulation and Parking Design Standards. Unless otherwise noted, all standards apply in all zoning districts.***

1. ***Connections to the public street system.***

A. *Pedestrian, bicycle, and motor vehicle connections shall be provided between the on-site circulation system and adjacent existing and planned streets as specified in Tables 6.1 through 6.6 and Figures 6.1 through 6.23 of the Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element.*

Response: The site plan shows connections to SW 175th Ave on the west edge of the property a two collector streets (Road 6C). Additionally, a neighborhood route provides a secondary connection from the proposed collector to SW 175th Ave. This proposal is consistent with figure 6.4 of the Transportation System Plan and figure 6.4a depicting the detailed functional classification of roadways within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

2. Loading areas, solid waste facilities and similar improvements.

- A. *All on-site service areas, outdoor storage areas, waste storage, disposal facilities, recycling containers, transformer and utility vaults and similar activities shall be located in an area not visible from a public street, or shall be fully screened from view from a public street.*
- B. *Except for manufacturing, assembly, fabricating, processing, packing, storage and wholesale and distribution activities which are the principle use of a building in Industrial districts, all loading docks and loading zones shall be located in an area not visible from a public street, or shall be fully screened from view from a public street.*
- C. *Screening from public view for service areas, loading docks, loading zones and outdoor storage areas, waste storage, disposal facilities, recycling containers, transformer and utility vaults and similar activities shall be fully sight-obscuring, shall be constructed a minimum of one foot higher than the feature to be screened, and shall be accomplished by one or more of the following methods:*

Response: Only one portion of the site (Phase 5) would contain non single-family buildings where outdoor storage and waste/recycling storage would be collected in grouped locations where visible access could be of concern. Said buildings are not part of this application. These criteria guide future actions related to building design and layout and therefore cannot be responded to positively at this time. However, the applicant's team is aware of this provision and has specified lot sizes, drafted building placements and garage locations on the site plan to describe how the owner could implement the criteria. A draft of the potential layout of this phase is illustrated on Sheet p2.13. In regard to vaults, transformers and other utilities, such facilities are not included in this subdivision application. At time of final design, the screening requirement would be detailed.

3. Pedestrian circulation.

- A. *Pedestrian connections shall be provided that link to adjacent existing and planned pedestrian facilities as specified in Tables 6.1 through 6.6 and Figures 6.1 through 6.23 of the Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element, and to the abutting public street system and on-site buildings, parking areas, and other facilities where pedestrian access is desired. Pedestrian connections shall be provided except when one or more of the following conditions exist:*
 - 1. *Where physical or topographic conditions, such as a grade change of ten (10) feet or more at a property line to an adjacent pedestrian facility, make connections impractical,*
 - 2. *Where uses including manufacturing, assembly, fabricating, processing, packing, storage and wholesale and distribution activities which are the principle use of a building in Industrial districts occur,*
 - 3. *Where on-site activities such as movement of trucks, forklifts, and other large equipment would present potential conflicts with pedestrians, or*
 - 4. *Where buildings or other existing development on adjacent lands physically preclude a connection now or in the future.*

Response: The streets within the connected street system of the subdivision are provided with sidewalks to enable safe pedestrian circulation. As per figure 6.4 of the Transportation System Plan and figure 6.4a depicting the detailed functional classification of roadways within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan this network connects with properties beyond via SW 175th Ave. Additionally, Street C and Road 6C are stubbed to provide future road and sidewalk access to subsequent development within the detached single-family home phases and to the property to the north. There is also a pedestrian trail within phases 4 and 5 providing a connection to SW Scholls Ferry Rd to the south.

- B. *A reasonably direct walkway connection is required between primary entrances, which are the main point(s) of entry where the majority of building users will enter and leave, and public and private streets, transit stops, and other pedestrian destinations.*

Response: The proposal for review is comprised solely of attached single-family homes. Primary entrances for these buildings will be accessed from the street directly in front of the property, thereby providing reasonably direct walkway connections. The street network, with sidewalks provides reasonably direct pedestrian connections to other locations, within and beyond the site.

- C. *A reasonably direct pedestrian walkway into a site shall be provided for every 300 feet of street frontage or for every eight aisles of vehicle parking if parking is located between the building and the street. A reasonably direct walkway shall also be provided to any accessway abutting the site. This standard may be waived when topographic conditions, man-made features, natural areas, etc. preclude walkway extensions to adjacent properties.*

Response: The street network, with sidewalks provides reasonably direct pedestrian connections in most locations. Access to SW 175th by roadways is limited due to the facilities arterial status. The proposal contains separate, additional pedestrian connections in the proximity of Streets E and A. The review area is bounded on the East by natural areas. A future connection (Road 6C) will facilitate eastbound travel when phase 2 is constructed.

- D. *Pedestrian connections through parking lots shall be physically separated from adjacent vehicle parking and parallel vehicle traffic through the use of curbs, landscaping, trees, and lighting, if not otherwise provided in the parking lot design.*

Response: The attached single-family units for which this criterion is applied do not contain any proposed parking lots.

- E. *Where pedestrian connections cross driveways or vehicular access aisles a continuous walkway shall be provided, and shall be composed of a different paving material than the primary on-site paving material.*

Response: All streets will contain sidewalks comprised of concrete and be continuous despite crossing driveways into homes. No parking lots are proposed where a differing paving material might be needed.

- F. *Pedestrian walkways shall have a minimum of five (5) foot wide unobstructed clearance and shall be paved with scored concrete or modular paving materials. In the event that the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) contain stricter standards for any pedestrian walkway, the ADA standards shall apply.*

Response: All sidewalks will be constructed to a minimum of five (5) feet wide and provide unobstructed conveyance for all, including those with disabilities.

4. Street frontages and parking areas.

- A. *Surface parking areas abutting a public street shall provide perimeter parking lot landscaping which meets one of the following standards:*

Response: No surface parking areas abutting public streets are proposed. Accordingly this criterion is not applicable.

5. *Parking area landscaping.*

A. Landscaped planter islands shall be required according to the following:

- 1. Residential uses in residential zones, one for every eight (8) contiguous parking spaces.*

Response: There are no proposed locations for contiguous parking within the attached single-family areas of the subdivision.

6. *Off-Street parking frontages in Multiple Use zones.*

Response: This application does not propose any Multiple Use Zones. The criterion is therefore not applicable.

7. *Sidewalks along streets and primary building elevations in Commercial and Multiple Use zones.*

Response: This application does not propose any Multiple Use or Commercial Zones. The criterion is therefore not applicable.

8. *Connect on-site buildings, parking, and other improvements with identifiable streets and drive aisles in Residential, Commercial, and Multiple Use zones.*

Response: All buildings proposed are oriented with direct connection to identifiable streets and/or alleyways.

9. *Ground floor uses in parking structures.*

A. Parking structures located on Major Pedestrian Routes shall incorporate one or more active retail or commercial uses other than parking at ground level along the entire portion of the structure fronting onto such routes. Compliance to this standard is not required when a semi-subterranean parking structure is proposed, provided that the height of such structures, or portions thereof, is not greater than three and one-half (3 1/2) feet above the elevation of the adjoining walkway or sidewalk.

Response: No parking structures are proposed. The criterion is not applicable

60.05.25. *Landscape, Open Space, and Natural Areas Design Standards.* *Unless otherwise noted, all standards apply in all zoning districts.*

3. *Minimum landscape requirements for residential developments consisting of eight (8) or more units of Attached Housing or Compact Detached Housing.*

A. Common open space shall consist of active, passive, or both open space areas, and shall be provided as follows:

- 1. A minimum of 15% of the gross site area shall be landscaped as defined in Section 60.05.25.4.*

Response: The project site encompasses 110 units of attached single-family housing. The total area of this area is 11.2 acres. Only 4.5 acres of this land are programmed as developable lots. 0.83 acres are programmed as active open space with features such as a bocci ball court, benches and gazebos. These and other public spaces are landscaped as shown on the landscape plan Sheets L1.1 through L2.7.

- 2. For developments that are part of a Planned Unit Development, provisions of Section 60.35.15.4. shall apply.*

Response: See response to section 60.05.25.4 below.

B. *At least twenty-five (25) percent of the total required open space area shall be active open space.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Community plan identifies 12 acres of open space / resources for the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision. The same plan also identifies three (3) acres of active open space as required. The proposal for all units within the proposal totals 382. Accordingly, this represents a service level of 0.00416 acres, or roughly 181 square feet per unit. This design review covers 110 units. Pro-rating the open space requirement, this portion of the subdivision is required to provide 0.8 acres of active open space. The proposed plan proposes a system of dispersed park/open space sites that total 0.83 acres, surpassing the requirement.

C. *For the purposes of this Section, environmentally sensitive areas shall be counted towards the minimum common open space requirement. Aboveground landscaped water quality treatment facilities shall be counted toward the minimum common open space requirement.*

Response: The proposal includes 5.2 acres of environmentally sensitive lands and 2.28 acres of landscaped water treatment facilities. These are included in the land that is considered common open space

D. *For the purposes of this Section, vehicular circulation areas and parking areas, unless provided as part of a common green or shared court, shall not be considered common open space.*

Response: The project includes land devoted to road right of way. Said lands are not included in the calculation determining compliance with the required open space provisions.

E. *Individual exterior spaces such as outdoor patios and decks constructed to serve individual units shall count toward the common open space requirement, with the following restrictions:*

1. *Only a maximum of 120 square feet per unit may count toward the requirement.*
2. *Only patios and decks provided on the ground floor elevation level may count toward the requirement.*

F. *Common open space shall not abut a Collector or greater classified street as identified in the City's adopted Functional Classification Plan, unless that common open space shall be allowed adjacent to these street classifications where separated from the street by a constructed barrier at least three (3) feet in height.*

Response to E and F: The minimum open space requirement is met through common open space, active open space and environmentally sensitive lands. Additional, privately accessed open space will surely be developed at the time of construction but is not considered through this application for compliance with the standard.

G. *Common open space shall be no smaller than 640 square feet in area, shall not be divided into areas smaller than 640 square feet, and shall have minimum length and width dimensions of 20 feet.*

H. *In phased developments, common open space shall be provided in each phase of the development consistent with or exceeding the requirements for the size and number of dwelling units proposed.*

Response to G and H: The common open spaces used to satisfy the criteria are larger than 640 square feet and exceed the minimum length/width dimension of 20 feet. Each phase of the project will contain common open space that meets the standard.

- I. *Active common open spaces shall be included in all developments, and shall include at least two (2) of the following improvements:*
1. *A bench or other seating with a pathway or other pedestrian way;*
 2. *A water feature such as a fountain;*
 3. *A children's play structure;*
 4. *A gazebo;*
 5. *Clubhouse;*
 6. *Tennis courts;*
 7. *An indoor or outdoor sports court; or*
 8. *An indoor or outdoor swimming and/or wading pool.*
 9. *Plaza*

Response:

The proposed plan proposes a system of dispersed park/open space sites that contain the necessary features to qualify. Active open spaces are illustrated on landscape plan Sheets L2.1 through L2.7.

4. *Additional minimum landscape requirements for Attached Housing and Compact Detached Housing.*

Response: There is no compact detached housing proposed. The landscape plan for the attached housing illustrates compliance with the criteria contained within this section.

5. *Minimum landscape requirements for non-residential developments and Mixed Use Development.*

Response: There is no non-residential or mixed use development contained within the proposal. The criteria within this section are not applicable.

6. *Common Greens.* *The purpose of the following standards is to allow tracts designed to provide access for only pedestrians and bicycles to abutting properties. Common greens are also intended to serve as a common open space amenity for residents. The following standards apply to common greens:*

Response: The proposal does not include common greens. This standard is not applicable.

7. *Shared Courts.* *The purpose of the shared court standards is to allow streets that accommodate pedestrians and vehicles within the same circulation area, while ensuring that all can use the area safely. See Figure 3. Special paving and other street elements should be designed to encourage slow vehicle speeds and to signify the shared court's intended use by pedestrians as well as vehicles. See Figure 4. Access from a shared court is limited to ensure low traffic volumes that can allow a safe mixing of pedestrians and vehicles. Shared courts are limited to zones intended for more intense development to facilitate efficient use of land while preserving the landscape-intensive character of lower-density zones. The following standards apply to shared courts:*

Response: There are no proposed shared courts within the proposal. This standard is not applicable.

8. *Retaining walls.* *Retaining walls greater than six (6) feet in height or longer than fifty (50) lineal feet used in site landscaping or as an element of site design shall be architecturally treated with contrasting scoring, or texture, or pattern, or off-set planes, or different applied materials, or any combination of the foregoing, and*

shall be incorporated into the overall landscape plan, or shall be screened by a landscape buffer. Materials used on retaining walls should be similar to materials used in other elements of the landscape plan or related buildings, or incorporate other landscape or decorative features exclusive of signs. If screening by a landscape buffer is utilized, a buffer width of at least five (5) feet is required, landscaped to the B3-High Screen Buffer standards.

Response: Retaining walls are planned to include landscape treatment as shown in the landscape plan. Location of walls can be seen on Sheets P4.0 through P4.9. **To ensure aesthetic quality, the proposer utilizes Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) products rather than simple scored or fluted poured concrete. The products of choice come from two vendors – Keystone Walls**

(<http://www.keystonewalls.com/pages/products/CenturyWall.html>)

and Allan Block (<http://www.allanblock.com/products/ab-collection.aspx#ab-features>)

. The products are similar in appearance, and are sources based on availability at time of construction. Product images from these companies are provided below.

KEYSTONE CENTURY WALL®

Century Wall



small

medium

large



Century Wall
Product Data Sheet

	Keystone Century Wall			Keystone Half Century Wall		
	small	medium	large	small	medium	large
height	8"	8"	8"	4"	4"	4"
width	7"	11"	18"	7"	11"	18"
depth	12"	12"	12"	12"	12"	12"
weight	41-45 lbs	54-58 lbs	82-87 lbs	20-23 lbs	25-30 lbs	40-45 lbs
pins	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

Product size, weight, color and product availability varies by location. Please contact your local manufacture for up to date product information.

KEYSTONE HALF-CENTURY



small

medium

large

Downloadable Specifications:

- [Keystone Century Wall Specifications](#)

AB Collection				
Name	Setback	Coverage	Weight	Approximate Dimensions
 AB Stones Best Single Block Choice	12°	1 sq ft. approx. 11 blk per m ²	75 lbs 35 kg	8 in. H x 12 in. D x 18 in. L 200mm H x 300mm D x 460mm L
 AB Classic	6°	1 sq ft. approx. 11 blk per m ²	75 lbs 35 kg	8 in. H x 12 in. D x 18 in. L 200mm H x 300mm D x 460mm L
 AB Jumbo Junior	6°	0.5 sq ft. approx. 22 blk per m ²	35 lbs 15 kg	8 in. H x 9.5 in. D x 9 in. L 200mm H x 240mm D x 230mm L
 AB Lite Stone	6°	0.5 sq ft. approx. 22 blk per m ²	35 lbs 15 kg	4 in. H x 12 in. D x 18 in. L 100mm H x 300mm D x 460mm L
 AB Junior Lite	6°	0.25 sq ft. approx. 44 blk per m ²	18 lbs 10 kg	4 in. H x 12 in. D x 9 in. L 100mm H x 300mm D x 230mm L
 AB Caps	-	-	55 lbs 25 kg	4 in. H x 12 in. D x 18 in. L 100mm H x 300mm D x 460mm L
 AB Corners	-	-	55 lbs 25 kg	8 in. H x 8 in. D x 16 in. L 200mm H x 200mm D x 400mm L
 AB Rocks <i>*Typically Custom Ordered Check with Local Manufacturer for Availability</i>	6°	1 sq ft. approx. 11 blk per m ²	75 lbs 35 kg	8 in. H x 12 in. D x 18 in. L 200mm H x 300mm D x 460mm L
 AB Vertical <i>*For Commercial Use Typically Custom Ordered Check with Local Manufacturer for Availability</i>	3°	1 sq ft. approx. 11 blk per m ²	75 lbs 35 kg	8 in. H x 12 in. D x 18 in. L 200mm H x 300mm D x 460mm L

Actual dimensions, weights and setbacks will vary by manufacturer. Check with your [local Allan Block manufacturer](#) for exact specifications and color availability.

Retaining walls at the drainage crossings may be of a different style (ultrablock, cast in place concrete, MSE) depending on final design and structural requirements. Any walls related to water quality facilities will also be planted in accord with CWS standards.

9. Fences and walls.

A. Fences and walls shall be constructed of any materials commonly used in the construction of fences and walls such as wood, stone, rock, or brick, or other durable materials.

- B. *Chain link fences are acceptable as long as the fence is coated and includes slats made of vinyl, wood or other durable material. Slats may not be required when visibility into features such as open space, natural areas, parks and similar areas is needed to assure visual security, or into on-site areas in industrial zones that require visual surveillance.*
- C. *Masonry walls shall be a minimum of six inches thick. All other walls shall be a minimum of three inches thick.*
- D. *For manufacturing, assembly, fabricating, processing, packing, storage and wholesale and distribution activities which are the principle use of a building in Industrial districts, the preceding standards apply when visible from and within 200 feet of a public street.*
- E. *Fences and walls:*
 - 1. *May not exceed three feet in height in a required front yard along streets, except required above ground stormwater facilities fencing which may be four feet in height in a required front yard, and eight feet in all other locations.*
 - 2. *May be permitted up to six feet in a required front yard along designated Collector and Arterial streets.*
 - 3. *For detached housing along streets and housing facing common greens and shared courts in Multiple Use zones, 3 feet high fences and walls are permitted in front of the building, and on corner lots abutting a street, along the side of the building. Higher fences and walls are permitted on corner lots along the side of the building beginning within 15 feet of the back end of the building nearest to the property line.*

Response: The accompanying preliminary grading plan (Sheets P4.0 through P4.9) details the use of retaining walls within the properties. The landscaping plan (Sheets L1.1 through L1.5) demonstrates that the features will be constructed in a manner containing appropriate architectural treatment or materials as required. The accompanying landscaping plan (Sheets L1.1 through L1.5) also demonstrates that the fences will be constructed and located as required. No fences are proposed to exceed three feet in height in a required front yard along streets. There is a proposal for 42 inch tall fencing for stormwater facilities, compliant with the limits expressed by criterion E.1 of this section.

10. Minimize significant changes to existing on-site surface contours at residential property lines.

Exempting the circumstances listed in Section 60.15.10.2, the following standards shall apply to design review proposals where grading is proposed:

Response: the proposed subdivision occupies lands of varied elevation, slope and natural features. The lot, road and pedestrian patterns have been developed to minimize needed alteration of the landscape. The subdivision is bounded on the North by vacant farmland, and the majority of the other sides by either a roadway or natural area. The southeast portion of the site abuts the Churchill Forest subdivision. The existing homes on the western portion of the Churchill Forest subdivision will become adjacent neighbors to eight new homes. In each instance the new property will be developed to matching grade with the existing. The two properties NW of the intersection of SW Oystercatcher Lane and SW Bittern Terrace will neighbor a future neighborhood park. No grading is proposed at this time for the land adjacent to these properties. There are six lots north of Oystercatcher Lane that will neighbor a future stormwater facility. While there will be no change of grade abutting these properties, the facility will include a sloped bank leading downward to the north. The preliminary grading plan (Sheets P4.0 through P4.9) describes a condition in compliance with the standards of this section. The final grading plan will provide additional detail and enable these standards as a condition.

11. Integrate water quality, quantity, or both facilities. *Non-vaulted surface stormwater detention and treatment facilities having a side slope greater than 2:1 shall not be located between a street and the front of an adjacent building.*

Response: None such facilities are proposed.

12. Natural areas. *Development on sites with City-adopted natural resource features such as streams, wetlands, significant trees and significant tree groves, shall preserve and maintain the resource without encroachment into any required resource buffer standard unless otherwise authorized by other City or CWS requirements.*

Response: A vegetated stream corridor generally bisects the overall subdivision and forms the eastern boundary of the attached housing site. The stream corridor contains the following designations of habitat lands as identified by the City of Beaverton:

- Riparian Wildlife Habitat Class II
- Riparian Wildlife Habitat Class III
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Class B
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Class C

The project team has obtained from Clean Water Services a service provider letter which lays out the necessary buffer areas, allowable encroachments and mitigation areas. There are multiple locations where constrained topography results in the necessity of encroachment of Sensitive Areas. The largest of these is Road 6C which is needed to provide transportation access, as specified in the South Cooper Mountain Community plan, to the site and connecting SW 175th Ave and SW Loon Drive. The site plans accommodate feature adjacent areas for preservation that are in excess of the required buffers to facilitate mitigation in accord with CWS' requirements.

13. Landscape buffering and screening. *All new development and redevelopment in the City subject to Design Review shall comply with the landscape buffering requirements of Table 60.05-2. and the following standards. For purposes of this Section, a landscape buffer is required along the side and rear of properties between different zoning district designations. A landscape buffer is required for non-residential land uses and parks in Residential zoning districts. Both buffering standards and side and rear building setback requirements shall be met. Only landscaping shall be allowed in the landscape buffer areas. Buffer areas and building setback standards are measured from the property line, they are not additive. Where a yard setback width is less than a landscape buffer width, the yard setback width applies to the specified buffer designation (B1, B2, or B3 as appropriate). A landscape buffer width cannot exceed a minimum yard setback dimension. In addition, the buffer area and landscape standard are intended to be continuously applied along the property line, except as authorized under Section 60.05.45.10.*

A. Applicability of buffer standards:

1. *The buffer standards shall not be applicable to individual single-family buildings on individual parcels.*

Response: The proposed buildings within this subdivision are all single-family in nature. Accordingly the buffering and screening standards are not applicable.

14. Community Gardens (Note: Number 13 is repeated in the June 2015 update to Chapter 60; this was probably intended to be 60.02.25.14)

A. Fences. Community Gardens shall have a fence constructed of a durable materials commonly used in the construction of fencing. Fences shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in height. Coated chain link may be

- permitted. Temporary construction fencing, erosion control fencing, tree protection fencing and other temporary fencing materials shall not be permitted.*
- B. *Parking. Parking must be available in the general vicinity of the garden, on-street parking spaces may count toward this requirement.*
 - C. *Size. Community gardens shall not exceed one acre in size.*

Response: No community gardens are proposed. These standards are not applicable.

60.05.30. Lighting Design Standards. *Unless otherwise noted, all standards apply in all zoning districts.*

1. Adequate on-site lighting and minimal glare on adjoining properties.

- A. *Lighting shall be provided at lighting levels for development and redevelopment in all zoning districts consistent with the City's Technical Lighting Standards.*
- B. *Lighting shall be provided in vehicular circulation areas and pedestrian circulation areas.*
- C. *Lighting shall be provided in pedestrian plazas, if any developed.*
- D. *Lighting shall be provided at building entrances.*
- E. *Canopy lighting shall be recessed so that the bulb or lens is not visible from a public right-of-way.*

Response: A through E - Proposed lighting is adequate as per the City's Technical Lighting Standards and does not unduly shine or cause glare on adjoining or nearby properties. Sheets IL-01 through IL -04 describe the location, lamination, pole height, wattage, and light levels. Footcandle isolines are provided for porch and garage lighting to demonstrate compliance with the standards of this section. Subsections B, C, and E are not applicable: There are no locations within the proposal for which vehicular circulation is proposed. There are no pedestrian plazas proposed. There are no canopy lights proposed.

2. Pedestrian-scale on-site lighting.

- A. *Pole-mounted Luminaires shall comply with the City's Technical Lighting Standards, and shall not exceed a maximum of:*
 - 1. *Fifteen (15) feet in height for on-site pedestrian paths of travel.*
 - 2. *Twenty (20) feet in height for on-site vehicular circulation areas for residential uses in Residential zoning districts.*
 - 3. *Thirty (30) feet in height for on-site vehicular circulation areas in non-residential zoning districts.*
 - 4. *Fifteen (15) feet for the top deck of non-covered parking structures.*
 - 5. *The height of the poles for on-site pedestrian ways and on-site vehicular circulation areas shall be measured from the site's finished grade.*
 - 6. *The height of the poles on the top deck of non-covered parking structures shall be measured from the finished floor elevation of the top deck.*
 - 7. *The poles and bases for pole-mounted luminaires shall be finished or painted a non-reflective color.*

Response: Pole mounted luminaries are proposed for pedestrian routes as described by sheets IL-01 through IL-04. Proposed poles are Acuity Brand D-series LED bollards with an eight inch diameter and forty-two inch height, compliant with these standards

- B. *Non-pole-mounted luminaires shall comply with the City's Technical Lighting Standards.*

Response: Non-pole mounted luminaries are limited to porch and garage lights. Technical specifications are shown on sheet IL-04 demonstrating compliance with this standard

C. *Lighted bollards when used to delineate on-site pedestrian and bicycle pathways shall have a maximum height of forty-eight (48) inches.*

Response: Proposed lighted bollards are forty-two (42) inches tall, compliant with this standard.

Table 60.05-1. TECHNICAL LIGHTING STANDARDS

A. **Types of Lighting.** *The Technical Lighting Standards shall apply to bollard luminaire, pole-mounted luminaire, and non-pole-mounted luminaire.*

Response: All lighting will comply with the City's Technical Lighting Standards. Bollards are proposed for use along pedestrian ways and are described on sheet IL-01 demonstrating compliance with this standard.

B. **Areas to Be Applied.** *The roadways, access drives, parking lots, vehicle maneuvering areas, pathways and sidewalks of all new developments and building entrances shall be lighted in conformance to the technical lighting standards. These standards are not intended to apply to public street lighting.*

Response: All lighting will comply with the City's Technical Lighting Standards. No unique circumstances are present. See sheets IL-01 through IL-04 for technical specifications that show location of all non-roadway lighting, height, wattage, and described product, therefore demonstrating compliance with this standard.

C. **Conformity of Lighting Plans to this Section.** *All lighting plans submitted to the City shall comply with the standards of this table.*

Response: All lighting will comply with the City's Technical Lighting Standards. See sheets IL-01 through IL-04 for technical specifications demonstrating compliance with this standard.

D. **Standards.** *The following standards are required of all exterior lighting:*

1. *When a bollard luminaire, or pole-mounted luminaire, or non-pole-mounted luminaire has total cutoff of an angle greater than ninety (90) degrees, the minimum required interior illumination, the maximum permitted illumination at the property line, and the maximum permitted height of Luminaires shall be as shown on Table 60.05-1.*
2. *When a bollard luminaire, or pole-mounted luminaire, or non-pole-mounted luminaire has total cutoff of light at an angle less than ninety (90) degrees and is located so that the bare light bulb, lamp, or light source is completely shielded from the direct view of an observer five (5) feet above the ground at the point where the cutoff angle intersects the ground, then the minimum permitted interior illumination, the maximum permitted illumination within five (5) feet of any property line, and the maximum permitted height of Luminaires is also shown on Table 60.05-1.*

Response: All lighting will comply with the City's Technical Lighting Standards. Bollards are proposed for use along pedestrian ways and are described on sheet IL-01 demonstrating compliance with this standard.

E. **General Provisions.** *Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section to the contrary:*

1. **Design Standards for Residential, Commercial, Industrial and Multiple use Districts:**
 - a. *No flickering or flashing lights shall be permitted.*

- b. *No bare bulb lights shall be permitted for single-family attached development and multi-family attached development.*
- c. *No strobe lights shall be permitted.*
- d. *Light sources or Luminaires shall not be located within areas identified for screening or buffering except on pedestrian walkways.*

Response: None of the above listed prohibited items are proposed. Further, no luminaries are proposed for use within buffer areas.

2. ***Special Design Standard for Residential Districts.*** *No exterior neon lights shall be permitted.*

Response: No exterior neon lights are proposed.

3. ***Special Design Standard for Commercial and Multiple use Districts.*** *Exterior neon lights shall only be permitted when incorporated into the architectural design of a building.*

Response: There are no commercial or multiple use districts present. This criterion is not applicable.

F. Exemption for Specified Public Outdoor Recreation Uses:

1. *Because of their unique requirements for nighttime visibility, public ball diamonds, public playing fields, and public tennis courts only, inclusive of facilities located on school district properties, are exempted from the exterior lighting standards of Sections D.1 through D.2 above. These outdoor recreational uses must meet all other requirements for this Section and of the Code.*
2. *The outdoor recreational uses specified above shall not exceed a maximum permitted post height of eighty (80) feet.*
3. *The outdoor recreational uses specified above may exceed a total cutoff angle of ninety (90) degrees, provided that the luminaire is shielded to prevent light and glare spillover to adjacent properties. The maximum permitted illumination at the property line or, if required, the interior buffering line, shall not exceed two (2) foot-candles.*

Response: This application includes a 2.7 acre neighborhood park and several smaller active open spaces. The neighborhood park is expected to be used for public outdoor recreation uses. With the guidance of THPRD the applicant has developed a conceptual illustration of a potential attributes within the park. The current conceptual design contains a flexible play space that could potentially be lit. Prior to development of a master plan or detailed engineering a public planning process will be initiated as per THPRD's standard protocols. At that time, should lighting be considered, the above criteria will be used in the design process.

60.15. LAND DIVISION STANDARDS.

60.15.05. Purpose. *It is the purpose of this section to establish uniform design and In Industrial zones, where the principal use of the building is manufacturing, assembly development standards and requirements for all land division applications in Section 40.45. of this Code.*

60.15.10. Grading Standards.

1. **Applicability.** *The on-site surface contour grading standards specified in Section 60.15.10.3. are applicable to all land use proposals where grading is proposed, including land division proposals and design review proposals, as applicable. This Section does not supersede Section 60.05.25. (Design Review) and the exemptions listed in Section 60.15.10.2. will apply equally to design review proposals.*

2. **Exemptions.** *The following improvements will be exempted from the on-site surface contour grading standards specified in Section 60.15.10.3.:*
- A. *Public right-of-way road improvements such as new streets, street widening, sidewalks, and similar or related improvements.*
 - B. *Storm water detention facilities subject to review and approval of the City Engineer.*
 - C. *On-site grading where the grading will take place adjacent to an existing public street right-of-way, and will result in a finished grade that is below the elevation of the subject public street right-of-way; provided such grading is subject to the approval of the City Engineer, who may require appropriate erosion and sediment control mitigation measures.*

Response: The application contains activities related to items A and B above. Item C does not apply. The Northern portion of the property will contain two public streets that abut the property to the north that is zoned for RR-5 by Washington County. Stormwater detention facilities will be developed with approval of the City Engineer. One such facility is located within 25 feet of an existing residential area. The facility in question lies due north of SW Oystercatcher Land, east of SW Bittern Terrace and south of Road 6C. It is separated from the Sterling Park subdivision by a natural area buffer and the SW Loon Drive connection to Road 6C.

3. **On-site surface contouring.** *When grading a site within twenty-five (25) feet of a property line within or abutting any residentially zoned property, the on-site surface contours shall observe the following:*
- A. *0 to 5 feet from property line: Maximum of two (2) foot slope differential from the existing or finished elevation of the abutting property, whichever is applicable.*
 - B. *More than 5 feet and up to and including 10 feet from property line: Maximum of four (4) foot slope differential from the existing or finished elevation of the abutting property, whichever is applicable.*
 - C. *More than 10 feet and up to and including 15 feet from property line: Maximum of six (6) foot slope differential from the existing or finished elevation of the abutting property, whichever is applicable.*
 - D. *More than 15 feet and up to and including 20 feet from property line: Maximum of eight (8) foot slope differential from the existing or finished elevation of the abutting property, whichever is applicable.*
 - E. *More than 20 feet and up to and including 25 feet from property line: Maximum of ten (10) foot slope differential from the existing or finished elevation of the abutting property, whichever is applicable.*

Response (A through E): A description of slopes abutting adjacent residential properties is as follows:

Phase 1 – Properties to the north are zoned for residential use by Washington County and are within the South Cooper Mountain Urban Reserves. Along the majority of this interface a public roadway is proposed – Street A. In accordance with 50.15.10.2, these facilities are exempt from the standard. There will be one lot (#117) that will be within 25 feet of these northern properties. It will be graded to orient to the new public streets and will not exceed the standard of a 2 foot slope differential in accord with its proximity of five feet or less to the property line. The property is bounded on the west by SW 175th Avenue and therefore has no abutting directly adjacent properties for which grading and slope are factors. The eastern and southern edges of the phase are adjacent to internal property lines. There are no other adjacent residentially zoned parcels.

Phase 2 – Properties to the north are zoned for residential use by Washington County and are within the South Cooper Mountain Urban Reserves. Along the majority of this interface a public roadway is proposed – Street M and stubs from Streets N and T. In accordance with 50.15.10.2, these facilities are exempt from the standard. There will be one lot #207) that

will be within 25 feet of these northern properties. It will be graded to orient to the new public streets and will not exceed the standard of a 10 foot slope differential.

To the East this phase is bordered by a natural area buffer, separating new lots from the existing homes in the Sterling Park subdivision by more than 25 feet. There is no grading planned within the natural area. The western and southern edges of the phase are adjacent to internal property lines.

Phase 3 – The majority of the eastern portion of the property is bounded by the Churchill Forest residential subdivision. Grading is planned to match the neighboring properties and connect to the existing public roadways (SW Oystercatcher Lane and SW Moorhen Way). The property complies with the 2 foot or less slope differential for properties within 5 feet of an existing residentially zoned area. A similar condition exists for the northern panhandle of the phase as it moves eastward. It will be graded to match the extension of SW Bittern Terrace. Two properties located along SW Oystercatcher Lane, west of SW Bittern Terrace will border a new public park. The park will be graded within the 2 foot differential standard for a property within 5 feet of existing residentially zoned lands. The existing properties north of SW Oystercatcher Lane, between SW Bittern Terrace and SW Loon Drive will be bordered by a stormwater treatment facility and a natural area buffer. The western and northern edges of the phase are adjacent to internal property lines. On the south this phase is bordered by SW Scholls Ferry Road and therefore has no abutting directly adjacent properties for which grading and slope are factors.

Phase 4 – This phase is internal to the proposed subdivision and has no abutting directly adjacent abutting residential properties for which grading and slope are factors. It will be separated from phase 1 by Street F, and separated from phases 2 and 3 by Road 6c and the extensive natural area buffer. On the south this phase is bordered by SW Scholls Ferry Road, and West by SW 175th Ave, and therefore has no abutting directly adjacent properties for which grading and slope are factors.

Phase 5 – This phase is bounded on the west by SW 175th Avenue and the south by SW Scholls Ferry Road. The northern and eastern boundaries of the phase are adjacent land internal to this subdivision. Based on the above described circumstances this phase has no abutting directly adjacent residentially zoned properties for which grading and slopes are factors.

F. Where an existing (pre-development) slope exceeds one or more of the standards in subsections 60.15.10.3.A-E, above, the slope after grading (post-development) shall not exceed the pre-development slope.


Response: No situations exist where slope after grading exceeds prior slope in circumstances where the natural condition exceeds the standards of this section.

G. The on-site grading contours standards above apply only to the property lines of the parent parcel of a development. They do not apply to internal property lines within a development.

Response: As described above, each phase of the project has one or more edges that are adjacent to internal property lines. As such the standards are applicable and to the boundaries to the North, South and East.

4. **Significant Trees and Groves.** Notwithstanding the requirements of Section 60.15.10.3, above, grading within 25 feet of a significant tree or grove, where the tree is located on- or off-site, shall observe the following:
- A. 0 to 10 feet from the trunk of a significant tree or grove: No change in pre-development ground elevation;
 - B. More than 10 feet, and up to and including 25 feet, from the trunk of a significant tree or grove, or to the outside edge of the tree's drip line, whichever is greater: Maximum 10% slope gradient difference from the pre-development ground elevation;
 - C. Based on a recommendation of the City Arborist, the decision making body may require additional setbacks and/or other tree protection measures to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

Response: None of the following trees are present within the significant natural resource area: Western Hemlock, Mountain Hemlock, Pacific Madrone, or Bigleaf Maple. There are existing trees that will be retained on site and protected in the following manner.



NOTE:
 EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN (PER PLAN) – PROTECT WITH 5' HT. CHAIN LINK FENCING AROUND DRIP LINE DURING CONSTRUCTION. NEITHER TOP SOIL STORAGE NOR CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL SHALL BE LOCATED WITHIN THE DRIP LINE OF TREE. FENCES SHALL BE IN PLACE PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION. ADJUSTMENTS TO THE LOCATION OF PROTECTIVE FENCING MAY BE REQUIRED TO COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION OF PERMANENT FACILITIES.

TREE PROTECTION DETAIL

NOT TO SCALE

60.15.15. Final Plat Standards.

Response: This criterion is not applicable at this time. This application does not include a final development plan.

60.30. OFF-STREET PARKING.

60.30.05. Off-Street Parking Requirements. Parking spaces shall be provided and satisfactorily maintained by the owner of the property for each building or use which is erected, enlarged, altered, or maintained in accordance with the requirements of Sections 60.30.05. to 60.30.20.

Response: All proposed building lots include sufficient space for available resident off-street automobile and bicycle parking as per Sections 60.30.05 to 60.30.20.

60.30.10. Number of Required Parking Spaces. Except as otherwise provided under Section 60.30.10.11., off-street vehicle, bicycle, or both parking spaces shall be provided as follows:

1. Parking Calculation. *Parking ratios are based on spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross floor area, unless otherwise noted.*

Response: The application consists solely of residential uses which utilize a space per dwelling standard.

The application consists of 272 detached single-family homes. Each unit is required to supply one off street parking space, resulting in a minimum of 272 spaces. Each lot is planned to supply a two car garage plus a driveway with storage space for an additional two cars, resulting in a total of 544 off-street parking spaces. In all cases garages meet the minimum depth requirements. No maximum ratio is specified for single family detached housing.

The application also consists of 110 attached single family homes. Each unit is expected to supply three or more bedrooms. The minimum parking requirement for this product type is 1.75 spaces per unit, for a total of 193 (rounded up from 192.5).

Three styles of attached lots are proposed.

- 28 of these lots are front loaded, supplying a two-car garage and a driveway for one car for three total spaces per unit, resulting is eighty seven spaces.
- 62 rear loaded lots are planned to have a one-car garage plus a driveway with space for one car, resulting in two spaces per unit, for a total of 124 six spaces.
- 20 rear-loaded lots are planned to have a one-car garage, but no driveway storage. Accordingly, these units will provide a total of 20 spaces.

Number of Units	Type of Units	Required Per Unit	Spaces Required	Spaces Provided
271	Detached SFR	1	271	544
110	Attached SFR	1.75	193	228
28	front-loaded attached			84
62	rear-loaded attached			124
20	rear-loaded attached no driveway			20

The table above illustrates the allocation of off-street parking, demonstrating that the proposal supplies parking adequate for both attached and detached single-family housing at 228 and 544 spaces respectively

2. Parking Categories.

A. Vehicle Categories. *Contained in the table at Section 60.30.10.5. are vehicle parking ratios for minimum required parking spaces and maximum permitted number of vehicle parking spaces to be provided for each land use, except for those uses which are located in the RC-OT zoning district which are governed by Section 60.30.10.6. These requirements reflect the parking requirements of Title 4 of Metro's Regional Transportation Functional Plan.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision is served by Tri-Met bus line #92. The schedule as of June, 2015 specifies 24 minute peak headways. Accordingly, the site is not within Zone A or Zone B, hence standard City of Beaverton parking requirements are applicable.

B. Bicycle Categories. *The required minimum number of short-term and long-term bicycle parking spaces for each land use is listed in Section 60.30.10.5.*

Response: Bicycle parking requirements, 60.30.10.5.B, apply to the attached single-family dwellings within the subdivision. Each unit provides room for at least one required space within the attached garage. The additional requirement of 1 space per 20 units for short term visitors is easily accommodated through these same garages.

3. Ratios. *In calculating the required number of vehicle and bicycle parking spaces, fractions equal to or more than 0.5 shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number. In calculating the required number of vehicle and bicycle parking spaces, fractions less than 0.5 shall be rounded down to the nearest whole number.*

Response: Parking is provided on a whole unit basis, no fractions apply to this application.

4. Uses Not Listed. *For uses not specifically mentioned in this section, the requirements for off-street parking facilities for vehicles and bicycles shall be determined with a Parking Requirement Determination (Section 40.55.1.).*

Response: Not applicable, proposal is made for residential uses that are listed in section 60.30

5. Parking Tables. *The following tables list the required minimum and maximum vehicle and bicycle parking requirements for listed land use types.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision will be comprised of both attached and detached single family dwellings. 60.30.10.5.A “Parking Ratio Requirements for Motor Vehicles” specifies the each detached unit contains 1 off street parking space. Attached Single Family units require one space each, plus .25 spaces per bedroom. Off street parking is accommodated thusly:

- All front-loaded lots for detached dwellings are assumed to have a 2 car garage and a driveway for 2 cars (4 off-street parking spaces per lot)
- All front-loaded lots (lots 316-343) for attached dwellings are assumed to have a 2 car garage and a driveway for 1 car (3 off-street parking spaces per lot).
- All rear loaded lots for attached dwellings are assumed to have a 1 car garage. Lots 1-43 and 364-382 have a driveway for 1 car. Lots 344-363 do not have driveway parking.
- All front-loaded lots for attached dwellings are assumed to have a single car garage and a driveway space that will accommodate one additional vehicle (total of 2 spaces each)
- In addition to off-street parking the project includes on-street space for 228 vehicles.
- Bicycle parking requirements, 60.30.10.5.B, apply to multi-family housing and will be addressed when application is made for development of the future multi-family lot.

6. Old Town Parking Tables.

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision is not located with the Old Town area.

7. Exceeding Parking Ratios.

Response: No requests are made to go either above or beyond the parking minimums or maximums.

8. Residential Parking Dimensions. For all residential uses, any required parking space shall not be less than 8 1/2 feet wide and 18 1/2 feet long. (See also Section 60.30.15. (Off-Street Parking Lot Design) for other standards.)

Response: Off-site parking is provided in driveways and garages that will meet the minimum requirements for parking dimensions.

9. Parking Space Calculation.

- A. Multiple Uses. In the case of multiple uses, the total requirements for off-street vehicle and bicycle parking facilities shall be the sum of the requirements for the various uses computed separately.
- B. Spaces which only meet the requirements of one establishment may serve more than one establishment on the same parking lot, provided that sufficient evidence is presented which shows that the times of peak parking demand for the various establishments do not coincide, and that adequate parking will be available at all times when the various establishments are in operation.

Response: There is no shared or multiple-use parking proposed within the South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision.

10. Location of Vehicle Parking.

- A. All parking spaces provided shall be on the same lot upon which the use requiring the parking is located. Upon demonstration by the applicant that the required parking cannot be provided on the same lot upon which the use is located, the Director may permit the required parking spaces to be located on any lot within 200 feet of the lot upon which the use requiring the parking is located.

Response: All vehicle parking is provided on the same single-family lot upon which the use requires the parking is located – namely on-site garages and driveways. The exception to this standard is the neighborhood park which, as per THPRD direction, should be accessed by on-street parking.

- B. Except for single-family and duplex dwellings, groups of more than two parking spaces shall be so located and served by an access that their use will require no backing movements or other maneuvering within a street or right-of-way other than an alley.

Response: No grouped parking spaces are proposed, with the exception of single family lots where two vehicles may park on the driveway.

- C. In R10, R7, R5 and R4 zones parking and loading spaces may be located in side and rear yards and may be located in the front yard of each dwelling unit only if located in the driveway area leading to its garage.

Response: Where parking is located in the front yard it is indeed done so in accommodation with a driveway leading to the property's garage.

- D. Parking in the front yard is allowed for each dwelling unit in the driveway area leading to its garage. Also, one additional space shall be allowed in that area in front of the required side yard and closest to the driveway subject to the following conditions:

Response: No requests are made for additional yard parking spaces.

11. Reductions and Exceptions. Reductions and exceptions to the required vehicle and bicycle parking standards as listed in Sections 60.30.10.5. and 60.30.10.6. may be granted in the following specific cases: s.

Response: No requests are made for reductions or exceptions.

12. Compact Cars. *Compact car parking spaces may be allowed as follows:*

Response: No requests are made for compact car parking.

13. Carpool and Vanpool Parking Requirements.

Response: Criteria related to carpool and vanpools are not applicable to single-family subdivisions.

60.30.15. Off-Street Parking Lot Design. *All off-street parking lots shall be designed in accordance with City Standards for stalls and aisles as set forth in the following drawings and tables:*

Response: No parking lots are proposed. Accordingly the standard is not applicable.

60.30.20. Off-Street Parking Lot Construction. *Every parcel of land hereafter developed for use as a parking area shall conform to the requirements of the Engineering Design Manual and Standard Drawings.*

Response: No parking lots are proposed. Accordingly the standard is not applicable.

60.30.25. Enforcement. *The Director is authorized to suspend any permit if the usage of parking by the original use or temporary use or both increases beyond the capacity of the on-site parking or that the use is causing a nuisance to the public or surrounding properties.*

Response: No conflicts are foreseen with this standard. Parking is intended for use by the original use only.

60.35. PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT.

60.35.05. Purpose. *It is the purpose of these provisions to allow a Planned Unit Development (PUD) in any City zoning district. Uses or combinations of uses may be developed as a single, integral, functional unit or entity. The PUD provisions are intended to encourage innovation and creative approaches for developing land while enhancing and preserving the value, character, and integrity of surrounding areas which have developed or are developing under conventional district regulations. This is to be accomplished by using the following development and design principles:*

1. *Site design shall use the flexibility afforded by the planned unit development to:*
 - A. *Provide setbacks and buffering through landscape or building design abutting to existing development;*
 - B. *Cluster buildings to create open space and protect natural resources;*
 - C. *Provide for active recreation and passive open space;*
 - D. *Use resource efficient development and building practices that encourage innovative design techniques and construction practices that use energy saving technology.*

Response: The PUD proposal contains extensive natural area networks, buildings oriented for views of passive open space and access to active park and open space, and a connectivity pattern that works with natural topography.

2. *Site design shall maximize the opportunities for diversified architecture and outdoor living environments that respond to the existing site context by exploring design flexibility for siting structures, open spaces, circulation facilities, off-street parking areas, streetscapes, resource conservation, and creation of other site improvements*

that facilitate efficient use of land and create a comprehensive development plan which is better than that resulting from traditional subdivision development;

Response: A range of development sites are made possible through this PUD application, from alley-loaded attached single-family to larger lots with views of natural areas that can be home to a variety of architectural styles.

3. *Building architecture including detached residential, shall use innovative design that should consider the context of the existing built and natural environment. Buildings shall be architecturally detailed, and of a size and mass that contribute to a pedestrian-friendly streetscape, and respond to the natural features of the site. Cluster housing, such as Courtyard, Patio, or Cottage development, that groups buildings in areas to maximize open space and preserve significant cultural and natural resources is highly encouraged as are the use of sustainable building materials and practices. The orientation of buildings should promote human scaled and pedestrian friendly environments and maximize solar exposure for passive solar gain;*

Response: The application includes building plans and elevations for expected residential buildings. They are examples of the high quality and attractive visuals that are currently popular in the NW. The project however, will be developed over time in phases. Customer tastes and preferences may shift within the timeframe of full site development. Accordingly, architectural styles could change as well. The development team is committed to design excellence and optimized visual appeal to and from the neighboring properties, paths and open spaces; accordingly any changes would also further the goal of exception and innovative design.

4. *Open space should provide opportunities for active and/ or passive recreation that includes preservation of natural and cultural resources. Good site design shall retain and protect special topographic, natural, and environmentally sensitive features and existing Significant Groves and Historical and Individual trees should be retained and protected. Understory and the use of native plant material and sustainable landscape practices are encouraged.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights project is centered on a network of open spaces. The primary feature is preserved through natural area buffers centered on sensitive lands of streams and wetlands. These areas will be, in accord with CWS standards planted with native plants in such a manner as to support the natural ecosystem. A neighborhood park and a pathway system are included to provide active recreation and access to passive recreation opportunities. Landscaping at or near development properties is documented by the landscape plan, including locations and species in a manner consistent with the goals of this section.

60.35.10. Modification of Base Zoning Standards.

1. Permitted Uses.

- A. *The uses in a PUD shall comply with the Permitted and Conditional Use requirements of the zoning district.*

Response: This application is comprised solely of attached and detached single-family homes. This use is allowed by the zoning designations (R-1, R-2, R-4, R-5 and R-7) that are proposed for the site.

- B. *Detached and attached dwellings may be allowed in a PUD provided the overall residential density satisfies the applicable residential density provisions of this Code.*

Response: The densities for detached and attached dwellings within this PUD application

are greater than the required minimum net densities, and lower than the maximum gross allowable densities as evidenced by the table below.

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Residential Acres	Net Residential Acres	Minimum Net Housing Capacity (units)	Maximum Net Housing Capacity (units)	Proposed Housing Units
High Density	R-1	11.53	9.22	321	402	340
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	4.39	82	96	110
	R-4	18.56	7.46	67	81	82
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	3.35	23	29	33
	R-7	46.63	22.53	102	140	156
	Total	107.7	47.0	595.4	748	721

- C. In addition to the accessory uses and structures typical in the zoning district in which the PUD is located, accessory uses approved as a part of a PUD may include, but are not limited to the following:
1. Private or public park, lake or waterway;
 2. Recreation area;
 3. Recreation building, clubhouse or social hall; or
 4. Other accessory uses or structures which the Planning Commission finds are designed to serve primarily the residents of the PUD, and are compatible with the neighborhood and to the design of the PUD.

Response: The PUD application includes a public park, pathway system and private open spaces for visual access and natural area protection. No other requests are made at this time.

2. **Density and Lot Dimensions.** Density and building scale shall relate to the surrounding neighborhood development and natural resources by providing massing and architectural compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood.

Response: The property is neighbored by two adjacent residential areas, the Churchill Forest and Sterling Park subdivisions. The former is comprised of single-family homes with similar lot and expected building sizes. The Sterling Park subdivision is separated from this project by a roadway and natural area buffer, but is within view. It too is comprised of single family homes with similar sized lots and buildings.

A. *Density Transfers.*

1. A density transfer allows an equal transfer of dwelling units from one portion of the site to another. Density transfers are allowed for the following areas:
 - a. Area within a floodplain;
 - b. Area over twenty-five (25) percent slope;
 - c. Known landslide areas or areas shown to have potential for severe or moderate landslide hazard;
 - d. Area in designated resource areas including: significant tree groves, wetlands, riparian corridors, and their associated buffers;
 - e. Areas constrained by monitoring wells and similar areas dedicated to remediation of contaminated soils or ground water;
 - f. Parks, trails, or both in tracts;

- g. *Areas similar to those in a through f above, as approved by the Planning Commission through the PUD process.*

Response: On-site transfers are considered within in this application. Sending areas primarily include lands in designated resource areas, but also include a neighborhood park and pathways in tracts. Some of the proposed lots are marginally smaller than the standard for the zone, but do not exceed the maximum number of units allowed based on the gross density allowances. See table above 65.35.10.1.B

B. Residential Lot Sizes.

1. *Minimum lot size may be reduced to 50 percent of the minimum land area of the applicable zoning district(s), except as permitted in 60.35.10.3.C.2.*
2. *Minimum lot size proposed between 25 percent and 50 percent of the minimum land area of the applicable zoning district, shall meet the applicable Design Standards or Design Guidelines related to Compact Detached Housing in Section 60.05 of the Development Code and is at the discretion of the Planning Commission.*
3. *Maximum lot size may not exceed 195 percent of the minimum land area of the applicable zoning district(s) in conformance with the table below unless designated for a future phase, which includes further division of property or development of attached product. When the maximum density for the parent parcel has been achieved or a lot is greater than 195 percent of the base zone, the oversized lot(s) shall include a deed restriction to preclude unintended partitioning or subdividing of such lots in accordance with the requirements of the approved PUD.*

Zoning	R1	R2	R4	R5	R7
Maximum Lot Size	1,950 sq. ft.	3,900 sq. ft.	7,800 sq. ft.	9,750 sq. ft.	13,650 sq. ft.

4. *A proposed Planned Unit Development shall not result in fewer dwelling units (lower density) than if the subject site were reviewed as a Preliminary Subdivision.*

Response: All proposed lots fall within the size envelope described by this section. Additionally, the overall unit count slightly above what would be developed through a conventional design subdivision, allowing the proposal to better respond to the desires of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

3. Setbacks.

- A. *The dimensional standards for the applicable zoning district as listed in Chapter 20 may be modified through approval of a Planned Unit Development, except for the following situations:*
 1. *For proposed lots abutting the perimeter of the property, the required setbacks shall comply with the standard front and rear setbacks of the parent parcel.*
 - a. *Where the side yard of the parent parcel abuts existing development the setback for new development shall not be reduced, except by meeting 60.35.10.3.A.1.b below.*
 - b. *By meeting the Development Bonus and Development Incentive Options in Section 60.35.30 the setbacks of proposed perimeter parcels may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent upon approval of the Planning Commission.*
 2. *Where standard modifications would not promote pedestrian or bicycle connection to the street; support storm water management; or meet fire and building codes.*

Response: The parent parcel setbacks are within the standards. No modification to dimensional standards is requested through this application.

B. Front Setbacks.

The following shall apply to all lots within a proposed residential development(s); except lots proposed along the perimeter of the subject site, which shall be consistent with Section 60.35.10.3.A.1.

1. *Front setbacks for a residential structure, interior to a Planned Unit Development may be reduced, excluding the garage where the garage door faces the front property line. Structures shall not encroach into a public utility easement.*
2. *All single-family attached and detached garages that face a public or private street shall be setback a minimum of twenty (20) feet from property line. Attached and detached garage door façade(s) shall be set back a minimum of four (4) additional feet from the set back of the front of the building, not including porches, when facing a public or private street. All other garage and carport entrances must be set back a minimum of two (2) additional feet when the set back of the front of the building is at least twenty (20) feet*

Response: The requested setbacks are within the ranges represented by Beaverton R2, R4, R5 and R7 zoning districts. The proposed lots within South Cooper Mountain Heights have been sized to accommodate detached or attached single-family residences, as appropriate, consistent with the requested setback standards. The following front setback minimums and standards are proposed. As evidenced by the table below, garages are setback 8 feet from the front setback, exceeded the 4 foot minimum from this standard. Front porches are extended beyond the front building line to provide articulation.

	Front loaded townhomes	Front loaded single family detached	Rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking	Rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking
Front building setback	12'	12'	10'	10'
Front garage setback	20'	20'	NA	NA
Porch setback	10'	10'	NA	NA

C. Rear setbacks.

1. *Rear setbacks shall be the same as the designated zone for the parent parcel for lots abutting the perimeter of the proposed development excepting alley accessed lots for which rear setbacks may be reduced to four (4) feet for alley-accessed lots with no less than a 20-foot alley width.*
2. *Garages and carports accessed from both sides of an alley shall be setback a minimum of four (4) feet with no less than 28-feet between garage doors.*

Response: The requested setbacks are generally within the ranges represented by Beaverton R2, R4, R5 and R7 zoning districts. The proposed lots within South Cooper Mountain Heights have been sized to accommodate detached or attached single-family residences, as appropriate, consistent with the requested setback standards. The following rear setback minimums and standards are proposed. Rear loaded townhome products feature rear garages. The units with driveway parking exceed the standard by providing 20 feet of setback. A modification is requested for rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking (lots 344 – 363) as they provide three feet rather than the standard of four.

	Front loaded townhomes	Front loaded single family detached	Rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking	Rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking
Rear building setback	15'	15'	3'	20'
Rear garage setback	NA	NA	3'	20'

D. Side setbacks. Except for zero-lot line development, side setbacks internal to the Planned Unit Development, shall be a minimum of three (3) feet with a total of six (6) feet between two buildings. In no case shall a building encroach into a Public Utility Easement (PUE). All zero-lot line development shall have side yard setbacks of 10 feet on one side of the dwelling unit and no setback required on the opposite side.

Response: The requested setbacks are within the ranges represented by Beaverton R2, R4, R5 and R7 zoning districts. The proposed lots within South Cooper Mountain Heights have been sized to accommodate detached or attached single-family residences, as appropriate, consistent with the requested setback standards. The following side setback minimums and standards are proposed.

	Front loaded townhomes	Front loaded single family detached	Rear loaded townhomes without driveway parking	Rear loaded townhomes with driveway parking
Side building setback	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' (10' for corner lots)	5' or 10' plus (10' for corner lots)

60.35.15. Open Space.

Open space shall provide opportunities for active and/or passive recreation and may include existing stands of trees, resource areas, and storm water facilities as outlined in this section. Active open space shall allow human activities including recreational and social opportunities such as play fields, playgrounds, swimming pools, plazas and other recreational facilities. Open space may also be passive and include human activities limited to walking, running, and cycling, seating areas and wildlife viewing or natural areas such as a wetland.

- 1. A Planned Unit Development shall provide baseline open space of an area equal to at least twenty percent (20%) of the subject site.*
- Exemptions.** *Properties within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area are exempt from the open space requirements in Section 60.35.15.1, but shall provide all community features, including but not limited to, trails, habitat benefit areas, and scenic views identified in the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, as identified in Section 60.35.25.*

Response: Due to location within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area, this application is exempt from the 20% minimum open space requirement. See responses to 60.35.25.1.A.1 and 60.35.25.1.C1-7 for demonstration of required open space elements from the community plan. Further, it is worth note that of the total 108 acres within the proposal 34 acres are set aside as open space, either through development of parks and common areas or preserved natural areas. Following is a description of how the land is allocated:

Use	Acres	Percentage of total
Residential Lots	48.84	45%
Public Streets and Pathways	21.34	20%
Parks and Common Areas	4.8	4%
Natural Areas	31.9	30%
Water Quality Areas	5.0	5%
Total	107.5	100%

3. **Open Space Standards.** *Open space shall be land that is available for the creation of active and/or passive areas, or resource areas that provide visible and accessible open space to the proposed community.*

A. *The following resource areas may count towards passive open space requirements: Significant trees and/or groves, habitat benefit areas, view corridors, steep slopes, water quality facilities, environmentally sensitive areas including wetlands and any buffers required by Clean Water Services or other regulatory body, and other resources as deemed appropriate by the decision maker.*

Response: the proposal consists of a neighborhood park of 2.7 acres, 2.1 acres of dispersed active open space and 37 acres common open space and water quality and habitat protection which are accessible for active or passive use, including view corridors and steep slopes. All of this land is set aside for that is visibly accessible to residents and visitor of the proposed community.

B. *Open space shall be easily accessible, physically or visually, to all members of the planned community via a minimum thirty (30) foot wide street frontage or access easement;*

Response: Open space is accessible via a variety of means. The neighborhood park and active open spaces are easily accessed from paths or public roadways, both physically and visually. The habitat areas are intended for visual access only, from pathways and public streets. In said circumstances all access ways are at least thirty (30) feet wide.

C. *No more than sixty (60) percent of the gross land dedicated to active open space may have slopes greater than five (5) percent. Additional reductions to this standard may be granted by the Planning Commission based on the context of the proposed amenities and existing site conditions.*

Response: Three (3) acres of active open space are required. 2.7 of these acres are located within the proposed neighborhood park. The park is currently one of the most level portions of the site, and will be graded further to accommodate the park needs of play areas, a multi-purpose field and garden plots. The remaining active 2.1 acres of active open spaces are situated on relatively flat lots interspersed with the single-family lots. The proposal complies with this standard

D. *Open space areas shall have a dedicated meter and underground irrigation system to ensure adequate water supply during establishment period (3-years) and during periods of drought for all newly planted areas. Resource areas are exempt from this criterion.*

Response: Open spaces, with the exception of sensitive area buffers will contain necessary irrigation systems to ensure establishment and sustainability of vegetation.

E. For developments ten (10) acres or greater, at least twenty-five (25) percent of the total required open space area shall be active open space and subject to the provisions of 60.35.15.4.

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Community plan identifies 12 acres of open space / resources on the site. The same plan also identifies three (3) acres of active open space as required. Added to the park are smaller active open spaces totaling 2.1 acres Cumulatively, this results in 4.8 acres, surpassing the standard of three (3).

F. For the purpose of this Code, open space does not include:

1. Public or private streets;
2. Surface parking lots or paved areas not designated for active or passive recreation;
3. Private lots and buildings including setbacks or landscape buffers. However, community buildings, community rooms, or both developed for the common use and ownership of the residents within a Planned Unit Development may be counted as open space.
4. Vehicular access driveways or maneuvering areas.

Response: The above categories are not considered open space by this proposal.

4. **Active Open Space.** Active open space areas are ~~outdoor~~ common areas that may be gathering spots, community rooms, play areas, overlooks, or any that consist of active uses for owners, residents, or the community at large. Active open space shall meet the following criteria: [ORD 4654; March 2015]

A. Active open space that is provided outdoors shall be no smaller than the minimum lot size requirement of the underlying zoning district with a minimum width 40 feet. For properties in multiple use zoning districts with no minimum lot size active open space areas shall be a minimum of 5,000 square feet in area. The Planning Commission may modify this requirement to accommodate trails, overlooks, and other types of recreational features which serve the residents of the development.

Response: There are several tracts within the subdivision that meet the size and programming requirements for active open space. They are shown in conceptual detail on Sheets L2.1 through L2.7 and quantified on page 20 of the Parcel Area Report. Following is a summary of the open spaces that are physically or visibly accessible to residents and visitor of the proposed South Cooper Mountain Heights Community.

Feature	Size	Purpose
Neighborhood Park	2.7 Acres	Multi-purpose, expected to contain features such as: play areas, gathering space, picnic structure, benches, flexible use field
Distributed Active Open Spaces	2.1 Acres	Gathering, respite and small area recreation. Located throughout proposed development.
Common Open Space	28 Acres	Visually accessed open space from roads and trails and benches. No physical barrier to entry is proposed.
Nature Trail	0.45 Acres	In accordance with SCM plan, leads from Scholls Ferry to the northern property boundary. By necessity the feature is longer than three times the width.
Pedestrian Stairway	0.51 Acres	Bisects phase 2, providing pedestrian access to the Neighborhood Park within phase 3.
Water Quality and Natural Resources	35 Acres	Preservation of habitat and treatment of stormwater while providing visually attractive landscape


B. *Active open space may abut a Collector or greater classified street as identified in the City's adopted Functional Classification Plan, when separated from the street by a constructed barrier, such as a fence or wall, at least three (3) feet in height.*

Response: Active open spaces are proposed to abut a collector (Road 6C) in phases 1 and 2, and the neighborhood park. These features with compliant improvements are shown in conceptual detail on Sheets L2.1 through L2.7. The image below illustrates the program proposed and shows the location of the required fence.





C. *Active Open Space shall be physically accessible to all residents of the development.*

Response: the proposal consists of a neighborhood park of 2.7 acres and several smaller active open spaces which are accessible for active by residents and visitors of the proposed community. The applicant has met with THPRD and designed the park in accordance with the features that meet their expectations. The park and other open spaces are detailed on Sheets L2.1 through L2.7. The following image depicting the park can be found on Sheet L2.5



D. Active open space shall include physical improvements to enhance the area. Physical improvements may include; benches, gazebos, plazas, picnic areas, playground equipment, sport courts, swimming/wading pools, indoor clubhouses or meeting facilities, play fields, or other items permitted by the Planning Commission.

Response: Active open spaces include the types of features required by this standard. These open spaces, with compliant improvements are shown in conceptual detail on Sheets L2.1 through L2.7. The images below depict two of the proposed spaces and illustrate the types of activities that will be present.



E. Floor area within buildings devoted to common uses which serve the residents of the development, such as indoor pools, game rooms, or community rooms, may be counted towards Active Open Space requirements based on the total floor area devoted to such uses.

Response: This application does not include buildings with devoted common uses. Such a structure is expected in a subsequent application related to the multi-family development within phase 5.

5. Maintenance and Ownership. *Land shown on the final development plan as common open space, and landscaping and/or planting contained therein shall be permanently maintained by and conveyed to one of the following:*

Response: CC&R and/or HOA policies and responsibilities will be established for the final plat. Said HOA will take ownership of common open spaces and be responsible for permanent maintenance.

60.35.20. Building Architecture.

1. Purpose. *This section applies to development which is not subject to Section 60.05. (Design Review) of this code.*

Response: This application is subject to Design Review (60.05) for the attached single-family units as has been addressed within said section. This section therefore applies to the detached single-family lots. Typical building examples have been included in Sheet X Plan Set to supply evidence of compliance with architectural standards. Plan sets include evidence of compliance with aesthetic standards including use of more than six of the suggested building elements for front and rear spaces and more than four for interior side yards. No alternative building design or elevation are requested as all proposed or suggested buildings, as evidenced by Sheet X Plan Set are compliant with the City's architectural standards.

2. Building Orientation. *Buildings shall be oriented to the street or other public spaces such as parks, plazas, courtyards and open commons when served by an alley. The orientation of buildings shall promote environments that encourage walking, social interaction, and safety.*

A. Exceptions to this standard may be allowed by the Planning Commission where access, topography, and natural resources prohibit the orientation of buildings to the street or other public open spaces.

Response: The proposed lots within South Cooper Mountain Heights have been sized and located to accommodate single-family residences, as appropriate, generally consistent with the requested standards. All buildings are oriented toward a public street.

B. In all cases buildings and or private lots shall be served by or have direct access to sidewalks or paths that connect to a private or public street/sidewalk system.

Response: All buildings are provided with direct access to sidewalks that connect to a public street.

C. Garages with rear alley access or garages located in the rear of the lot with shared driveways are encouraged.


Response: Rear alley garages are proposed within the Attached Single-Family components of the proposal. No rear garages are proposed for detached homes.

D. All buildings shall have their primary entrance to a street or publicly accessible sidewalk where buildings face public parks, common areas or open space.

Response: All buildings have their primary entrance oriented toward a public street.

E. All primary entrances shall be covered or recessed with a minimum depth of three (3) feet deep and five (5) feet wide.

Response: All buildings have their primary entrance covered for weather protection and building aesthetics. See below for a typical entry condition.



3. Building Heights. Buildings shall be to scale with similar types of existing structures on adjacent properties. This can be accomplished by utilizing graduated building heights which offer a transition between single-story residential development and multiple-story residential.

- A. Maximum building height standards may be increased up to twelve feet (12') when the applicable building setback distance along the perimeter of the parent parcel is increased at a ratio of 1.5 additional feet of setback for every foot of building height over the base zone standard for building height.
- B. For the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area, structures that do not abut the exterior boundary of the plan area, may be allowed to vary building heights, without satisfying the graduated building height transitions of Section 60.35.20.3 in order to provide for a variety in housing types within developments.
- C. Graduated building height standards shall not apply where existing structures on adjacent properties are rural in their development or use.

Response: The only existing structures on adjacent properties are found within the Churchill Forest subdivision. The proposed buildings will be of similar height and mass. Further, the subdivision is planned to develop in phases. House types have been selected to provide continuity among phases as well. There are several lots within the subdivision whose slope results in calculations placing the buildings taller than the standard provisions. These lots are:

Lot Numbers	Zoning	Plan Sheet
94 – 103; 66 - 73	R2	4.0
139 – 154; 163 – 169 194 – 222; 263 - 278	R7	4.1
155 – 156; 181 – 183 192 – 193; 223 – 226 257 – 262; 279 – 282 242 – 253	R7	4.2
63 – 65; 170 - 171	R4	4.5

Requested height allowances therefore are:

Zone	Standard Height Maximum	Requested Height Maximum
R1	60'	60'
R2	40'	40'
R4	35'	40'
R5	35'	35'
R7	35'	40'

4. Architectural Standards. *Architectural standards are intended to promote quality design and detail that promote innovation and creativity that allows for a variety of building styles and types. The following standards apply to all single-family developments proposed through the PUD process. Attached residential structures, Compact Detached Housing, and commercial, industrial, and multiple use buildings are subject to the Design Standards or Guidelines of Section 60.05 of the Development Code. [ORD 4654; March 2015]*

A. Building scale and massing shall complement surrounding uses by complying with the provisions in this Code and meeting the following criteria for residential development.

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision will be comprised of top-notch buildings. The house types have been selected for appropriate scale and mass. No alternatives features or standards are requested. Example buildings are provided on the attached **Typical Building Plans and Elevations**. Following item C is an example of one of said buildings to illustrate compliance


B. Front façade elevations shall not be repeated on adjacent lots along the same street frontage.

Response: Front elevations will not be repeated on adjacent lots along a street frontage. See sheets P2.8 through 2.12 for a listing of which homes are scheduled for specific lots. For each category sufficient models exist to ensure no repetition.

C. All detached residential structures shall include design elements that provide building articulation, continuity of form and variety. Architecture should avoid long expanses of uninterrupted building surfaces. Buildings shall incorporate at least six (6) of the following building elements on the front, rear, common open space, and street facing elevations and four (4) of the following elements on interior side yard elevations:

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision will be comprised of top-notch buildings. The house types have been selected for appropriate scale and mass, and

with numerous architectural treatments and landscaping. No alternative features or standards are requested. Example buildings are provided on the attached **Typical Building Plans and Elevations**. Below is an example of one of said buildings to illustrate compliance



60.35.25. South Cooper Mountain Community Plan

The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan is part of the Comprehensive Plan for the City of Beaverton. It provides regulatory policies and maps, along with descriptions and illustrations of the context for those policies and maps, for 544 acres within the southwestern area of the City of Beaverton. This section of the Development Code of the City of Beaverton outlines specific implementation requirements and connections to the policies of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

1. Proposals within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area shall demonstrate compliance with the following applicable South Cooper Mountain Community Plan policies and figures:

A. Land Use:

1. Land Use Implementation Policy 6: location criteria guiding the selection of appropriate sites for Neighborhood Parks and Elementary Schools. "The City will support efforts by THPRD and Beaverton and Hillsboro School Districts to find, acquire and develop appropriate sites for neighborhood parks and elementary schools within the Community Plan area..."

Response: This application contains a proposed 2.7 acre neighborhood park as per the guiding criteria from the community plan (plan specifies parks to be 2 to 4 acres in size). The park is situated to allow from access from both a local street and the collector 6C. It can be accessed via trails (nature trail and the School to School Trail), a stairway that bisects the site and from on-street parking. There is no school proposed for this portion

of South Cooper Mountain since it is directly proximate to an existing elementary school situated to the east, within walking distance of community residents.

2. *Neighborhood and Housing Policy 1: neighborhood design principles for creating walkable neighborhoods. “Development shall contribute to creating walkable neighborhoods. This policy is implemented by demonstrating consistency with the neighborhood design principles listed below:*
 - a. *Clear focal points shall be provided. Focal points include but are not limited to: parks, schools, community gathering spaces, neighborhood services (i.e. day care), scenic viewpoints, and/or natural areas that are visually and physically accessible to the public. Residential developments shall provide at least one focal point per 40 acres of gross site area. The decision-making authority may require additional focal points or require provision of a focal point for smaller sites in order to ensure that all neighborhoods have at least one focal point or to ensure cohesiveness and legibility among adjacent developments.*
 - b. *A network of walkable blocks and trails, consistent with the Transportation Framework Plan and the Bicycle and Pedestrian Framework Plan, shall be provided.*
 - c. *The orientation of streets, blocks, development and/or trails shall be planned so that natural areas are not “walled off”, but rather are as physically and visually accessible to the public as practicable.*
 - d. *The provision of parks shall be coordinated with the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District.”*

Response: The transportation network was planning in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The proposal features: the School to School Trail, the north / south trails, and a pedestrian staircase running north to south through the housing in Phase 2. The applicant has coordinated with THPRD on the community trails and the neighborhood park. Additionally all streets are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton’s Engineering Design Manual and are in compliance with the Comprehensive plan (see section V).

3. *Neighborhood and Housing Policy 2: Residential developments shall provide a variety of housing types consistent with the permitted uses of applicable zone(s). The goal of this policy and implementing code standards is to ensure that, over time and multiple individual development reviews, South Cooper Mountain’s neighborhoods and livability are enhanced by variety in the type and design of housing in order to promote aesthetically pleasing residential neighborhoods as well as opportunities for people of varying incomes and life stages to live within the same neighborhood.*
 - a. *Residential developments in the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area shall provide a variety of housing types, as identified below, for sites:*
 - i. *Up to 15-acres (gross), a minimum of one (1) housing type;*
 - ii. *Greater than 15-acres and up to 30-acres (gross), a minimum of two (2) housing types;*
 - iii. *Greater than 30-acres (gross), a minimum of three (3) housing types.*

Response: This application is for land greater than 30 acres in size. Accordingly it includes more than three housing types.

b. Housing Types include:

Response: This application includes Standard Lot Single Family, Small Lot Single Family, Alley-Loaded Dwellings, Duplexes, Triplexes and Four-plexes. See sheets P2.8 through P2.12 to see locations of building types.

c. For developments requiring more than one (1) housing type a minimum of 10 percent of the total dwelling units shall be of each housing type.

Response:

This proposal is required to provide three different housing types. The proposed breakdown is as follows:

Housing Type	Unit Count	Percentage of Total
Standard Lot Single-Family	272	37.6
Alley Loaded Townhomes	82	11.4%
Front Loaded Townhomes	28	3.9%
Multifamily	340	47.2%

Three types, as required comprise more than 10% each of the project’s total share of housing. A fourth type, Front Loaded Townhomes are also added but not subject to the 10% requirement.

d. For developments utilizing the Standard Lot Single Family housing type (Section 60.35.25.1.A.3.b.i.) and not utilizing the Small Lot Single Family housing type (Section 60.35.25.1.A.3.b.ii.), the lot size for Standard Lot Single Family may range from 50 percent to 195 percent of the minimum land area per dwelling unit requirement of the underlying zoning district and it shall count as one housing type.

Response: The proposal includes both housing types

B. *Transportation:*

1. *Figure 10: Community Plan Street Framework map.*


Response: The proposal contains the three facilities shown on the figure 10 map from the Community Plan:

1. The east-west collector from SW Loon Drive to SW 175th Avenue with LIDA treatments
2. The Neighborhood route connecting SW 175th Avenue to the east-west collector (Road 6C) planned with medians for safety and visual enhancement
3. The Neighborhood route connecting the east-west collector (Road 6C) to the future subdivision to the north

2. *Street Policy 1: Community Plan Street Framework and Comprehensive Plan Chapter 6. “The streets planned for the Community Plan area are illustrated in Figure 10. The Beaverton Transportation System Plan and Washington County Transportation System Plans will be updated consistent with Figure 10 and will be the controlling documents for transportation planning. Should conflicts arise between the maps in Chapter 6 of the City’s Comprehensive Plan and the maps in this document, those in Chapter 6 shall prevail.”*

Response: The transportation network was planned in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Additionally all streets are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton’s Engineering Design Manual and are in compliance with the Comprehensive plan (see section V)

3. *Street Policy 10: Community Plan Street Framework, refining specific alignments. “In refining specific alignments for new roads identified on the Community Plan Street Framework map through the development review or project design process, impacts to natural resources shall be minimized to the extent possible while retaining key connections.”*



Response: The transportation network has been developed to minimize impact to natural resources. Specifically, Road 6C is the only facility that is designed to cross the natural areas. Additional crossings to connect phases 1 and 2, and phases 3 and 5 would improve internal connectivity, but was ruled out due to concerns regarding environmental impact and mitigation.

4. *Figure 11: Community Plan Bicycle and Pedestrian Framework map. “Low Impact Development and “green street” techniques to manage stormwater runoff shall be utilized whenever feasible in the design of new streets and urban upgrades within the SCM Community Plan area, subject to the approval of the City Engineer.”*


Response: The transportation network was planning in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Pedestrian Framework. Sidewalks, bike lanes, a School to School trail and a nature trail all serve to provide the connections depicted by figure 11.

5. *Bicycle and Pedestrian Framework Policy 1: Bicycle and Pedestrian Crossings. “Bicycle and Pedestrian Crossings: While the location and design of specific crossing points will be determined through further site-specific engineering evaluation, safe, protected pedestrian crossing opportunities should be provided near important pedestrian destinations, such as the future high school site, when a need is demonstrated and such crossings can be appropriately and safely designed and located, as determined by an engineering-level safety analysis.”*

Response: Designated crossings are expected in two locations along SW 175th Ave, and are expected near the park along collector Road 6C.

6. *Bicycle and Pedestrian Framework Policy 2: Trails. "Trails: Trails within the Community Plan area shall be provided as shown on Figure 11; however, the City may permit flexibility to adapt to site specific conditions and ownerships provided the conceptual network in Figure 11, or equivalent, is provided..."*

Figure 11: Community Plan Bicycle & Pedestrian Framework




Response: Two trails are proposed for compliance with this section. The first, a School to School trail will be a multi-use path linking SW Loon Drive at Scholls Heights Elementary school to SW 175th Avenue at the planned High School site. A nature trail is planned running from SW Scholls Ferry Rd. north through the property and leading to the lands north of the site. See sheets P2.2 through P2.7 for these trail linkages.

C. Resource Protection and Enhancement:

1. Figure 12: Natural Resources in the Community Plan area map.

Figure 12: Natural Resources in the Community Plan area



Response: Natural areas, as depicted on figure 12 of the Community Plan have been identified and set aside for protection. Specifically, a vegetated stream corridor generally bisects the site. The stream corridor contains the following designations of habitat lands as identified by the City of Beaverton:

- Riparian Wildlife Habitat Class II
- Riparian Wildlife Habitat Class III
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Class B
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Class C

The eastern edge of the site also contains a vegetated stream that contains the following designations of habitat lands as identified by the City of Beaverton

- Riparian Wildlife Habitat Class I
- Upland Wildlife habitat Class C

The project team has with Clean Water Services to obtain a service provider letter delineating buffer areas, encroachments and mitigation areas. There are multiple locations where constrained topography results in the necessity of encroachment of Sensitive Areas. The largest of these is Road 6C which is needed to provide transportation access, as specified in the South Cooper Mountain Community plan, to the site and connecting SW 175th Ave and SW Loon Drive. The site plans accommodate feature adjacent areas for preservation that are in excess of the required buffers to facilitate mitigation in accord with CWS' requirements.

2. *Natural Resource Policy 1: Local Wetlands and Riparian Areas. "Locally significant wetlands and protected riparian corridors within the Community Plan area shall be protected and enhanced, consistent with local, state, and federal regulations."*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision includes riparian corridors for which protective buffers will be established and enforced. The applicant has worked with CWS to obtain the necessary service provider letter. The site plans clearly demark the sensitive areas for which buffer protections are established.

3. *Natural Resource Policy 2: Development adjacent the Significant Natural Resource Areas. "Development adjacent to significant natural resource areas shall be designed to provide visual and/or physical access to the resource area and limit continuous rear lot line edges abutting a significant natural resource through one or more of the following treatments of the open space edge..."*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights project is centered on a network of open spaces. The primary feature is preserved through natural area buffers centered on sensitive lands of streams and wetlands. These areas will be, in accord with CWS standards planted with native plants in such a manner as to support the natural ecosystem and provide visual access. A neighborhood park and a pathway system are included to provide active recreation and access to passive recreation opportunities both physically and visually.

4. *Urban Forestry Policy 2: Tree Planting. "Tree planting already required by City regulations (e.g. landscaped areas, street trees) shall be maximized as a method to increase the tree canopy in the Community Plan area."*

Response: All actions regarding trees and vegetation will be performed in accordance with the regulations established herein and in Section 40.90. of this Code. Existing trees

will be protected with a 5-foot tall chain link fence around the drip line during construction. Neither top soil storage nor construction material shall be located within the drip line of the tree. The construction fence will be placed around a tree or grove beyond the edge of the root zone. The fence will be placed before physical development starts and remain in place until physical development is complete. Adjustments to the location of the protective fencing may be required to complete construction of permanent facilities.

For this development it was determined that no significant individual trees or historic trees exist on this site. In addition, the following trees that are being removed are not present within the significant natural resources area:

- Western Hemlock
- Mountain Hemlock
- Pacific Madrone
- Bigleaf Maple

Refer to the Tree Plans and Tree Data Tables (Sheets LTP-0 through LTP-11) to review the tree preservation/removal along with a table that identifies each tree, provides the DBH, condition of the tree, and if it is going to be removed. The total community tree DBH is 6,244, with this project a total of 1,280 DBH will be removed. No mitigation will be required for the trees.

5. *Urban Forestry Policy 3: Regionally Significant Upland Habitat. “Regionally Significant Upland Habitat within the SCM Community Plan area shall be protected through application of the City’s existing tree protection standards and incentives for Habitat Benefit Area preservation, as appropriate.”*

Response: The tree preservation program can be seen through the Tree Plans and Tree Data Tables (Sheets LTP-0 through LTP-11)

6. *Scenic Views Policy 1: Protection of View Corridors. “The city will encourage protection of view corridors for the enjoyment of adjacent neighborhoods and the broader community on lands that currently offer views of the Chehalem Ridge. Viewpoints should provide seating and space for passersby and should provide for the permanent protection of the view through measures such as easements....”*

Response: The site is generally sloping to the south, minimizing any potential impact on views from housing built downslope. The neighborhood park and stormwater facilities are located in situations where the lack of a building enhances view to the south and into nearby natural areas. Additionally, active open spaces and trails are located to provide view access to natural areas and beyond.

7. *Rural Edges and Transitions Policy 1: SW Tile Flat Road Landscape Buffer.*

Response: The proposal does not abut SW Tile Flat Road. This criterion is not applicable.

D. Infrastructure Provision:

1. *Infrastructure Policy 1: Urban development not allowed without urban services*

Response: Development is not proposed to precede urban services.

2. *Infrastructure Policy 7: Alignment for new water and sewer.*

Response: The alignment for future utilities is proposed to be housed within the road right-of-way.

2. *Proposals within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area shall demonstrate compliance with the following applicable Comprehensive Plan policies and figures:*

A. *Chapter Six, Transportation Element:*

1. *Figure 6.2a: Planned Bicycle and Pedestrian Network.*

Response: Please see section V. Compliance with Beaverton Comprehensive Plan, subsection J. of this application

2. *Figure 6.4a: Functional Classification.*

Response: Figure 6.4 of the Beaverton Comprehensive Plan (<http://www.beavertonoregon.gov/DocumentCenter/Home/View/1181>) does not include the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area. Figure 10 of the Community Plan does depict the functional classification of expected future roadways. The proposal contains all of the routes featured in figure 10. SW 175th abuts the property to the West and is connected to the Collector Road 6C and Neighborhood Route named Street F. Road 6C, the collector fulfills the need for the connection from Loon Drive westward to SW 175th Avenue and beyond. Additionally, a Neighborhood Route connects the east-west collector to the northern properties expected for future development.

3. *Figure 6.6a: Future Streets Where Right-of-Way is Planned for More Than Two Lanes.*

Response: Figure 6.6 of the Beaverton Comprehensive Plan (<http://www.beavertonoregon.gov/DocumentCenter/Home/View/1181>) does not include the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area. The relevant information is carried in the Community Plan document. The east-west Collector (Road 6C) is proposed for the 2/3 lane road specified. SW 175th Avenue is on the western edge of the property.

4. *Figure 6.20: Local Connectivity Map.*

Response: Figure 6.20 of the Beaverton Comprehensive Plan (<http://www.beavertonoregon.gov/DocumentCenter/Home/View/1181>) includes the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan area but does not show any local connection requirements within the proposed site. However, the network has been planned in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan and provides the needed connections, including one to the east to permit access to the Sterling Park subdivision via Loon Drive.

60.35.30. *Development Bonuses and Development Incentive Options*

60.35.40. *Allowed Development Bonuses*

60.35.50. *Development Incentive Options*

Response to 60.35.30 – 60.35.50: No development bonuses or incentive options are requested.

60.45. SOLAR ACCESS PROTECTION.

60.45.10. Solar Access for New Development.

2. **Applicability.** *The solar design standard in subsection 3., below, shall apply to subdivisions and partitions in the R10, R7 and R5 zones, except to the extent the Director finds that the applicant has shown one or more of the conditions listed in subsections 4. and 5., below, exist, and exemptions or adjustments provided for therein are warranted.*

Response: There are two phases within the South Cooper Mountain Heights Subdivision for which the Solar Access Protection standards are applicable. Phase 2 contains 144 lots proposed for R-7 zoning. Phase 3 contains 32 lots proposed for R-5 zoning. Phases 1, 4 and 5 are proposed to contain zones R-4, R-2 and R-1 and are therefore exempted from the standards.

3. **Design Standard.** *At least 80 percent of the lots in a development subject to this ordinance shall comply with one or more of the options in this section.*

A. *Basic Requirement (see Figure 9).*

Response: Phase 2 of the subdivision is located on land that is generally sloping toward the south. Design of the site has been made to respond to the significant natural areas contain within the topography. As a result, a typical block pattern that enables lots oriented along, or within 30 degrees of a north / south axis is somewhat limited. Of the 144 proposed lots within the subdivision which are intended for detached single family homes, 88 of the proposed lots satisfy the basic solar lot design standard of Section 60-45.10(2)(A) of lot depth of at least 90 feet and a front property line which is within 30 degrees of an east-west orientation.

Phase 3 contains 32 lots proposed for R-5 zoning, of which only 6, or 18% of the lots can meet the design standard of Section 60-45.10(2)(A) of lot depth of at least 90 feet and a front property line which is within 30 degrees of an east-west orientation. For both phases, this application is partially compliant; see below for response to 60-45.10(5) regarding a request for adjustment.

B. *Protected Solar Building Line Option (see Figure 10)*

Response: Section 60-45.10(2)(B) provides for allowances of lots which don't comply with to instead apply a protected solar building line. This approach would require spacing from building roof center to neighboring building roof center of 70 feet. At that dimension it could be applied to R-7 lots that were at least 70 feet wide. The proposed R-7 properties within this proposal are less than 70 feet wide, partially in an attempt to achieve the density goals of the South Cooper Mountain Community plan while simultaneously preserving the stream and natural area buffers. The R-5 lots of phase 3 are also too narrow to allow for such an option. Accordingly, this proposal does not including application of the alternate standard.

C. *Performance Option*

Response: Section 60-45.10(2)(C) provides for allowances of lots which don't comply with to instead apply a Performance Option that assures sunlight access through activities on site and/or off. The narrow nature of the proposed lots, combined with topography precludes this application from utilizing this option.

4. *Exemptions from Design Standard*

Response: No request for an exemption based on the standard of 60-45.10(4) is sought.

5. *Adjustments to Design Standard*

A. *Density and Cost* If the design standard in this Section is applied, either the resulting density is less than that proposed, or on-site site development costs (e.g. grading, water, storm drainage and sanitary systems, and roads) and solar related off-site site development costs are at least 5% more per lot than if the standard is not applied. The following conditions, among others, could constrain the design of a development in such a way that compliance with this Section would reduce density or increase per lot costs in this manner. The applicant shall show which if any of these or other similar site characteristics apply in an application for a development.

Response: see responses to 2 and 3 below

2. *There is a significant natural feature on the site, identified as such in the comprehensive plan that prevents given streets or lots from being oriented for solar access, and it will exist after the site is developed.*

Response: The unnamed drainages on the east and western portions of phase 2 prohibit the potential extension of roadways that would be required in order to develop a grid street pattern that would allow the additional 19% of lots required to be oriented in such a manner that would comply with the design standard. The roadway network and research included within the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan guide the project to include only one east-west connecting roadway across these drainages. The phase 2 lots that do not comply with the standard are located on the eastern and western sides of the phase boundary where roadways necessarily had to run parallel with the stream and natural area corridors, which themselves run roughly north to south. Phase 3 is also encumbered by the natural areas to the east, west, and south. Protection of the natural area limits developable land within the phase to less than half of the gross area, limited the options for site layout as a result.

Therefore, it is requested that the City adjust the percentage of lots which must meet the solar access design standard in order to allow the development to avoid having to further deviate from the natural slope of the site. For phase 2 the requested adjustment would affect 56 of the 144 lots.

3. *Existing road patterns must be continued through the site or must terminate on-site to comply with applicable road standards or public road plans in a way that prevents given streets or lots in the development from being oriented for solar access.*

Response: Phase 2 is not encumbered by existing roads. Phase 3 however, contains a site plan that is developed in response to the existing street stubs to the previously developed Churchill Forest subdivision. There are just two roads, SW Oystercatcher and SW Moorhen way, spaced just less than 450 feet center to center. The south and western

portions of phase 3 are not being developed, instead functioning as natural area. To the north is a planned neighborhood park. The need for connection to these existing roads, combined with the surrounding natural areas and orientation of the neighborhood park preclude the ability for the road plan to provide given streets or lots in the development from being oriented for solar access.

Therefore, it is requested that the City adjust the percentage of lots which must meet the solar access design standard in order to allow the development to avoid having to further deviate from the natural slope of the site. For phase 3 the requested adjustment would affect 27 of the 32 lots.

- B. *Development Amenities. If the design standard in this section applies to a given lot or lots, significant development amenities that would otherwise benefit the lot(s) will be lost or impaired. Evidence that a significant diminution in the market value of the lot(s) would result from having the lot(s) comply with this Section is relevant to whether a significant development amenity is lost or impaired.*

Response: Phase 3 contains a proposed neighborhood park located north of SW Oystercatcher Lane and south of Road 6C. Approximately 7 R-5 lots could be developed north of SW Oystercatcher LN that would be compliant with the solar access standards. However, doing so would isolate the rest of the lots within phase 3 from the amenity of the park, both visually, and through direct access from the roadway. Therefore, it is requested that the City adjust the percentage of lots which must meet the solar access design standard in order to allow the development take advantage of the amenity of the neighborhood park. For phase 3 the requested adjustment would affect 27 of the 32 lots.

Subsections 5.C through 7 are not applicable and therefore have not been cited in this application.

60.45.15 Solar Balance Point

Response: Applicability, as per 60.45.15(2) is at time of building permit for structures in the R-10, R-7 and R-5 zones. While zones R-7 and R-5 are included in this proposal, the application does not contain requests for building permits. Therefore, the standard is not applicable at this time.

60.55. TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES.

60.55.10. General Provisions.

- 1. All transportation facilities shall be designed and improved in accordance with the standards of this code and the Engineering Design Manual and Standard Drawings. In addition, when development abuts or impacts a transportation facility under the jurisdiction of one or more other governmental agencies, the City shall condition the development to obtain permits required by the other agencies.*

Response: Facilities designed for construction within the South Cooper Mountain Heights Subdivision are based on the needs described by the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan and designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual. Additionally, SW 175 Avenue, a Washington County transportation facility abuts the subdivision. As per 60.55.10(1) it is understood that the City will condition the development to obtain permits required by the other affected agencies – namely Washington County

- In order to protect the public from potentially adverse impacts of the proposal, to fulfill an identified need for public services related to the development, or both, development shall provide traffic capacity, traffic safety, and transportation improvements in rough proportion to the identified impacts of the development.*

Response: To ensure that the public is protected from inverse impacts related to traffic this application includes a proposed internal roadway network, based on the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan that will appropriately facilitate internal and pass through traffic. Additionally, the following recommendations are proposed to address traffic impacts to off-site facilities:

- SW 175th Avenue/SW Kemmer Road – Provide a proportional share contribution per the
- 2017 Cumulative Impact Analysis to the Washington County led project which will result in the installation of a new traffic signal and exclusive northbound and southbound left-turn lanes with protected phasing.
- SW 175th Avenue/Planned Collector 6b, 6c – Provide a stop-controlled intersection with a new 100-foot southbound left-turn lane and exclusive westbound right- and left-turn lanes.
- SW 175th Avenue/High School–South Site Access – Install a traffic signal (or modify the conditioned High School Site-Access traffic signal) with a 100-foot southbound left-turn lane and exclusive westbound shared through-right and left0turn lanes.
- SW Roy Rogers Road-SW 175th Avenue/SW Scholls Ferry Road – Optimize signal timing to provide additional green time to the northbound and southbound movements

- For applications that meet the threshold criteria of section 60.55.15. (Traffic Management Plan) or of section 60.55.20. (Traffic Impact Analysis), these analyses or limited elements thereof may be required.*

Response: The proposed development is predicted at buildout to produce 5,330 total daily trips. Accordingly at Traffic Impact Analysis (as per section 60.55.20) has been developed

- The decision-making authority may impose development conditions of approval per Section 10.65.1. of this code. Conditions of approval may be based on the Traffic Management Plan and Traffic Impact Analysis. Additional street, bicycle, and pedestrian connections may also be required per 60.55.25. (Street and Bicycle and Pedestrian Connection Requirements).*

Response: The above mentioned authority is recognized

- Dedication of right-of-way shall be determined by the decision-making authority.*

Response: The above mentioned authority is recognized

- Traffic calming may be approved or required by the decision-making authority in a design of the proposed and/or existing streets within the Area of Influence or any additional locations identified by the City Engineer. Traffic calming measures shall be designed to City standards.*

Response: The above mentioned authority is recognized; any required traffic calming measures will be designed to City standards.

- Intersection performance shall be determined using the Highway Capacity Manual 2000 published by the Transportation Research Board. The City Engineer may approve a different intersection analysis method*

prior to use when the different method can be justified. Terms used in this subsection are defined in the Highway Capacity Manual 2000....

Response: The Traffic Impact Analysis Report (Impact Study A) utilized the Highway Capacity Manual 200 for analysis and employed the City’s standards above. It resulted in a series of recommendations for improvements to roadways, signals, access and capacity.

60.55.20. Traffic Impact Analysis.

For each development proposal that exceeds the Analysis Threshold of 60.55.20.2, the application for land use or design review approval shall include a Traffic Impact Analysis as required by this code. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall be based on the type and intensity of the proposed land use change or development and its estimated level of impact to the existing and future local and regional transportation systems.

1. Engineer Certification. *The Traffic Impact Analysis shall be prepared and certified by a traffic engineer or civil engineer licensed in the State of Oregon.*

Response: The traffic analysis was developed by Marc Butorac, PE, PTOE, with Kittelson & Associates, an engineer licensed in the State of Oregon.

2. Analysis Threshold.

- A. A Traffic Impact Analysis is required when the proposed land use change or development will generate 200 vehicles or more per day (vpd) in average weekday trips as determined by the City Engineer.*
- B. A Traffic Impact Analysis or some elements of a Traffic Impact Analysis may be required when the volume threshold under subsection A. of this section is not met but the City Engineer finds that the traffic impacts attributable to the development have the potential to significantly impact the safe and efficient operation of the existing public transportation system.*

Response: The project is expected to generate 5,330 trips per day. Accordingly, the analysis threshold has been met and the required Traffic Impact Analysis has been developed

3. Study Area. *The Traffic Impact Analysis shall evaluate the Area of Influence of the proposed development and all segments of the surrounding transportation system where users are likely to experience a change in the quality of traffic flow. The City Engineer may identify additional locations for study if existing traffic operation, safety, or performance is marginal or substandard. Prior to report preparation, the applicant shall submit the proposed scope and analysis assumptions of the Traffic Impact Analysis. The City Engineer shall determine whether the scope and analysis assumptions are adequate.*

Response: The study area for the project considered the area of influence beyond the site area to understand where users would be likely to experience a change in the quality of traffic flow.

TIA study area



4. Contents of the Traffic Impact Analysis Report. *The Traffic Impact Analysis report shall contain the following information organized in a logical format:*

- A. Executive Summary*
 - B. Description of Proposed Development*
 - C. Existing Conditions*
 - D. Traffic Forecasts*
 - E. Traffic Impacts*
 - F. Mitigation Identification*
 - G. Recommendations*
- ***

Response: The Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) Report, Appendix A, was developed by Kittelson & Associates. Working with the City of Beaverton and Washington County, the TIA contains the necessary elements describing the proposed development, existing conditions, forecasts and impacts and identifies mitigations and calls out the proposed recommendations. See Appendix A for demonstrated compliance with the standards within this section.

60.55.25. Street and Bicycle and Pedestrian Connection Requirements.

- 1. All streets shall provide for safe and efficient circulation and access for motor vehicles, bicycles, pedestrians, and transit. Bicycle and pedestrian connections shall provide for safe and efficient circulation and access for bicycles and pedestrians.*

Response: All streets are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual. A multi-modal transportation network has been planned and designed for the site and its efficacy is described in the Traffic Impact Analysis Report.

- 2. The Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element Figures 6.1 through 6.23 and Tables 6.1 through 6.6 shall be used to identify ultimate right-of-way width and future Potential Street, bicycle, and pedestrian connections in order to provide adequate multi-modal access to land uses, improve area circulation, and reduce out-of-direction travel.*

Response: The transportation network was planning in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Additionally all streets are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual and are in compliance with the Comprehensive plan (see section V).

- 3. Where a future street or bicycle and pedestrian connection location is not identified in the Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element, where abutting properties are undeveloped or can be expected to be redeveloped in the near term, and where a street or bicycle and pedestrian connection is necessary to enable reasonably direct access between and among neighboring properties, the applicant shall submit as part of a complete application, a future connections plan showing the potential arrangement of streets and bicycle and pedestrian connections that shall provide for the continuation or appropriate projection of these connections into surrounding areas.*

Response: The transportation network was planned in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, including connections to existing roadways.

- 4. Streets and bicycle and pedestrian connections shall extend to the boundary of the parcel under development and shall be designed to connect the proposed development's streets, bicycle connections, and pedestrian connections to existing and future streets, bicycle connections, and pedestrian connections. A closed-end street, bicycle connection, or pedestrian connection may be approved with a temporary design.*

Response: The transportation network was planned in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. It includes connections to existing roadways. No closed-end street is proposed. However, there are four locations where streets are stubbed out for future connection to currently non-developed land.

5. *Whenever existing streets and bicycle and pedestrian connections adjacent to or within a parcel of land are of inadequate width, additional right-of-way may be required by the decision-making authority.*

Response: Sufficient right-of-way has been set aside. All streets are designed in accordance with the City of Beaverton's Engineering Design Manual.

6. *Where possible, bicycle and pedestrian connections shall converge with streets at traffic-controlled intersections for safe crossing.*

Response: The bicycle and pedestrian connections indeed converge with streets at traffic-controlled intersections with SW 175 Ave.

7. *Bicycle and pedestrian connections shall connect the on-site circulation system to existing or proposed streets, to adjacent bicycle and pedestrian connections, and to driveways open to the public that abut the property. Connections may approach parking lots on adjoining properties if the adjoining property used for such connection is open to public pedestrian and bicycle use, is paved, and is unobstructed.*

Response: The transportation network was planned in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, including connections to existing roadways.

8. *To preserve the ability to provide transportation capacity, safety, and improvements, a special setback line may be established by the City for existing and future streets, street widths, and bicycle and pedestrian connections for which an alignment, improvement, or standard has been defined by the City. The special setback area shall be recorded on the plat.*

Response: The transportation network was planned in accordance with the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan and includes the needed capacity. No special setback is required.

9. *Access ways are one or more connections that provide bicycle and pedestrian passage between streets or a street and a destination. Accessways shall be provided as required by this code and where full street connections are not possible due to the conditions described in Section 60.55.25.13. An accessway will not be required where the impacts from development, redevelopment, or both are low and do not provide reasonable justification for the estimated costs of such accessway.*

A. Accessways shall be provided as follows:

Response: Four access ways are proposed to break up the long blocks within phase 2 that were designed in response to topography. Other long block faces are bordered by natural areas where providing an accessway (such as the boundary of Road 6c and the natural area to the east) would be costly and have negative impacts on the sensitive areas that would require mitigation.

B. Accessway Design Standards.

1. *Accessways shall be as short as possible and wherever practical, straight enough to allow one end of the path to be visible from the other.*
2. *Accessways shall be located to provide a reasonably direct connection between likely pedestrian and bicycle destinations.*

Response: The proposed accessways are straight in line with the north-south axis of the globe and provide direct connection to the neighborhood park from properties to the north.

10. *Pedestrian Circulation.*

Response: All roadways within the subdivision are designed with sidewalks to convey pedestrians in a manner compliant with this standard.

11. *Pedestrian Connections at Major Transit Stops. Commercial and institution buildings at or near major transit stops shall provide for pedestrian access to transit through the following measures:*

Response: There are no Major Transit Stops within or near the project. Accordingly this standard is not applicable.

12. *Assessment, review, and mitigation measures (including best management practices adopted by local agencies) shall be completed for bicycle and pedestrian connections located within the following areas: wetlands, streams, areas noted as Significant Natural Resources Overlay Zones, Significant Wetlands and Wetlands of Special Protection, and Significant Riparian Corridors within Volume III of the Comprehensive Plan Statewide Planning Goal 5 Resource Inventory Documents and Significant Natural Resources Map, and areas identified in regional and/or intergovernmental resource protection programs.*

Response: No bicycle or pedestrian connections are proposed to be located within the areas of concern within this standard.

13. *New construction of bicycle and pedestrian connections along residential rear lot lines is discouraged unless no comparable substitute alignment is possible in the effort to connect common trip origins and destinations or existing segment links.*

Response: No bicycle or pedestrian connections are proposed along residential rear lot lines.

14. *Street and Bicycle and Pedestrian Connection Hindrances. Street, bicycle, and/or pedestrian connections are not required where one or more of the following conditions exist:*

- A. *Physical or topographic conditions make a general street, bicycle, or pedestrian connection impracticable. Such conditions include but are not limited to the alignments of existing connecting streets, freeways, railroads, slopes in excess of City standards for maximum slopes, wetlands or other bodies of water where a connection could not reasonably be provided;*
- B. *Existing buildings or other development on adjacent lands physically preclude a connection now and in the future, considering the potential for redevelopment; or,*
- C. *Where streets, bicycle, or pedestrian connections would violate provisions of leases, easements, covenants, or restrictions written and recorded as of May 1, 1995, which preclude a required street, bicycle, or pedestrian connection.*

Response: Connection Hindrances are created by the protection of wetlands, natural areas and sensitive areas. In response to these topographical constraints, as identified by the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, just one east-west collector runs through the project, crossing the sensitive areas. Local streets, which convey people on bicycles and on foot, are not proposed to cross these natural facilities. Therefore, the protection of these area is a hindrance to developing a fully connect transportation system for all modes of travel.

60.55.30. Minimum Street Widths. *Minimum street widths are depicted in the Engineering Design Manual*

Response: Streets are design in accordance with the Engineering Design Manual.

60.55.35. Access Standards.

1. *The development plan shall include street plans that demonstrate how safe access to and from the proposed development and the street system will be provided. The applicant shall also show how public and private access to, from, and within the proposed development will be preserved*

Response: The application contains a street plan and traffic impact analysis that describe the safe access to and from the development.

2. *No more than 25 dwelling units may have access onto a closed-end street system unless the decision-making authority finds that identified physical constraints preclude compliance with the standard and the proposed development is still found to be in compliance with the Facilities Review criteria of Section 40.03.*

Response: No such proposal is made by this application.

3. *Intersection Standards.*

- A. *Visibility at Intersections. All work adjacent to public streets and accessways shall comply with the standards of the Engineering Design Manual except in Regional and Town Centers.*

Response: All Intersections and Streets are design in accordance with the Engineering Design Manual.

- B. *Intersection angles and alignment and intersection spacing along streets shall meet the standards of the Engineering Design Manual and Standard Drawings.*

1. *Local street connections at intervals of no more than 330 feet should apply in areas planned for the highest density multiple use development.*

Response: All Intersections and Streets are designed in accordance with the Engineering Design Manual. There are streets within the subdivision however that exceed the 330 foot connection interval standard. These are all located in phase 2. The hillside topography in this area severely limits the ability to plan the roads in a fashion that meet the spacing standards. There are three streets that make long traverses from east to west. Ideally they would be intersected by one or more north-south oriented roadways. Attempts to design these desired connections however revealed that excessive grading differentials would be required. At one location for example (the west end of street O), a forty foot tall retaining wall would be needed to facilitate the desired road connection. Additional connections to the east and west would also be ideal. However, westward connection would necessitate disturbance of the natural area (in addition to grad challenges). The eastern edge faces similar problems, and is also disconnected from roadways by the existing housing.

The proposal introduces angles streets and curving hill approaches to moderate the change in slope and proposes a north-south pedestrian staircase to break up the long blocks and provide walking access to the neighborhood park within phase 3.

C. *Driveways.*

1. *Corner Clearance for Driveways. Corner clearance at signalized intersections and stop-controlled*

- intersections, and spacing between driveways shall meet the standards of the Engineering Design Manual and Standard Drawings.*
2. *Shared Driveway Access. Whenever practical, access to Arterials and Collectors shall serve more than one site through the use of driveways common to more than one development or to an on-site private circulation design that furthers this requirement. Consideration of shared access shall take into account at a minimum property ownership, surrounding land uses, and physical characteristics of the area. Where two or more lots share a common driveway, reciprocal access easements between adjacent lots may be required.*
 3. *No new driveways for detached dwellings shall be permitted to have direct access onto an Arterial or Collector street except in unusual circumstances where emergency access or an alternative access does not exist. Where detached dwelling access to a local residential street or Neighborhood Route is not practicable, the decision-making authority may approve access from a detached dwelling to an Arterial or Collector.*

Response: All Intersections and Streets are design in accordance with the Engineering Design Manual. No driveways are proposed for access to arterial or collector streets.

60.55.40. Transit Facilities. *Transit routes and transit facilities shall be designed to support transit use through provision of transit improvements. These improvements shall include passenger landing pads, accessways to the transit stop location, or some combination thereof, as required by TriMet and the City, and may also include shelters or a pad for a shelter. In addition, when required by TriMet and the City, major industrial, institution, retail, and office developments shall provide either a transit stop on site or a pedestrian connection to a transit stop adjacent to the site.*

1. *Transit Shelters. All transit shelters and sidewalk furniture shall meet the following standards.*

Response: No transit facilities are present within the site. The nearest bus line is Route 92, the South Beaverton Express, which stops at the intersection of SW Scholls Ferry Road/SW Teal Blvd/SW Horizon Blvd (approximately 1.25 miles east of the project site). The standards of this section are not applicable.

60.60. TREES AND VEGETATION.

60.60.05. Purpose.

Healthy trees and urban forests provide a variety of natural resource and community benefits for the City of Beaverton. Primary among those benefits is the aesthetic contribution to the increasingly urban landscape. Tree resource protection focuses on the aesthetic benefits of the resource. In conjunction with processes set forth in Section 40.90. of this Code, this section is intended to help manage changes to the City's urban forest by establishing regulations and standards for the protection, pruning, removal, replacement, and mitigation for removal of Protected Trees (Significant Individual Trees, Historic Trees, Mitigation Trees and trees within a Significant Natural Resource Area (SNRA) or Significant Grove), Landscape Trees, and Community Trees.

60.60.07. Enforcement.

A person found responsible for causing the removal or pruning of a protected tree in violation of the standards set forth in Section 60.60., unless exempt, shall be subject to monetary penalties. In cases of unlawful removal the person must also mitigate the removal as set forth in the mitigation requirements of Section 60.60.25. Monetary penalties imposed by a court of competent jurisdiction upon conviction for violating any provision of Chapter 60 Section 60 of this Ordinance, shall be deposited into the City's Tree Mitigation Fund.

60.60.10. Types of Trees and Vegetation Regulated.

Actions regarding trees and vegetation addressed by this section shall be performed in accordance with the regulations established herein and in Section 40.90. of this Code. The City finds that the following types of trees and vegetation are worthy of special protection:

1. *Significant Individual Trees.*
2. *Historic Tree.*
3. *Trees within Significant Natural Resource Areas.*
4. *Trees within Significant Groves.*
5. *Landscape Trees.*
6. *Community Trees.*
7. *Mitigation Trees.*

60.60.15. Pruning, Removal, and Preservation Standards.

1. *Pruning Standards.*

2. *Removal and Preservation Standards.*

60.60.20. Tree Protection Standards during Development.

1. *Trees classified as Protected Trees under this Code shall be protected during development in compliance with the following:*

60.60.25. Mitigation Requirements.

1. *The following standards shall apply to mitigation for the removal of Significant Individual Trees or trees within Significant Groves or SNRAs.*

2. *Mitigation for the removal of trees from Significant Groves or SNRAs shall be required as follows:*

3. *In addition to the requirements listed in Section 60.60.25.1. Mitigation Requirements, the following mitigation requirements shall apply for the removal of trees from Significant Groves or SNRAs.*

4. *Significant Grove or SNRA on-site mitigation, 2:1 planting ratio.*

5. *Significant Grove or SNRA off-site mitigation, 1:1 planting ratio.*

6. *Significant Grove or SNRA Tree Plan 3 mitigation, 1:1 planting ratio.*

7. *In-Lieu fee. If the total caliper inch on-site- or off-site tree planting mitigation does not equal the DBH inch removal or if no tree planting mitigation is proposed, the remaining or total caliper inch tree planting mitigation shall be provided as a fee in-lieu payment. The in-lieu fee shall be specified in the Community Development In-Lieu Fee schedule. Fee revenues shall be deposited in the City's Tree Mitigation Fund.*

8. *In addition to the standards in Mitigation Standards 1, the following standards shall apply to mitigation for the removal of a Significant Individual Tree:*

9. *The following standards apply to the replacement of a Landscape Tree:*
 - A. *A replacement tree shall be a substantially similar species or a tree approved by the City considering site characteristics.*
 - B. *If a replacement tree of the species of the tree removed or damaged is not reasonably available, the City may allow replacement with a different species.*
 - C. *Replacement of a Landscape Tree shall be based on total linear DBH calculations at a one-to-one ratio depending upon the capacity of the site to accommodate replacement tree or unless otherwise specified through development review. Replacement of tree on a one-to-one basis shall be as follows:*
 1. *Calculate the sum of the total linear DBH measurement of the tree to be removed.*

2. *The total linear DBH measurement of the tree to be removed shall be replaced with tree at least 1.5 caliper inches in diameter. The total caliper inches of the replacement tree shall be at least equal to the sum total of the linear DBH measurement of the removed tree.*

Response to 60.60.05 through 60.60.25: All actions regarding trees and vegetation will be performed in accordance with the regulations established herein and in Section 40.90. of this Code. Existing trees will be protected with a 5-foot tall chain link fence around the drip line during construction. Neither top soil storage nor construction material shall be located within the drip line of the tree. The construction fence will be placed around a tree or grove beyond the edge of the root zone. The fence will be placed before physical development starts and remain in place until physical development is complete. Adjustments to the location of the protective fencing may be required to complete construction of permanent facilities.

For this development it was determined that no significant individual trees or historic trees exist on this site. In addition, the following trees that are being removed are not present within the significant natural resources area:

- Western Hemlock
- Mountain Hemlock
- Pacific Madrone
- Bigleaf Maple

Refer to the Tree Plans and Tree Data Tables (Sheets LTP-0 through LTP-11) to review the tree preservation/removal along with a table that identifies each tree, provides the DBH, condition of the tree, and if it is going to be removed. The total community tree DBH is 6,244, with this project a total of 1,280 DBH will be removed. No mitigation will be required for the trees.

60.65. UTILITY UNDERGROUNDING.

60.65.15. Regulation. *All existing and proposed utility lines within and contiguous to the subject property, including, but not limited to, those required for electric, communication, and cable television services and related facilities shall be placed underground as specified herein. The utilities required to be placed underground shall be those existing overhead utilities which are impacted by the proposed development and those utilities that are required to be installed as a result of the proposed development.*

60.65.20. Information on Plans. *The applicant for a development subject to design review, subdivision, partition, or site development permit approval shall show, on the proposed plan or in the explanatory information, the following:*

60.65.25. Optional Fee In Lieu of the Undergrounding Requirement. *If any of the following criteria are met as determined by the City, after receiving a recommendation from the Facilities Review Committee, at the applicant's option, applicant shall either immediately place the private utilities underground or pay a fee to the City toward future undergrounding in lieu of immediately placing private utilities underground.*

60.65.30. Fees to be Paid In-Lieu of Undergrounding.

60.65.35. City to Establish Priorities. *Any funds collected from the in-lieu undergrounding fees may be used by the City to offset the costs of undergrounding any private utilities as part of any project listed in the CIP, subject to the following priorities:*

Response to 60.65.15, 60.65.20, 60.65.25, 60.65.30, and 60.65.35: All existing and proposed utility lines within and contiguous to the South Cooper Mountain Heights development site for

electric, communication, and cable television services and related facilities will be placed underground as specified herein this Code section. The utilities required to be placed underground shall be those existing overhead utilities which are impacted by the proposed development and those utilities that are required to be installed as a result of the proposed development. The developer will make all necessary arrangements with the serving private utility to cause the utility service(s) to be placed underground.

Refer to the Utility sheets P5.0-P5.5 within the plan set to see:

- Easements for all public and private utility facilities
- The location of all existing above ground and underground public and private utilities within 100 feet of the site
- Above ground public or private utility facilities do not obstruct vision clearance areas pursuant to Section 60.55.50. of this Code

60.67. SIGNIFICANT NATURAL RESOURCES.

60.67.05. Local Wetland Inventory. *Prior to issuing a development permit, the Local Wetland Inventory map shall be reviewed to determine if the site proposed for development is identified as the location of a significant wetland.*

Response: A local wetland inventory was commissioned and utilized in site planning and obtaining a service provider letter from CWS. See Impact Study C.

60.67.10. Significant Riparian Corridors. *Prior to issuing a development permit, the list of Significant Riparian Corridors shall be reviewed to determine if the site proposed for development is identified as being listed corridor.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision includes riparian corridors for which protective buffers will be established and enforced. The applicant has worked with CWS, and obtained the necessary service provider letter. The site plans clearly demark the sensitive areas for which buffer protections are established, locations of encroachments and lands used for mitigation.

VI. COMPLIANCE WITH BEAVERTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

Below are the responses to the standards of the City of Beaverton Comprehensive Plan that were specified in the City of Beaverton Staff Pre-Application Notes. Other standards of the City of Beaverton Comprehensive Plan are assumed not to be applicable to this review.

CHAPTER THREE: LAND USE ELEMENT

3.13 Residential Neighborhood Development

3.13.1 Goal: *Provide for the establishment and maintenance of safe, convenient, attractive and healthful places to live.*

- b) *Encourage a variety of housing types in residential areas, by permitting or conditionally permitting any housing type (one, two or more, family dwellings) within any zoning district so long as the underlying residential density of the zoning district is met. Accessory dwelling units shall not be considered in the calculation of the underlying housing density.*

Response: The proposed South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision contains a mix of housing types, including single-family detached and attached housing, plus a future multi-family program in phase 5. The density and mix were developed in response to the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. At present there is not underlying residential zoning to form a benchmark. In lieu of underlying zoning, this application has considered the goals for distribution of lands by zone from the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The table below demonstrates the variety of land set for the relevant zoning categories and densities.

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Acres	Net Residential Acres*	Assumed mix of zones from Table 2	Proposed mix of zones	Minimum Net Residential Density	Net Residential Density	Maximum Allowable Density (units)	Minimum Housing Capacity (units)	Proposed Housing Units
High Density (To be developed through separate application)	R-1	11.53	9.224	100% R-1	100% R-1	26.1	36.9	402	321	340
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	4.39	30% R-2 70% R-4	38% R-2 62% R-4	13.1	25.1	96	82	110
	R-4	18.56	7.46			6.5	11.0	81	67	82
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	3.35	30% R-5 70% R-7	30% R-5 70% R-7	5.2	9.9	29	23	33
	R-7	46.63	22.53			3.7	6.9	140	102	156
Total		107.7	47.0				15.4	748	595	721

* The R1 zone has not been planned out yet. Accordingly the 80% standard from 20.25.05 has been applied to achieve the net acreage

c) *Require Planned Unit Development application procedures for projects proposing two or more families within the Low Density and Standard Density land use designations. Planned Unit Developments encourage flexibility in standards and provide a mechanism for staff to make adequate findings with respect to compatibility in size, scale, and dimension. Exceptions to this requirement are dwellings designed as primary units with an accessory dwelling unit, as specified in the Development Code.*

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision application has indeed been made through the Planned Unit Development application process.

e) *Apply zoning districts as shown in subsection 3.14 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning District Matrix.*

Response: The application designates zoning districts compatible with the Metro 2040 Growth Concept and Map and the City’s implanting strategy as evidenced by the table below.

g) *Enhance the City’s landscape through design measures considering the natural setting of the land and the character of existing residential neighborhoods.*

Response: The natural setting formed the design framework for the subdivision. The South Cooper Mountain Community plan was developed among intense public engagement and with design rigor. The resulting plan built upon the natural drainages, general southern exposure and rolling terrain of the site. The South Cooper Mountain Heights subdivision moves the Community Plan forward by adding the local streets, parks and open space and future tax lots.

i) *Residential development, in compliance with regional mandates, shall achieve at least 80% of the maximum density allowed in the respective zoning districts as applied through 3.14 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning District Matrix.*

Response: Among all the proposed zones, this application exceeds the 80% threshold as applied to the net residential lands. In most cases, the maximum is exceeded in relation to the net lands due to the significant amount of land placed into conservation and public open space. See table below.

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Residential Acres	Net Residential Acres	Minimum Net Housing Capacity (units)	Maximum Net Housing Capacity (units)	Proposed Housing Units
High Density	R-1	11.53	9.22	321	402	340
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	4.39	82	96	110
	R-4	18.56	7.46	67	81	82
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	3.35	23	29	33
	R-7	46.63	22.53	102	140	156
	Total	107.7	47.0	595.4	748	721

3.13.3 Goal: Establish Standard Density Residential areas to provide moderate sized lots for typical single family residences with private open space.

a) Apply zoning districts as shown in subsection 3.14 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning District Matrix to allow a variety of housing choices.

Response: The application proposes to add 66 gross acres of land zoned for standard residential use. This land comprises both R5 and R7 zoning designations, satisfying the need to provide for moderate sized lots for typical single family residences.

3.13.4 Goal: Establish Medium Density Residential areas to allow for single family attached and detached, and multiple-family developments.

a) Apply zoning districts as shown in subsection 3.14 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning District Matrix
b) Medium Density Residential zoning is located generally in areas with good access to arterial streets, good transit service, commercial service, and public open space, or should be designed in a coordinated manner to provide such amenities in the immediate vicinity.

Response: The application proposes to add 30 gross acres of land zoned for medium density residential use. This land comprises both R2 and R4 zoning designations.

3.13.5 Goal: Establish High Density Residential areas to allow for a variety of housing types.

a) Apply zoning districts as shown in subsection 3.14 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning District Matrix in areas with good access to arterial streets, transit service, commercial service, and public open space.

Response: The application proposes to add 11 gross acres of land zoned for high density residential use. This land is comprised solely of R1 zoning


CHAPTER FOUR: HOUSING ELEMENT

4.2.2 Availability of Housing Types

4.2.2.1 Goal: Provide an adequate variety of quality housing types to serve Beaverton's citizenry.

a) Allow development of a wide variety of housing types in the City.

Response: The South Cooper Mountain Heights Subdivision proposes a wide variety of housing types as per the above goal and policy. The chart below illustrates the distribution of housing types by proposed zoning designation.



CHAPTER FIVE: PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES ELEMENT

5.4 Storm Water and Drainage

5.4.1 Goal: Ensure long-term provision of adequate storm water management within existing City limits and areas to be annexed in the future.

- a) The City shall continue to participate in the CWS's Surface Water Management (SWM) program for the urban portion of the Tualatin River watershed. The City shall retain responsibility for planning, construction and maintenance of portions of the local storm water facilities within its incorporated limits.
- b) On-site detention will be used as a storm water management tool to mitigate the impacts of increased storm water run-off associated with new land development.
- c) All new land development will be connected to a storm water drainage system. Each new development will be responsible for the construction or assurance of construction of their portion of the major storm water run-off facilities that are identified by the SWM program as being necessary to serve the new land development.

Response: The proposed development will provide stormwater design and treatment systems consistent with CWS and City standards to mitigate the impacts of increased stormwater run-off associated with new land development.

5.5 Potable Water

5.5.1 Goal: The City shall continue to participate in the Joint Water Commission and work with the West Slope, Raleigh and Tualatin Valley Water Districts to ensure the provision of adequate water service to present and future customers in Beaverton.

- a) All new land development (residential subdivisions, multiple family dwelling development, and industrial and commercial developments) shall be connected to a public water system.

Response: The proposed development will be connected to the City of Beaverton public water system. The project will install reclaimed water “purple pipe” for future use.

- b) All new development served by the Beaverton Water Division shall be reviewed by the City to determine that the pressure of water available to serve the proposed development meets City standards.

Response: The proposed development will meet the water pressure standards set by the City.

- c) *The City shall encourage water conservation consistent with current intergovernmental agreements, to prolong existing supplies and to help postpone water system capacity improvements needed to supply expected future demands as a result of projected population increases.*

Response: The proposed project will incorporate water conservation practices throughout the development with the use of low flow fixtures and appliances, native plants for landscaping, and all water will be metered.

- d) *The City will comply with State and federal laws and regulations relating to potable water.*

Response: The proposed development will be connected to the City of Beaverton public water system. The project will install reclaimed water “purple pipe” for future use.

5.6 Sanitary Sewer

5.6.1. *Goal: The City shall continue to cooperate with CWS to ensure long-term provision of an adequate sanitary sewer system within existing City limits and areas to be annexed in the future.*

- a) *All new land development (residential subdivisions, and multiple family dwelling, industrial, and commercial developments) shall be connected to the City sewer system.*
- b) *When sewer service is extended into an area that contains existing development, all existing habitable buildings shall be connected to the new sewer if they are within 100 feet of the sewer line and if gravity lateral sewer lines can serve them.*

Response: The proposed development will have connection to the City sewer system and extend to upstream properties.

5.8 Parks and Recreation

5.8.1 *Goal: Cooperate with THPRD in implementation of its 20-Year Comprehensive Master Plan and Trails Master Plan in order to ensure adequate parks and recreation facilities and programs for current and future City residents.*

- e) *A number of financial incentives exist to encourage private property owners to donate, dedicate, or provide easements for resource preservation, park, trail or open space use. The City shall work cooperatively with property owners and THPRD to maximize the use of these tools for the benefit of the community.*

Response: Within the proposed development there is a 2.7 acre neighborhood park located in Track V. (See sheet P3.3 and L2.5 of the plan set)

CHAPTER SIX: TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT

6.2 Transportation Goals and Policies

6.2.1. *Goal: Transportation facilities designed and constructed in a manner to enhance Beaverton’s livability and meet federal, state, regional, and local requirements.*

- a) *Maintain the livability of Beaverton through proper location and design of transportation facilities.*
Actions...

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance with City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

- d) *Locate and design multi-use paths to balance the needs of human use and enjoyment with resource preservation in areas identified on the Natural Resource Inventory Plan Map for their Significant Natural Resource values.*

Response: A multi-use path will be designed along the planned collector 6b, 6c, and north-south along the creek corridor. The paths will be designed in accordance with City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

- e) *Protect neighborhoods from excessive through traffic and travel speeds while providing reasonable access to and from residential areas. Build streets to minimize speeding.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance with City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Based on projected volumes, the planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed as a 2-lane collector, following City of Beaverton design standards, thereby promoting a multi-modal environment and reducing speeds through the site and pedestrian and cyclist crossings.

6.2.2. *Goal: A balanced multimodal transportation system that provides mobility and accessibility for users.*

- c) *Develop and provide a safe, complete, attractive, efficient, and accessible system of pedestrian ways and bicycle ways, including bike lanes, cycletracks, bike boulevards, shared roadways, multi-use paths, and sidewalks according to the pedestrian and bicycle system maps, and the Development Code and Engineering Design Manual requirements.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance with City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

- d) *Design sidewalks and the pedestrian access systems to City standards to enhance walkability: complete the accessible pedestrian network, provide safe direct access to transit and activity centers, and provide safe crossings at intersections with pedestrian friendly design.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance with City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

- e) *Provide connectivity to each area of the City for convenient multimodal access. Ensure pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and vehicle access to schools, parks, commercial, employment, and recreational areas, and destinations in station areas, regional and town centers by identifying and developing improvements that address connectivity needs.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance with City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The multi-use path located along the planned Collector 6b, 6c is intended to connect the proposed Beaverton High School with the existing Scholls Heights Elementary School. These connections provide the intended connectivity throughout the City and are consistent with the Transportation System Plan.

- f) *Develop neighborhood and local connections to provide convenient circulation into and out of neighborhoods. Work to prevent and eliminate pedestrian and bicycle “cul-de-sacs” that require substantial out-of-direction travel for pedestrians and bicyclists.*

Response: The proposed site is designed to promote convenient circulation in and out of the neighborhood. Upon build-out of the planned Collector 6b, 6b to SW Loon Drive, a connected east-west route will provide connection between neighborhood to the east and west of the proposed South Cooper Mountain Heights neighborhood.

- g) *Identify specific areas within the City where pedestrian needs and the pedestrian experience should be given highest priority in the design of streets, parking, intersections, connectivity, signal controls, mapping and signing, and other transportation facilities.*

Response: The proposed site is within and complies with the vision of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan (Plan) area which prioritizes multi-modal facilities. The Plan provides transportation strategies to guide the build-out of the area, including the strategy to “provide a great, but practical, pedestrian and bicycle network”. The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

- h) *The permanent closure of an existing road in a developed neighborhood is not recommended and will be considered by the City only under the following circumstances: as a measure of last resort, when the quality of life in the neighborhood is being severely threatened by excessive traffic volumes or the presence of a traffic safety hazard; or, as part of a plan reviewed through the City’s land use, site development, and/or capital improvement process(es). Maintain existing neighborhood connectivity by avoiding closures of existing streets except when the closure is part of a larger plan for improvements to the neighborhood.*

Response: The proposed development does not propose the closure of any existing road.

- i) *Design streets to accommodate transit while minimizing impacts to traffic flow.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The South Cooper Mountain Community plan includes a transportation strategy related to transit (Be Transit Ready). The proposed development complies with this strategy by focusing the highest density at the southwest quadrant of the site in order to support future transit service along SW 175th Avenue and SW Scholls Ferry Road.

- j) *Require developers to include pedestrian, bicycle, and transit-supportive improvements within proposed developments and adjacent rights-of-way in accordance with adopted policies and standards.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The South Cooper Mountain Community Plan includes provisions for the implementation of complete streets under the “provide a great, but practical, pedestrian and bicycle network” strategy.

6.2.3. Goal: A safe transportation system.

b) *Design streets to serve anticipated function and intended uses as determined by the Comprehensive Plan.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and planned Collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan.

d) *Designate safe walkway and bikeway routes from residential areas to schools, parks, transit, and other activity centers.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and Planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The multi-use path located along the planned Collector 6b, 6c is intended to connect the proposed Beaverton High School with the existing Scholls Heights Elementary School.

e) *Construct multi-use paths only where they can be developed with satisfactory design components that address safety, security, maintainability, and acceptable uses. Multiuse paths should converge at traffic-controlled intersections to provide for safe crossing, and paths should be separate and distant from major streets for most of their length. Mid-block crossings for trails access, such as the Denney Road Fanno Creek Trail crossing, will be considered as appropriate where findings for safety are met and such crossings are approved by the City.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and Planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities will be installed throughout the site, in addition to the planned multi-use facilities per the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. The multi-use path located along the planned Collector 6b, 6c is intended to connect the proposed Beaverton High School with the existing Scholls Heights Elementary School.

f) *Provide satisfactory levels of maintenance to the transportation system in order to preserve user safety, facility aesthetics, and the integrity of the system as a whole.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and Planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, and be subsequently maintained by the City of Beaverton.

g) *Maintain access management standards for streets consistent with City, County, and State requirements to reduce conflicts among vehicles, trucks, rail, bicycles, and pedestrians. Preserve the functional integrity of the road system by limiting access per City standards.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and Planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. All streets within the site follow City of Beaverton access spacing standards based on their individual functional classifications.

b) *Ensure that adequate access for emergency services vehicles is provided throughout the City.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and Planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. All streets within the site follow City of Beaverton access spacing standards based on their individual functional classifications.

6.2.5. *Goal: Transportation facilities that serve and are accessible to all members of the community.*

a) *Construct transportation facilities, including access to and within transit waiting areas, to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act.*

Response: The local streets, neighborhood route, and Planned collector 6b, 6c will be designed in accordance to City of Beaverton design standards and the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, which ultimately adhere to design standards specified through the Americans with Disabilities Act.

CHAPTER SEVEN: NATURAL, CULTURAL, HISTORIC, SCENIC, ENERGY AND GROUNDWATER RESOURCES ELEMENT

7.1.1 *Goal: Balance development rights with natural resource protection.*

b) *Conserve, protect and enhance natural resource sites and values through a combination of programs that involve development regulations, purchase of land and conservation easements, educational efforts, and mitigation of impacts on resource sites.*

Action 1: *Establish acquisition programs for Significant Goal 5 Resources; prepare and maintain a long-range list of priority resource locations for public acquisition.*

Action 2: *Facilitate and encourage habitat friendly development practices and low impact development through flexibility in site development standards and reduction in surface water management fees and systems development charges.*

Response: Areas adjacent to identified wetlands have been avoided as much as practicable and incorporated into a larger open space area.

c) *Where adverse impacts to Significant Natural Resources cannot be practicably avoided, require mitigation of the same resource type commensurate with the impact, at a location as close as possible to the impacted resource site.*

Response: No impacts to significant natural resources are proposed for this project. There are two road crossings of wetlands and those areas will be spanned by bridges to avoid any impacts. Impacts to adjacent buffers of wetland areas will be mitigated on-site per Clean Water Service's requirements at a greater than 1:1 ratio.

7.3.1 SIGNIFICANT NATURAL RESOURCES

7.3.1.1 *Goal: Conserve, protect, enhance or restore the functions and values of inventoried Significant Natural Resources.*

a) *Inventoried natural resources shall be conserved, protected, enhanced or restored:*

- *to retain the visual and scenic diversity of our community;*
- *for their educational and recreational values;*
- *to provide habitats for fish and wildlife in our urban area.*

Response: No impacts to significant natural resources are proposed. A wetland delineation, cultural resources assessment, and Clean Water Services Natural Resource Assessment was completed for the site. Impact Study C – Wetland Delineation report identifies the wetlands and adjacent buffers inventoried for this project. No cultural resources were found on the site. No impacts to wetlands are proposed. Two road crossings of drainages areas are proposed and will be constructed with bridges that will span the resource. Impacts to adjacent buffers will be mitigated on-site per Clean Water Service’s requirements at a greater than 1:1 ratio.

- b) *Conserve, protect and enhance natural resource sites and values through a combination of programs that involve development regulations, purchase of land and conservation easements, educational efforts, and mitigation of impacts on resource sites.*

Response: Areas adjacent to identified wetlands have been avoided as much as practicable and incorporated into a larger open space area.

- c) *Inventoried natural resources shall be incorporated into the landscape design of development projects as part of a site development plan, recognizing them as amenities for residents and employees alike.*

Response: The wetlands identified on site have been avoided and the riparian corridor will be linked to a trail network.

- d) *The City shall rely on its site development permitting process as the mechanism to balance the needs of development with natural resource protection.*

Response: All required permits will be obtained and natural resources avoided and protected to the maximum extent practicable.

- e) *Development within Significant Natural Resource areas shall be consistent with the relevant regulations or guidelines of the National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Oregon Division of State Lands, Clean Water Services, and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.*

Response: All applicable regulations have been addressed. A Service Provider Letter will be obtained from Clean Water Services. All other resources have been avoided, so no other permits will be required.

- f) *Specific uses of or development activities in Significant Natural Resources areas shall be evaluated carefully and those uses or activities that are complementary and compatible with resource protection shall be permitted. This is not intended to prohibit a land use permitted by the underlying zoning district but only to regulate the design of development such as building or parking location or type of landscaping.*

Response: No development with significant natural resources is proposed.

- g) *Limited alteration or improvement of Significant Natural Resource areas may be permitted so long as potential losses are mitigated and “best management practices” are employed.*

Response: No development within significant natural resources is proposed. Impacts to buffer areas will be mitigated and improved per Clean Water Services guidelines.

- h) *Roads and utilities, which must be located within, or traverse through, a Significant Natural Resource Area, shall be carefully planned and aligned so as to minimize loss and disruption. A rehabilitation or restoration*

plan shall be a necessary component. The City should allow variations from standard street sections in these areas.

Response: The two road crossings were located in areas where the significant natural resource is very narrow. This allows avoidance of the resource by spanning it with a bridge. All associated construction impacts will be temporary and restored on site.

7.3.3 SIGNIFICANT WETLANDS

7.3.1.1 Goal: Protect or enhance wetlands adopted as Significant Wetlands in the Local Wetland Inventory.

a) Significant Wetlands in the Local Wetland Inventory shall be protected for their filtration, flood control, wildlife habitat, natural vegetation and other water resource values.

Response: No impacts to wetlands are proposed. Two road crossings of drainages areas are proposed and will be constructed with bridges that will span the resource.

b) Development within the buffer area adjacent to a significant wetland shall be subject to restrictions on building, grading, excavation, placement of fill, and native vegetation removal.

Action I: *Amend the City regulations and development standards as appropriate, to ensure compliance with Clean Water Services Design and Construction Standards provisions for encroachment.*

Response: Impacts to buffer areas will be mitigated and improved per Clean Water Services guidelines.

c) Where development is constrained due to wetland protection regulations, a hardship variance may be granted if approval criteria are met.

Action I: *Amend the implementing ordinances as appropriate to ensure compliance with Clean Water Services Design and Construction Standards provisions for a hardship variance.*

Response: No impacts to wetlands are proposed and a hardship variance is not necessary.

VII. COMPLIANCE WITH SOUTH COOPER MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY PLAN

Below are the responses to the standards of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan that were specified in the City of Beaverton Staff Pre-Application Notes. Other standards of the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan are assumed not to be applicable to this review.

(2) The City shall adopt a Land Use Map as part of the Community Plan that establishes initial comprehensive plan map designations for the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan Area

Response: The City has adopted such a map and the proposal is based on the land use patterns depicted therein.

(3) Washington County zoning, as administered by the City, shall remain in place until new City zoning is applied...

Response: The County zoning is still in place. This proposal makes request for City Zoning to implement the community plan.

(4) Zoning may be applied through initiation by the City or as requested by an applicant. Zoning and development review applications may be requested concurrently⁷. The mix of zones applied to a given development site shall be generally consistent with the assumed mix of zones shown in Table 2. Deviation of up to 10 percentage points may be allowed from the mix shown in Table 2 (e.g. if the mix shown is 30/70 then the deviation may be between 20/80 and 40/60). The percentage shall be calculated based on gross site acres.

Response: The table below illustrates the categories contained within table 2 from the Community Plan using land area information from this proposal. As evidenced by the table, the proposed mix of land uses for each comprehensive plan category falls within the plus or minus deviation specified in the criterion.

Land Use Designation	Proposed Zoning	Gross Acres	Net Residential Acres*	Assumed mix of zones from Table 2	Proposed mix of zones	Proposed Housing Units
High Density (To be developed through separate application)	R-1	11.53	9.224	100% R-1	100% R-1	340
Medium Density	R-2	11.18	4.39	30% R-2	38% R-2	110
	R-4	18.56	7.46	70% R-4	62% R-4	82
Standard Density	R-5	19.83	3.35	30% R-5	30% R-5	33
	R-7	46.63	22.53	70% R-7	70% R-7	156
Total		107.7	47.0			721

(5) Amendments to the boundaries of Land Use Map designations may be proposed as individual requests prior to development, or simultaneously when development is proposed. This policy is intended to provide a means for the Land Use map and zoning to be aligned with site-specific condition, and the placement of roads, housing densities, parks, schools and other development that will occur incrementally over time.

Response: The proposal utilizes the Land Use Map designations as specified in the adopted South Cooper Mountain Community Plan. Zoning designations are proposed in accordance with the Land Use map as listed in the Community Plan

(6) All Land Use map amendments will be required to demonstrate consistency with all policies in the South Community Plan in addition to applicable Comprehensive Plan policies, Development Code requirements, and other applicable regulations. In addition, amendments to the Land Use Map will provide a mix of land use designations and opportunities for a variety of housing types. The goal of this policy is to ensure that South Cooper Mountains' neighborhoods and livability are enhanced by variety in the type and design of housing.

Response: Following the pre-application conference Beaverton staff provided clear directions on the sections of the comprehensive plan and development code for which compliance would be necessary. This application demonstrates compliance with these criteria and policies under the relevant sections that follow.

(7) The city will support efforts by THPRD and Beaverton and Hillsboro School Districts to find, acquire and develop appropriate sites for neighborhood parks and elementary schools with their Community Plan area.


Response: This criterion applies to agency efforts and does not describe a responsibility for developers. However, this application does include a neighborhood park and facilities for safe access for walking, biking or driving.

(8) *As a matter of policy and planning for neighborhood cohesiveness, the City encourages BSD and HSD to work toward an adjustment of the boundary that would result in all of the Community Plan being served by BSD*

Response: This criterion applies to agency efforts and does not describe a responsibility for developers. However, this application does include pedestrian facilities to enable access to the high school from the subdivision. Further, Road 6C, the east-west collector provides access to the high school from existing neighborhoods within the City of Beaverton via Loon Drive via walking, biking or driving.

Transportation

(1) *The streets planned for the Community Plan area are illustrated in Figure 10. The Beaverton Transportation System Plan and Washington County Transportation System Plans will be updated consistent with Figure 10 and will be the controlling documents for transportation planning. ...*



- Response:** The proposal contains the three facilities shown on the figure 10 map:
1. The east-west collector from SW Loon Drive to SW 175th Avenue
 2. The Neighborhood route connecting SW 175th Avenue to the east-west collector (Road 6C)
 3. The Neighborhood route connecting the east-west collector (Road 6C) to the future subdivision to the north.

(4) *The new east-west Collector street is intended to provide a parallel route to SW Scholls Ferry Road that connects through the full width of the Community Plan area. This street shall be designed to provide a safe and comfortable connection for pedestrians and bicyclists as well as cars and to create a clear, direct and convenient route that connects the eastern, northern and western neighborhoods.*

Response: This application contains the required east-west Collector Street. It is labeled Road 6C in the proposal. It connects with SW Loon Drive on the east and SW 175th Avenue on the west. It is design to provide a safe and comfortable connection for pedestrians and bicyclists as well as cars and to create a clear, direct and convenient route. The complete multi-modal specifications are detailed on Sheet 2.1.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Framework Policies

2.c School to School Trail: A multi-use path shall/ink from SW Loon Drive at Scholls Heights Elementary' school to SW 1751h Avenue at the planned high school site as shown in Figure 11 in order to provide safe routes to both schools and to connect neighborhoods to the east to the planned high school.

Response: the proposed Road 6C contains on its southern side, a 10 foot wide sidewalk to facilitate the School to School Trail. It is buffered from traffic aby 12.5 feet of bike lane and planter strip and is separated from properties by an 8 foot landscaped area.

Natural Resource Policies

(1) Locally significant wetlands and protected riparian corridors within the Community Plan area shall be protected and enhanced, consistent with local, state, and federal regulations.

Response: Wetlands and riparian corridors are proposed for protection and enhancement by this application in a manner consistent with city, state, county and federal regulations. See response above to Chapter 7 of the Beaverton Comprehensive Plan.

(2) Development adjacent to significant natural resource areas shall be designed to provide visual and/or physical access to the resource a tea and limit continuous rear lot line edges abutting a significant natural resource through one or more of the following treatments of the open space edge.

- a. parallel trail along the edge of the vegetated corridor with access points from adjacent roads and community focal points;*
- b. local streets that run adjacent to the edge of the vegetated corridor, without development between the street and the vegetated corridor; or*
- c. neighborhood parks, pocket parks, schools and similar uses that connect to the resource area and provide breaks between developed areas abutting the resource.*

Response: The significant natural resources areas with the site were used as the design framework. Location of roadways and home sites was done so with the goal of taking advantage of the visual amenity provided by the vegetated corridors. All three of the above options are proposes within this application.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The request for approval to develop the South Cooper Mountain Heights planned unit development/subdivision with 271 lots for detached single-family homes and 110 lots for development of attached single-family homes, 1 lot for future multi-family use, and 1 lot for future development is consistent with the applicable standards of the City of Beaverton Community Development Code, the South Cooper Mountain Community Plan, the Beaverton Comprehensive plan and standards for Planned Unit Development, Design Review, Tree Plan and Subdivision Preliminary Plat approval for a 383 lot development of detached and attached single-family homes, a multi-family lot, and a lot for future development. West Hills Development, therefore, respectfully requests approval of this combined application.